arXiv:1608.03227v3 [math.GT] 9 Mar 2017

March 10, 2017

KNOT HOMOLOGY AND SHEAVES ON THE HILBERT SCHEME OF POINTS ON THE PLANE

ALEXEI OBLOMKOV AND LEV ROZANSKY

Abstract. For each braid $\beta \in \mathfrak{Br}_n$ we construct a 2-periodic complex $\mathbb{S}_\beta$ of quasi-coherent $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant sheaves on the non-commutative nested Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}$. We show that the triply graded vector space of the hypecohomology $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{S}_\beta \otimes \wedge^\bullet(\mathcal{B}))$ with $\mathcal{B}$ being tautological vector bundle, is an isotopy invariant of the knot obtained by the closure of β. We also show that the support of cohomology of the complex $\mathbb{S}_\beta$ is supported on the ordinary nested Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n} \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}$, that allows us to relate the triply graded knot homology to the sheaves on $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}$

Contents

1. Introduction 4
1.1. Motivation 4
1.2. Main result 5
1.3. Sheaves on Hilbert schemes 6
1.4. Braids and matrix factorizations 7
1.5. Structure of the paper 8
2. Basic space and Matrix factorizations 9
2.1. Notations, conventions 9
2.2. Matrix factorizations: three lemmas 10
2.3. Koszul matrix factorizations 14
3. Equivariant structure of matrix factorizations and their push-forwards 15

Key words and phrases. Knot homology, Hilbert schemes of points, matrix factorizations.

The work of A.O. was supported in part by the Sloan Foundation the NSF CAREER grant DMS-1352398.

The work of L.R. was supported in part by the Sloan Foundation and the NSF grant DMS-1108727.

3.1. Technical lemmas: non-equivariant version 15
3.2. Push forward 17
3.3. Chevalley-Eilenberg complex 18
3.4. Equivariant matrix factorizations 19
3.5. Extension lemmas 20
3.6. Lifting lemma for morphisms 24
3.7. Equivariant push-forward 25
4. Convolution algebra: definition 26
4.1. Category of B^2-equivariant matrix factorizations 26
4.2. Potential and main category of matrix factorizations 27
4.3. Convolution 28
4.4. Convolution of the G-slice 29
5. Knorrer reduction 30
5.1. Construction of the Knorrer functor 30
5.2. Properties of the Knorrer functor 32
5.3. Convolution on the reduced space 33
5.4. Reduced vs. non-reduced convolution 34
5.5. Row operations for generalized equivariant Koszul matrix factorizations 37
5.6. Proof of proposition 5.4 39
6. Induction functors 40
6.1. Construstion of the induction functor 41
6.2. Computational aspects of push-forward 42
6.3. Induction functor in reduced case 43
6.4. Proof of proposition 6.1 44
6.5. Proof of proposition 6.2 45
6.6. Transitivity of induction 49
7. Inclusion functor and the generators for the braid group 51

7.1. Unit in convolution algebra 51
7.2. Inclusion functor 53
7.3. Generators of the braid group 54
8. General properties of convolution algebra 55
8.1. Auxilary Lemma 55
8.2. Reduced case version of the auxiliary lemma 59
8.3. Reduced convolution with rank 1 matrix factorizations 60
8.4. Involution 61
9. Two strands 61
9.1. Equivariant structure for the generators 61
9.2. Properties of the basic matrix factorizations 63
9.3. Inversion of the elementary positive braid 64
9.4. General method of the proof 64
9.5. Proof of theorem 9.3 66
10. Three strands and two crossings 69
10.1. A geometric interpretation for the matrix factorization 69
10.2. Proof of Lemma 10.4 71
11. Three strands three crossings 75
11.1. Involution D and the ideals I_{121} and I_{212} 75
11.2. Resolution for I_{121} 76
11.3. Convolution 78
11.4. End of the proof of the theorem 7.6 84
12. Sheaves on the Hilbert scheme 85
12.1. Nested Hilbert scheme: definitions and notations 85
12.2. Braid complex 86
12.3. Geometry of the critical locus 87
12.4. Stability conditions on critical locus 88
12.5. Stability conditions for the matrix factorizations. 89

12.6. Taking the B^ℓ quotient 90
12.7. Conjugacy class invariant 92
13. Link invariant 93
13.1. Geometry of $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}^{free}$ 93
13.2. Braid complex on $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}^{free}$ 95
13.3. Proof of theorem 13.3 97
14. Connections with other knot invariants 100
14.1. HOMFLY-PT polynomial specialization 100
14.2. $sl(m|n)$-homology differential 101
References 102

1. Introduction

1.1. **Motivation.** In recent years there was a lot of interest in connecting together knot homology, Macdonald polynomials and Hilbert schemes of points on a plane [2],[6],[14],[17],[31]. In particular, there are plenty of conjectural formulas expressing the knot homology of torus knots in terms of Macdonald polynomials or in terms of spaces of sections of some sheaves on the Hilbert schemes of points [31],[17],[14]. However, attempts to attack these conjectures directly, without a new computational method, failed so far.

Arguably, the reason is that the current mathematical construction of triply graded homology based on Soergel bimodules is not related to Hilbert schemes of points. Thus the only way to prove the conjecture is to compute explicitly the knot homology of the these knots and compare the answer with the homology of sheaves on the Hilbert scheme where the answer is known. The problem with this approach is that the Soergel bimodule computations are very challenging. However, in the paper [20] some progress in this direction is made and building on work [20] the authors of [15] propose a conjectural connection between the Soergel bimodules and the category of sheaves and the category of coherent sheaves on the Hilbert scheme of points.

In this paper we construct a triply graded homology of an oriented link by providing an explicit construction for a sheaf that realizes the triply graded

homology as global sections. Our approach is different from the approach of [15], below we outline our theory. Roughly speaking, in our approach the homology of the closure $L(\beta)$ of the braid $\beta \in \mathfrak{Br}_n$ is the space of global sections of a sheaf $\mathcal{S}_\beta$ on the Hilbert scheme Hilb_n of n points on $\mathbb{C}^2$. The construction of such sheaf $\mathcal{S}_\beta$ is quite involved and relies on a realization of the braid group as a subalgebra of the convolution algebra of matrix factorizations on an auxiliary space.

The new theory is more geometric and computationally less challenging than the Soergel bimodule theory. For example, in sequel to this paper [30] we show that adding a full twist Tw to the braid results in the twisting of $\mathcal{S}_\beta$ by a special line bundle: $\mathcal{S}_{\beta \cdot Tw} = \mathcal{S}_\beta \otimes L$. Existence of the knot theory with such property was predicted in [31].

1.2. **Main result.** We consider a 'non-commutative analog' of the nested Hilbert scheme defined as a quotient $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}^{free} = \widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{1,n}^{free}/(B \times \mathbb{C}^*)$, where

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{1,n}^{free} = \{(X, Y, v) \in \mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{b} \times V \,|\, \mathbb{C}\langle X, Y\rangle v = V\}$$

is an open subset of the product $\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{b} \times V$ ($\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathrm{gl}_n$ being the nilpotent radial and Borel sugalgebra), the Borel subgroup $B \subset \mathrm{SL}(n)$ acts on the first two factors by conjugation, V is its fundamental representation and $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on V by multiplication. $\mathcal{B}^\vee$ denotes a vector bundle over $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{1,n}^{free}$ with the fiber $V/\langle v\rangle$ over the point (X, Y, v), we use the same notation for its descend to the $B \times \mathbb{C}^*$-quotient. Respectively, $\mathcal{B}$ is the vector bundle dual to $\mathcal{B}^\vee$.

The two-dimensional torus $T_{sc} = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}^{free}$ by scaling X, Y: an element $(\lambda, \mu) \in T_{sc}$ turns a pair of matrices (X, Y) into $(\lambda^{-2}X, \lambda^2\mu^2 Y)$. We refer to the weights of this action as q-degree and t-degree. We denote by $D^{per}_{T_{sc}}(\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}^{free})$ the derived category of two-periodic T_{sc}-equivariat complexes on $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}^{free}$. Let $\mathfrak{Br}_n$ denote a braid group with n strands and let $\mathrm{wr}(\beta)$ denote the writhe of a braid β defined as the difference between the positive and negative crossings in its presentation. Our main result is the construction of the object

$$\mathbb{S}_\beta \in D^{per}_{T_{sc}}(\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}^{free}),$$

such that space of hypercohomology of the complex

$$\mathbb{H}^k(\beta) := \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{S}_\beta \otimes \wedge^k \mathcal{B})$$

defines an isotopy invariant of $L(\beta)$.

Theorem 1.1. *For any $\beta \in \mathfrak{Br}_n$ the doubly graded space*

$$\mathcal{H}^k(\beta) := \mathbb{H}^{(k+\mathrm{wr}(\beta)-n-1)/2}(\beta)$$

is an isotopy invariant of the braid closure $L(\beta)$.

Let us also introduce a notations for the graded pieces of $\mathcal{H}^k$: $\mathcal{H}^{i,j,k} \subset \mathcal{H}^k$ is the subspace of vectors that are of weight $q^i t^j$. We show that our invariant categorifies HOMFLY-PT polynomial.

Theorem 1.2. *The bi-graded Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{H}^k(\beta)$ is the HOMFLY-PT polynomial of the link $L(\beta)$:*

$$P\big(L(\beta)\big) = \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^j q^i a^k \dim \mathcal{H}^{i,j,k}(\beta).$$

The first categorification of HOMFLY-PT polynomial was discovered by Khovanov and Rozansky [25] and it is natural to expect that the homology theory from this paper coincides with one from [25].

1.3. **Sheaves on Hilbert schemes.** The usual nested Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^L_{1,n}$ [1] is a subvariety of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}$ defined by the commutativity constraint on X, Y. It turns out that the support of the homology of the complex $\mathbb{S}_\beta$ is contained in $\mathrm{Hilb}^L_{1,n}$. Hence the homology of the complex is the sheaf $\mathcal{S}_\beta = \mathcal{S}^{odd}_\beta \oplus \mathcal{S}^{even}_\beta$ on $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}$ and we immediately have the following:

Theorem 1.3. *There is a spectral sequence with E_2 term being*

$$(\mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{Hilb}^L_{1,n}, \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{S}_\beta \otimes \wedge^k \mathcal{B})), d)$$

$$d : \mathrm{H}^k(\mathrm{Hilb}^L_{1,n}, \mathcal{S}^{odd/even}_\beta \otimes \wedge^k \mathcal{B}) \to \mathrm{H}^{k-1}(\mathrm{Hilb}^L_{1,n}, \mathcal{S}^{even/odd}_\beta \otimes \wedge^k \mathcal{B}),$$

that converges to $\mathbb{H}^k(\beta)$.

We conjecture that one can extract the link invariant for $L(\beta)$ directly from the sheaf $\mathcal{S}_\beta$ if β is sufficiently positive

Conjecture 1.4. *If β is sufficiently positive then $\mathrm{H}^{>0}(\mathrm{Hilb}^L_{1,n}, \mathcal{S}_\beta) = 0$.*

[1] The variety $\mathrm{Hilb}^L_{1,n}$ probably should thought of as a "Lagrangian" subvariety of the bigger variety $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}$ that consists of B-orbits of commuting pairs $(X, Y) \in\in \mathfrak{b}^2$ with a property that exist vector v such that $\mathbb{C}[X, Y] = \mathbb{C}^n$

There is a natural projection from the nested Hilbert scheme to the usual Hilbert scheme $p : \mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n} \to \mathrm{Hilb}_n$ and it turns out that the push-forward $p_*(S_\beta)$ has slightly better properties than $\mathcal{S}_\beta$:

Theorem 1.5. *The complex of sheaves*

$$\mathcal{S}_{[\beta]} := p_*(\mathcal{S}_\beta)$$

depends only on the conjugacy class of β.

In the sequel to this paper we compute the sheaf $\mathbb{S}_\beta$ for many elements of the braid group. That allows us to give a geometric description of the homology of a torus knot $T_{n,nk+1}$. More precisely, we study the positive braid $\beta_k \in \mathfrak{Br}_n$ such that $L(\beta_k) = T_{n,nk+1}$. We compute explicitly the sheaf corresponding to this braid. It is supported on the punctual Hilbert scheme: $\mathrm{Hilb}^0_{1,n} = \widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}^0_{1,n}/B \times \mathbb{C}^*$, $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}^0_{1,n} := \widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{1,n} \cap \mathfrak{n}^2 \times V$ and we show

Theorem 1.6. [30]

$$\mathcal{S}_{\beta_k} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Hilb}^0_{1,n}} \otimes \det(\mathcal{B})^k.$$

The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Hilb}^0_n(\mathbb{C}^2)} \otimes \det(\mathcal{B})^k$, which is the push forward of the sheaf from above, attracted a lot of attention in connection to combinatorics [22], representation theory [18] and knot theory [31, 17]. In particular, in [31] it was conjectured that the global sections of this sheaf is a particular double-graded subspace of the space of Khovanov-Rozansky homology of the torus knot $T_{n,1+nk}$. Our theorem is consistent with this conjecture and its generalization in [17]. In a forthcoming paper we explore this regular behavior to gain more insight into the behavior of our knot invariant on large classes of knots.

1.4. **Braids and matrix factorizations.** Our construction of the sheaf $\mathbb{S}_\beta \in D^{per}_{T_{sc}}(\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n})$ is based on a homomorphism from the braid group $\mathfrak{Br}_n$ to the convolution algebra of B^2-equivariant matrix factorizations $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{Z}}_2(G_n), \overline{W})$ on the space $\overline{\mathscr{Z}}_2(G_n) := \mathfrak{b} \times G_n \times \mathfrak{n}$ with the potential

$$\overline{W} = \mathrm{Tr}(X \mathrm{Ad}_g(Y)),$$

where $X \in \mathfrak{b}$ in upper triangular and $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$ is strictly upper triangular. The potential $\overline{W}$ has degree t^2 with respect to T_{sc} so we also assume that the differentials of the matrix factorizations from $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{Z}}_2(G_n), \overline{W})$ have degree t.

In the section 7 we prove the following

Theorem 1.7. *The homotopic category* $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n), \overline{W})$ *has a natural monoidal structure and there is a homomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{Br}_n \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n), \overline{W}), \quad \beta \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta$$

defined by an explicit choice of images of braid generators.

The construction of the homorphism from the theorem is similar in spirit to the construction of Bezrukavnikov and Riche [5], though we do not rely on the results of [5] and our methods differ from their approach, mainly because we try to make our construction as explicit as it possible. Let us also remark that recently Arkhipov and Kanstrup [1] provided a construction of braid action on the categories matrix factorizations. We discovered our construction independently and our methods differ from theirs.

There is a similar homomorphism $\mathfrak{Br}_n \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n), -\overline{W})$, $\beta \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{C}}'_\beta$ and, in particular, $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\|}$ is the complex of the identity braid. Informally, the complex $\mathbb{S}_\beta$ should be thought as sheaf homology of the 2-periodic complex $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta \otimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\|} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n), 0)$ followed by the restriction to the stable part of $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n)$ and extracting B invariant part of the product (B is embedded diagonally inside B^2). To turn this informal definition into a rigorous mathematical construction, in section 12 we introduce an auxiliary space $\mathscr{X}_\ell(G_n)$ and work with matrix factorizations on this space.

1.5. **Structure of the paper.** In the section 2 we collect most of notations used in the paper, state and prove the results about matrix factorizations that are needed for the main body of the paper. In section 3 In section 4 we introduce our main tool: the convolution algebra on the space of matrix factorizations with the potential. In this section we show that the convolution is associative and discuss generalizations of the construction. The section 5 is devoted to the study of Knorrer functor which entertwines the convolution algebras on the smaller space $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2$ with the convolution algebra on the bigger space $\mathscr{X}_2$.

The sections 6 and 7 contain the technical results about the convolution algebra that are used in the rest of the paper, to be more precise we discuss various induction functors between their relation with the convolution product. At the end of section 6 we introduce the matrix factorizations that generate the braid group. In section 8 we prove several auxiliary results that we use later, in particular we show how the convolution with the matrix factorization for the elementary braid could be computed with the use of rank 1 Chevalley-Eilenberg complex.

Our proof of the braid relations for the matrix factorizations introduced in the section 6 is spread between sections 9,10 and 11. In the section 12 we construct the sheaf $\mathcal{S}_{[\beta]}$ and show that it only depends on the conjugacy class of β. Finally, in the section 13 we prove our main theorem about the link invariant. Fiinally in the very last section we prove the theorem 1.2 and define the differential $d_{m|n}$ that allows us to state a conjecture relating our cohomology to the $sl(m|n)$ type quantum invariants.

Acknowledgements: Authors would like to thank Dima Arinkin, Roman Bezrukavnikov, Tudor Dimofte, Ben Elias, Tina Kanstrup, Davesh Maulik, Peter Samuleson, Jenia Tevelev for useful discussions. L.R. is especially thankful to Dima Arinkin for illuminating explanations and to Eugene Gorsky for stimulating discussions and sharing the results of his ongoing research. A.O. is especially thankful to Eugene Gorsky, Matt Hogancamp, Andei Neguţ and Jake Rasmussen for extensive discussions about the subject and for sharing their insight and intuition. A.O. would like to thank Simons Center for Geometry and Physics for support during month-long stay in May 2015, the large portion of this work was written during this stay and the conference at the end of the program presented us with opportunity to report on this work. Work of A.O. was partially supported by Sloan Foundation and NSF CAREER grant DMS-1352398. The work of L.R. is supported by the NSF grant DMS-1108727.

2. Basic space and Matrix factorizations

2.1. **Notations, conventions.**

2.1.1. Let us fix the following notations for the groups that appear in our work:

$$G_n = \mathrm{SL}_n, \quad \mathbf{G}_n = \mathrm{GL}_n.$$

Respectively we use notation B_n for the group of upper-triangular matrices in G_n and $\mathbf{B}_n$ for the group of upper-triangular matrices inside $\mathbf{G}_n$. We use gothic script for the Lie algebras of the respective groups. Whenever the rank of the group is obvious from the context of the paper we omit the subscripts.

We use notation T_n for the standard maximal torus $T_n \subset B_n \subset G_n$ and we omit the subindex when the rank of the group is clear. We denote the Lie algebra of T_n as $\mathfrak{h}_n$.

Let us also fix the notations for the projections on the upper and the lower triangular parts of the matrix: for a given square matrix X we denote by X_+ and X_{++} the upper-triangular and the strictly upper-triangular part of X; respectively X_- and X_{--} are the lower-triangular and strictly lower-triangular parts of X.

2.1.2. The main geometric object in our study is the $(G_n \times B_n^\ell)$-space $\mathscr{X}_\ell = \mathfrak{g}_n \times (G_n \times \mathfrak{b}_n)^\ell$ with the following action of the group:

$$(b_1, \dots, b_\ell) \cdot (X, g_1, Y_1, \dots, g_\ell, Y_\ell) = (X, g_1 \cdot b_1^{-1}, \mathrm{Ad}_{b_1}(Y_1), \dots, g_\ell \cdot b_\ell^{-1}, \mathrm{Ad}_{b_\ell}(Y_\ell)),$$

$$h \cdot (X, h \cdot g_1, Y_1, \dots, g_\ell, Y_\ell) = (\mathrm{Ad}_h(X), h \cdot g_1, Y_1, \dots, h \cdot g_\ell, Y_\ell).$$

We also use slightly enlarged version of the space $\mathscr{X}_\ell(\mathbf{G}_n) := \mathfrak{g}_n \times (\mathbf{G}_n \times \mathfrak{b}_n)^\ell$, the action of $\mathbf{G}_n \times \mathbf{B}_n^\ell$ is given by the same formulas as for the space $\mathscr{X}_\ell$.

2.2. **Matrix factorizations: three lemmas.** In this subsection we fix notations for matrix factorizations and remind some basic facts about them. In particular we prove three lemmas that we will use in the main body of the paper. These lemmas essentially contain all facts about matrix factorizations that are used here. For more thorough review of the theory of matrix factorization the reader could consult the beautiful original paper [11] or later surveys in [8].

Given an affine variety $\mathcal{Z}$ and a function F on it we define [11] the homotopy category $\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ of matrix factorizations whose objects are complexes of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$-modules $M = M_{odd} \oplus M_{even}$ equipped with the differential

$$D \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(M_{odd}, M_{even}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(M_{even}, M_{odd})$$

such that $D^2 = F$. Thus $\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ is a triangulated category.

If $\mathcal{Z}$ is a variety with G-action $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ and F is a G-invariant function then we define the category of strongly equivariant matrix factorizations $\mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{str}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ as the category of matrix factorizations with extra condition that D is a G-equivariant matrix. Unfortunately, this definition is too restrictive and we use a slightly more sophisticated definition for the category of equivariant matrix factorization. We postpone the details of our definition till the next section where we define matrix factorizations that are equivariant up to homotopy. However, the naive equivariant matrix factorization as above are objects in our category.

Let us discuss some specific types of matrix factorizations that will be used in our paper. Suppose $C_\bullet = [C_0 \to C_1 \to \cdots \to C_n]$ is the complex of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$-modules. Then we construct a matrix factorization $[C_\bullet]_{per} \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, 0)$ by setting

$M = C_{odd} \oplus C_{even}$ $C_{even} := \oplus_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z}} C_i, C_{odd} = \oplus_{i \in 1+2\mathbb{Z}} C_i$ and the differential is induced from the complex $C_\bullet$.

Another type of matrix factorization appearing in our work is obtained by "doubling" the differential d^+ of an ordinary complex $(C_\bullet, d^+)$. Indeed, let us denote by $\mathrm{MF}^\ell(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ the set of the following complexes:

$$C_\bullet = \oplus_{i=0}^{\ell} C_i, \quad d_i^+ : C_i \to C_{i-1}, \quad d_i^- : C_i \to C_{>i}, \quad (\sum_i d_i^- + d_i^+)^2 = F \cdot \mathrm{Id}.$$

The complex $C_\bullet$ with only the positive differentials d_i^+ is called the positive part of the complex. There is an obvious folding map $\mathrm{MF}^\ell(\mathcal{Z}, F) \to \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ that associates to $(C_\bullet, d_\bullet^\pm)$ a two-periodic complex $[C_\bullet, d_\bullet^\pm]_{per}$. The matrix factorizations of the above type occur very naturally in a geometric setting as explained in the lemma below. The notations for the morphisms are as follows: $\mathrm{Hom}^k(C_\bullet, C_\bullet) = \oplus_m \mathrm{Hom}(C_m, C_{m-k})$ is notations for the maps of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$-modules and $\mathrm{Hom}^k_{d_+}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ is the subspace of maps from $\mathrm{Hom}^k(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ that commute with the differential d^+.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(C_\bullet, d_\bullet^+)$ be the complex*

$$C_0 \xleftarrow{d_1^+} C_1 \xleftarrow{d_2^+} \dots \xleftarrow{d_\ell^+} C_\ell$$

of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ modules and $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ such that

1. *Elements of $\mathrm{Hom}^{<0}_{d_+}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ are homotopic to 0*
2. *The element $F \in \mathrm{Hom}^0_{d_+}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ is homotopic to 0.*

Then the complex $(C_\bullet, d_\bullet^+)$ can be extended to $(C_\bullet, d_\bullet^\pm) \in \mathrm{MF}^\ell(\mathcal{Z}, F)$.

Proof. By assumption of the lemma, the endomorphism $F \cdot \mathrm{Id}$ of $(C_\bullet, d_\bullet^+)$ is homotopic to 0. This means that there exist morphisms of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ modules $h_i^{(-1)} : C_i \to C_{i+1}$ such that $F = h_i^{(-1)} \circ d_{i+1}^+ + d_i^+ \circ h_{i-1}^{(-1)}$. Then a new differential $D^{(-1)} := d^+ + h^{(-1)}$ satisfies the relation

$$\left(D^{(-1)}\right)^2 - F = (h^{(-1)})^2 \in \mathrm{Hom}^{\leq -2}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet).$$

Thus $D^{(-1)}$ provides a seed of induction for the proof of existence $h^{(-2i-1)} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{-2i-1}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$, $i \geq 0$ such that

1. $[h^{(-1)}, d^+]_+ = F$
2. $[h^{(-2i-1)}, d^+]_+ = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} h^{(-2j-1)} h^{(-2i+2j+1)}$, $i > 0$

were we used the notation $[a,b]_+ = ab + ba$.

Indeed, suppose we constructed $h^{(-2i-1)}$ for all $i < j$. Define $D^{(-2j+1)} = d^+ + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} h^{(-1-2k)}$, then the inductive assumption implies:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(D^{(-2j+1)}\right)^2 - F - R^{(-2j)} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{<-2j}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet), \\ &R^{(-2j)} = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} h^{(-2k-1)} h^{(-2j+1+2k)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

On the other hand, a direct computation using the inductive assumptions and the fact that F commutes with all $h^{(2i-1)}$ and d^+ implies

$$[d^+, R^{(-2j)}] = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

In more details, let us introduce notation $R^{(<-2j)} := (D^{(-2j-1)})^2 - F - R^{(-2j)}$ then

$$0 = [D^{(-2j-1)}, (D^{(2j-1)})^2] = [d^+ + \sum_i h^{(-2i-1)}, F + R^{(-2j)} + R^{(<-2j)}]$$

$$= [d^+, R^{(-2j)}] + [d_+ + \sum_i h^{(-2i-1)}, R^{(<-2j)}] + [\sum_i h^{(-2j-1)}, R^{(-2j)} + R^{(-2j-1)}].$$

The first term in the last sum is from $\mathrm{Hom}^{-2j+1}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$, on the other hand the last two are from $\mathrm{Hom}^{<-2j+1}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ thus (2.2) follows.

Thus $R^{(-2j)}$ is the morphism of the complex $(C_\bullet, d^+)$ of degree $-2j$, that is, an element of $\mathrm{Hom}^{-2j}_{d_+}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$. The assumption of our lemma implies that such morphism is homotopic to zero and the homotopy morphism $h^{(-2j-1)} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{-2j-1}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ provides the induction step since $[h^{(-2j-1)}, d^+]_+ = R^{(-2j)}$.

Thus by setting $d_i^- = \sum_i h^{(-2i-1)}$ we obtain the desired extension because of (2.1). □

The extension described in Lemma 2.1 is unique up to a natural equivalence relation. First, let us fix notations: the space of morphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_{d^\pm}(A,B)$, $A, B \in \mathrm{MF}^\ell(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ consists of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$-module homorophism that (super-)commute with the differentials $d^\pm_\bullet$. This space is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded with $\mathrm{Hom}^i_{d^\pm}(A,B)$ consisting of morphisms $h_k : A_k \to A_{k+i}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A = (C_\bullet, d^\pm_\bullet), B = (C_\bullet, \tilde{d}^\pm_\bullet) \in \mathrm{MF}^\ell(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ such that*

1. $(\tilde{C}, \tilde{d}^+_\bullet) = (C_\bullet, d^+_\bullet)$
2. *Elements of* $\mathrm{Hom}^{<0}_{d_+}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ *are homotopic to* 0
3. *The element* $F \in \mathrm{Hom}^0_{d_+}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ *is homotopic to* 0.

Then there is $\Psi = \sum_{i\le 0} \Psi_i$, $\Psi_i \in \mathrm{Hom}^i(A,B)$ *such that* $\Psi_0 = \mathrm{Id}$ *and*

$$\Psi \circ (d^+ + d^-) \circ \Psi^{-1} = (\tilde{d}^+ + \tilde{d}^-).$$

Proof. For brevity let us denote by C^+ the complex $(C_\bullet, d^+_\bullet)$, respectively we use notation $\mathrm{Hom}_{d_+}(C^+, C^+)$ for the morphisms that respect the differential d^+ and $\mathrm{Hom}(C,C)$ for the morphisms of complexes simply as modules. In particular in these notations we have

$$D = d^+ + d^-, \quad \tilde{D} = d^+ + \tilde{d}^- \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(C,C) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}^{\le -1}(C,C).$$

We claim that there is sequence of morphisms $\Psi^{(k)} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{\le 0}(C,C)$, $k = 0,1,2,\dots$ such that

(1) $\Psi^{(k)}_0 = \mathrm{Id}$,
(2) $D^{(k)} - \tilde{D} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{-k-1}(C,C)$,

where $D^{(k)} = \Psi^{(k)} \circ D \circ (\Psi^{(k)})^{-1}$.

Indeed, for $k = 0$ we can set $\Psi^{(0)} = \mathrm{Id}$. For the step of induction we assume the existence of $\Psi^{(k-1)}$, then the leading term $\Delta = (D^{(k-1)} - \tilde{D})_{-k} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{-k}(C,C)$ is actually an element of $\mathrm{Hom}^{-k}_{d_+}(C^+, C^+)$ as could be seen from degree $-k+1$ part of the equation

$$(D^{(k-1)})^2 - D^2 = 0.$$

On the other hand the second assumption of the Lemma implies that all elements of $\mathrm{Hom}^{-k}_{d_+}(C^+, C^+)$ are homotopic to 0 and hence there is $h \in Hom^{-k-1}(C,C)$ such that $[h, d^+] = \Delta$. Hence the element $\Psi^{(k)} := (1+h) \circ \Psi^{(k-1)}$ satisfies the condition of our induction statement.

Finally, since the complex C is bounded see that the morphism $\Psi^{(\ell)}$ has the desired properties and our proof is complete. □

The last lemma is very elementary but it is responsible for appearance of the Hilbert scheme of points on the plane in our construction of the knot homology.

Lemma 2.3. *The elements of the ideal* $\mathcal{I}_{crit}$ *generated by the partial derivatives of the potential* F *act by zero homotopies on the elements of* $\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$

Proof. Let z be a local coordinate on $\mathcal{Z}$ and D is the differential from some matrix factorization with the potential F. Then we have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial D}{\partial z} \circ D + D \circ \frac{\partial D}{\partial z}.$$

Hence $\frac{\partial D}{\partial z}$ is the map that provides a homotopy of $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}$ to 0. □

2.3. **Koszul matrix factorizations.** In this subsection we set notations for a particular type of matrix factorization that is ubiquitous in our work and in the previous work that relates matrix factorizations to knot invariants [24]. We need slightly more general setting than the one from [24] because we work with equivariant matrix factorizations. We state some simple properties of these factorizations that are used later in the paper.

Suppose $\mathcal{Z}$ is a variety with the action of a group G and F is a G-invariant potential. An object of the category $\mathrm{MF}^{str}_{B^2}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ is a free B^2-equivariant $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$-module M with the odd G-invariant differential D such that $D^2 = F\mathbb{1}_M$. In particular, a free G-equivariant $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$-module V with two elements $d_{\mathrm{l}} \in V$, $d_{\mathrm{r}} \in V^*$ such that $(v, w) = F$, determines a Koszul matrix factorization $\mathrm{K}(V; d_{\mathrm{l}}, d_{\mathrm{r}}) = \bigwedge^\bullet V$ with the differential $Dv = d_{\mathrm{l}} \wedge v + d_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot v$ for $v \in \bigwedge^\bullet V$. We use a more detailed notation by choosing a basis $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in V$ and presenting d_{l} and d_{r} in terms of components: $d_{\mathrm{l}} = a_1\theta_1 + \cdots a_n\theta_n$, $d_{\mathrm{r}} = b_1\theta_1^* + \cdots + b_n\theta_n^*$:

$$\mathrm{K}(V; d_{\mathrm{l}}, d_{\mathrm{r}}) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & \theta_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_n & b_n & \theta_n \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.3}$$

The structure of G-module is described by specifying the action of G on the basis $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$. In some cases when G-equivariant structure of the module M is clear from the context we omit the last columns from the notations. We call a matrix presenting Koszul matrix factorization Koszul matrix. For example, if we change the basis $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ to the basis $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_i + c\theta_j, \dots, \theta_j, \dots, \theta_n$ the i-th and j-th rows of the Koszul matrix will change:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_i & b_i & \theta_i \\ a_j & b_j & \theta_j \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a_i + ca_j & b_i & \theta_i + c\theta_j \\ a_j & b_j - cb_i & \theta_j \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is a regular sequence and $F \in (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. We can choose b_i such that $F = \sum_i a_i b_i$ and d_{l} and d_{r} are as above: $d_{\mathrm{l}} = a_1\theta_1 + \cdots a_n\theta_n$, $d_{\mathrm{r}} = b_1\theta_1^* + \cdots + b_n\theta_n^*$. In general, there is no unique choice for b_i but all choices lead to homotopy equivalent Koszul matrix factorizations (in the non-equivariant case they would be simply isomorphic). In other words, if b_i' is a another collection of elements such that $F = \sum a_i b_i'$ and $d_{\mathrm{r}}' = b_1'\theta_1^* + \cdots + b_n'\theta_n^*$ then Lemma 2.2 imply that the complexes $\mathrm{K}(V; d_{\mathrm{l}}, d_{\mathrm{r}})$ and $\mathrm{K}(V; d_{\mathrm{l}}, d_{\mathrm{r}}')$ are homotopy equivalent. Thus from now on we use notation $\mathrm{K}^F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for such matrix factorization.

3. Equivariant structure of matrix factorizations and their push-forwards

In this section we discuss the properties of equivariant matrix factorizations and construct the push forward of matrix factorizations along the embedding of affine varieties $j : Spec(R) \to Spec(S)$. There is a more general, more sophisticated construction for push forward of matrix factorization that could be found in [10] but the functor from [10] is of different nature then ours. Our construction of the push-forward relies on the generalizations of the lemmas from the previous section and we discuss these extensions first.

3.1. **Technical lemmas: non-equivariant version.** We keep notations consistent with the previous section. Let $\mathrm{Mod}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ be a category of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded free $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ modules with endomorphisms. An object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Mod}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a pair (M, D), $M = M^0 \oplus M^1$, $M^i = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]^{d_i}$ and $D \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(M^1, M^0) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(M^0, M^1)$. The space of morphism between two elements of $\mathrm{Mod}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ consists of morphisms of the corresponding $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$-modules that intertwine the endomorphisms.

We denote by $\mathrm{Com}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ be the category of complexes of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded modules. An object of $\mathrm{Com}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a collection of matrix factorizations $\mathcal{F}_i \in \mathrm{Mod}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$, $\mathcal{F}_i = (M_i, D_i)$, $M_i = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]^{r_i}$ and morphisms $d_i^+ : M_i \to M_{i-1}$ which are morphisms of elements of $\mathrm{Mod}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $(d^+)^2 = 0$ as a linear map $M_i \to M_{i-2}$. We use notation $H^i(\mathcal{F}) := H^i(M_\bullet, d^+)$. For subset of $\mathrm{Com}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ consisting of complexes $\mathcal{F}$ such that $M_i = 0$ for $i \notin [0, \ell]$ we use notation $\mathrm{Com}^\ell_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$.

Analogously to the previous case we introduce notation $\mathrm{Hom}^k(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet) = \oplus_i \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_{i-k})$ respectively we use notation $\mathrm{Hom}^k_{d^+}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ for the elements of $\mathrm{Hom}^k(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ that commute with the differential d^+. The homotopy relations between the elements of $\mathrm{Hom}^k_{d^+}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ is defined in the natural way:

$$f \sim g, \text{ iff there is } h \in \mathrm{Hom}^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet), \quad f - g = d^+ \circ h - h \circ d^+.$$

The technical lemma that we need later is a generalization of lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, the proof is essentially identical to the proof of the above mentioned lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, d^+_\bullet)$, $\mathcal{F}_i = (M_i, D_i)$ be an element of $\mathrm{Com}^\ell_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ such that*

1. *Elements of $\mathrm{Hom}^{<0}_{d_+}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ are homotopic to 0*
2. *Element $F - D^2 \in \mathrm{Hom}^0_{d_+}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ is homotopic to 0*

Then there are maps $d^-_{ij} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j)$, $j > i$ *such that*

$$[(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, D + d^+ + d^-)]_{per} \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, F).$$

Proof. By assumption of the lemma there exist morphisms of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ modules $h_i^{(-1)} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_{i+1})$ such that $F - D^2 = h_i^{(-1)} \circ d^+_{i+1} + d^+_i \circ h^{(-1)}_{i-1}$. Then a new differential $D^{(-1)} := D + d^+ + h^{(-1)}$ satisfies the relation

$$\left(D^{(-1)}\right)^2 - F = (h^{(-1)})^2 \in \mathrm{Hom}^{\leq -2}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet).$$

Thus $D^{(-1)}$ provides a seed of induction for the proof of existence $h^{(-2i-1)} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{-2i-1}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet,]calF_\bullet)$, $i \geq 0$ such that

(1) $[h^{(-1)}, d^+]_+ = F - D^2$
(2) $[h^{(-2i-1)}, d^+]_+ = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} h^{(-2j-1)} h^{(-2i+2j+1)}$, $i > 0$

were we used the notation $[a, b]_+ = ab + ba$.

Indeed, suppose we constructed $h^{(-2i-1)}$ for all $i < j$. Define $D^{(-2j+1)} = D + d^+ + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} h^{(-1-2k)}$, then the inductive assumption implies:

$$\left(D^{(-2j+1)}\right)^2 - F + D^2 - R^{(-2j)} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{<-2j}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet),$$
$$R^{(-2j)} = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} h^{(-2k-1)} h^{(-2j+1+2k)} \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, a direct computation using the inductive assumptions and the fact that F commutes with all $h^{(2i-1)}$ and d^+ implies

$$[d^+, R^{(-2j)}] = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

In more details, let us introduce notation $R^{(<-2j)} := (D^{(-2j-1)})^2 - F - R^{(-2j)}$ then

$$0 = [D^{(-2j-1)}, (D^{(2j-1)})^2] = [D + d^+ + \sum_i h^{(-2i-1)}, F - D^2 + R^{(-2j)} + R^{(<-2j)}]$$
$$= [d^+, R^{(-2j)}] + [d_+ + \sum_i h^{(-2i-1)}, R^{(<-2j)}] + [\sum_i h^{(-2j-1)}, R^{(-2j)} + R^{(-2j-1)}].$$

The first term in the last sum is from $\mathrm{Hom}^{-2j+1}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$, on the other hand the last two are from $\mathrm{Hom}^{<-2j+1}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ thus (3.5) follows.

Thus $R^{(-2j)}$ is the morphism of the complex $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, d^+)$ of degree $-2j$, that is, an element of $\mathrm{Hom}^{-2j}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$. The assumption of our lemma implies that such morphism is homotopic to zero and the homotopy morphism $h^{(-2j-1)} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{-2j-1}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ provides the induction step since $[h^{(-2j-1)}, d^+]_+ = R^{(-2j)}$.

Thus by setting $d_i^- = \sum_i h^{(-2i-1)}$ we obtain the desired extension because of (3.4). □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, d_\bullet^+)$ be an element of $\mathrm{Com}^\ell(\mathcal{Z})$ and $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ that satisfy conditions of the previous lemma. Suppose there two sets of differentials $d_{ij}^-, \hat{d}_{ij}^- : \mathcal{F}_i \to \mathcal{F}_j$, $j > i$ such that*

$$[(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, D + d^+ + d^-)]_{per} \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, F), \quad [(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, D + d^+ + \hat{d}^-)]_{per} \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$$

then there is $\Psi = \sum_{i \le 0} \Psi_i$, $\Psi_i \in \mathrm{Hom}^{-i}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$, $\Psi = \mathrm{Id}$ such

$$\Psi \circ (D + d^+ + d^-) \circ \Psi^{-1} = D + d^+ + \hat{d}^-.$$

Proof of the this lemma is identical to the proof of lemma 2.2 and we omit it.

3.2. **Push forward.** Let us assume that $R = S/I$ where $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ and functions f_i form a regular sequence in S. In other words, the Koszul complex $K(I) = (\Lambda^\bullet W \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}], d_K)$, $W = \mathbb{C}^n$ has homologies only in degree 0. For $W \in S$ let w be its image under the quotient map $j \colon S \to R$. For a matrix factorization $\mathcal{F} = (M, D) \in \mathrm{MF}(R, w)$, $M = R^k$, we can construct a matrix factorization $j_*(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{MF}(S, W)$ in two steps.

Step 1: Let us choose a lift $\tilde{D} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{M})$, $\tilde{M} := S^k$ for the matrix coefficients of D.

Step 2 : The difference $D^2 - (\tilde{D})^2$ has entries in the ideal I. The data $((\tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet W, \tilde{D}), d_K)$ defines an element of $\mathrm{Com}^n_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$. By our first remark this element satisfies the second condition of lemma 2.1 and the regularity of the sequence f_i implies the first condition.Thus we can apply the lemma to obtain differentials $d_{ij}^- : \tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^i W \to \tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^j W$ such that

$$(\tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet W, d_K + D + d^-)_{per} \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, F),$$

we denote this element $j_*(\mathcal{F})$.

The first step of our construction involves a choice of $\tilde{D}$, but the homotopy class of $((\tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet W, \tilde{D}), d_K) \in \mathrm{Com}^n_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ is independent of this choice since any two choices of lift D differ by the endomorphism that is homotopic to 0. The second step produces an element that is unique up to automorphism according to lemma 3.2.

To show that the element $j_*(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ is well-defined, we also need to show that we can extend j_* to the space of morphisms between the objects and

that homopoty equivalent morphisms get mapped into the homotopy equivalent maps. We prove this later for the equivariant version of the push-forward and since there is a natural forgetful functor from the equivariant to non-equivariant category the well defines of $j_*(\mathcal{F})$ follows.

Remark 3.3. *In the case when j is a section of some map the first step of our construction could be made canonical and we encounter this situation in our work. In more details: suppose there is map $\pi : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}_0$ such that $\pi \circ j = \mathrm{id}$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}_0, w)$. Then the pull back $\pi^*(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, \pi^*(w))$ provides an extension of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{Z}$ required by the first step of our construction.*

3.3. **Chevalley-Eilenberg complex.** Let us remind the general setting of Chevalley-Eilenberg homology. Suppose that $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie algebra. Chevalley-Eilenberg complex $\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h}$ is the complex $(V_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}), d)$ with $V_p(\mathfrak{h}) = U(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes_\mathbb{C} \Lambda^p \mathfrak{h}$ and differential $d_{ce} = d_1 + d_2$ where:

$$d_1(u \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} (-1)^{i+1} u x_i \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p,$$

$$d_2(u \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p) = \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} u \otimes [x_i, x_j] \wedge x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p,$$

For $\mathfrak{h}$ module V we define $\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h}(V) := \mathrm{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h}, V)$. The homology of this complex is called Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of V: $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathfrak{h}, V)$. Consider a group H such that $\mathrm{Lie}(H) = \mathfrak{h}$, $\mathcal{Z}$ is an affine variety with H-action and H-invariant function F. Suppose we are given $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{MF}_\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$, that is, we have a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ module M with differential D such that $D^2 = F \cdot \mathrm{Id}$ and $D(h \cdot m) = h \cdot D(m)$ for any $h \in H$. The complex $\mathrm{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h}, M)$ is a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$-graded module and we collapse the first $\mathbb{Z}$-grading to $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading.

The map $D + d_{ce}$ respects $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading and the direct computation shows that D and d_{ce} anti-commute. Hence $(D + d_{ce})^2 = F$ and we define $\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{F}) := (\mathrm{Hom}_{U\mathfrak{h}}(\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h}, M), D + d_{ce})$. The differential d_{ce} commutes with the elements of the ring invariants $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]^\mathfrak{h}$, thus the complex $\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}/H, F)$ where $\mathcal{Z}/H = Spec(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]^\mathfrak{h})$.

Later on we will need an analog for the lemma 2.1 in the case of equivariant matrix factorizations. Our proof the lemma 2.1 relies on the fact that every map from $\mathrm{Hom}^{<0}(C^+, C^+)$ is homotopically trivial and hence we can construct a homotopy that connects this map to 0. It appears to us that the analogue of this

statement does not hold in the equivariant setting: the homotopy connecting the map to 0 in general is equivariant up to homotopy.

Thus to make our proof of lemma 2.1 work in equivariant setting one would have to enlarge our category of equivariant complexes to the category of L_∞-complexes. That would make our theory extremely technical and we postpone this development for subsequent publications. Below we explain more elementary way to get around this problem: we incorparate Eilenberg-Chevalley complex in our definition of equivariant matrix factorizations. We give details below and we start with some preliminary material.

Let us define by Δ the standard map $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ defined by $x \mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$. Suppose V and W are modules over Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ then we use notation $V \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} W$ for $\mathfrak{h}$-module which is isomorphic to $V \otimes W$ as vector space, the $\mathfrak{h}$-module structure being defined by Δ. Respectively, for a given $\mathfrak{h}$-equivariant matrix factorization $\mathcal{F} = (M, D)$ we denote by $\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h} \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \mathcal{F}$ the $\mathfrak{h}$-equivariant matrix factorization $(CE_\mathfrak{h} \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \mathcal{F}, D + d_{ce})$. The $\mathfrak{h}$-equivariant structure on $\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h} \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \mathcal{F}$ originates from the left action of $U(\mathfrak{h})$ that commutes with right action on $U(\mathfrak{h})$ used in the construction of $\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h}$.

In more details, for a given $\mathfrak{h}$-module M the complex $\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h} \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} M$ has terms $U(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \Lambda^i(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes M$ with $\mathfrak{h}$-module structure

$$x \cdot (u \otimes \omega \otimes m) = x \cdot u \otimes \omega \otimes m,$$

and the differential of the complex is $d_{ce} = d_1 + d_2$ where:

$$d_1(u \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p \otimes m) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} (-1)^{i+1} \left(u x_i \otimes m x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p \otimes m + \right.$$
$$\left. x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p \otimes x_i \cdot m \right),$$

$$d_2(u \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p) = \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} u \otimes [x_i, x_j] \wedge x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p.$$

A slight modification of the standard fact that $\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h}$ is the resolution of the trivial module implies that $\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h} \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} M$ is a free resolution of the $\mathfrak{h}$-module M.

3.4. **Equivariant matrix factorizations.** We keep previous notations: $\mathcal{Z}$ is an affine variety with H-action and $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]^\mathfrak{h}$. Define a category $\mathrm{Mod}_\mathfrak{h}$ whose objects are finite-dimensional H-representations V. Now define the category $\mathrm{MF}_\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ whose objects are triples (M, D, ∂) where $M = M^0 \oplus M^1$ and $M^i = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}] \otimes V^i$,

$V^i \in \mathrm{Mod}_H$, $\partial \in \oplus_{i>j} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(\Lambda^i\mathfrak{h}\otimes M, \Lambda^j\mathfrak{h}\otimes M)$ and D is an odd endomorphism $D \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(M,M)$ such that

$$D^2 = F, \quad D_{tot}^2 = F, \quad D_{tot} = D + d_{ce} + \partial,$$

where the total differential D_{tot} is an endomorphism of $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}M$, that commutes with the $U(\mathfrak{h})$-action.

Note that we do not impose the equivariance condition on the differential D in our definition of matrix factorizations. On the other hand, if $\mathcal{F} = (M,D) \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z},F)$ is a matrix factorization with D that commutes with $\mathfrak{h}$-action on M then $(M,D,0) \in \mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z},F)$.

There is a natural forgetful functor $\mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z},F) \to \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z},F)$ that forgets about the correction differentials:

$$\mathcal{F} = (M,D,\partial) \mapsto \mathcal{F}^\sharp := (M,D).$$

Given two $\mathfrak{h}$-equivariant matrix factorizations $\mathcal{F} = (M,D,\partial)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = (\tilde{M},\tilde{D},\tilde{\partial})$ the space of morphisms $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F},\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ consists of homotopy equivalence classes of elements $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}M, \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\tilde{M})$ such that $\Psi \circ D_{tot} = \tilde{D}_{tot} \circ \Psi$ and Ψ commutes with $U(\mathfrak{h})$-action on $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}M$. Two map $\Psi, \Psi' \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F},\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ are homotopy equivalent if there is $h \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}M, \mathrm{CE}\mathfrak{h}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\tilde{M})$ such that $\Psi - \Psi' = \tilde{D}_{tot}\circ h + h \circ D_{tot}$ and h commutes with $U(h)$-action on $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}M$.

Given two $\mathfrak{h}$-equivariant matrix factorizations $\mathcal{F} = (M,D,\partial) \in \mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z},F)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = (\tilde{M},\tilde{D},\tilde{\partial}) \in \mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z},\tilde{F})$ $\mathcal{F}\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z},F+\tilde{F})$ as the equivariant matrix factorization $(M\otimes\tilde{M}, D+\tilde{D}, \partial+\tilde{\partial})$.

3.5. **Extension lemmas.** We have the following analogue of Lemma 2.1 and respective uniqueness result.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(C_\bullet, d_\bullet^+)$ be a $\mathfrak{h}$-equivariant complex*

$$C_0 \xleftarrow{d_1^+} C_1 \xleftarrow{d_2^+} \ldots \xleftarrow{d_\ell^+} C_\ell$$

of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ modules and $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]^{\mathfrak{h}}$ such that

1. *Elements of $\mathrm{Hom}_{d_+}^{<0}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ are homotopic to 0*
2. *The element $F \in \mathrm{Hom}_{d_+}^{0}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ is homotopic to 0.*

Then there are homophisms $d^-_{ij} : C_i \to C_j$, $i > j$ *of* $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$*-modules such that*

$$[(C_\bullet, d^+ + d^-)]_{per} \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$$

and there are homomorphisma of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$, $\partial^-_{ij} : \Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{h} \otimes C_i \to \Lambda^{<\bullet}\mathfrak{h} \otimes C_j$, $j > i$ *such that*

$$[(C_\bullet, d^- + d^+, \partial^-)]_{per} \in \mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}, F).$$

We do not provide a proof of this lemma since it is a particular case of a more general statement that is proven below. The more general statement is an equivariant version of lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

Let $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathfrak{h}}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ be a category of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded free $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ modules with endomorphisms equipped with $\mathfrak{h}$-equivariant structure. That is an object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathfrak{h}}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ is the triple (M, D, ∂), $M = M^0 \oplus M^1$, $M^i = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}] \otimes W^i$ where $W^i \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathfrak{h}}$, $D \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(M^1, M^0) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(M^0, M^1)$, $\partial = \sum_{i>j} \partial_{ij}$, $\partial_{ij} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(\Lambda^i\mathfrak{h} \otimes M, \Lambda^j\mathfrak{h} \otimes M)$.

The $\mathfrak{h}$-action on M_i is defined by the Hopf formula and using this action one define differential d_{ce} on $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}M$. The space of morphism between two objects $(M, D, \partial), (\tilde{M}, \tilde{D}, \tilde{\partial}) \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathfrak{h}}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ consists of morphisms $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(M, \tilde{M})$ that intertwine the endomorphisms:

$$\Psi \circ (D + d_{ce} + \partial) = (\tilde{D} + \tilde{d}_{ce} + \tilde{\partial}) \circ \Psi.$$

We do not require $\mathfrak{h}$-equivariance for the morphisms in the category and we do not require $\mathfrak{h}$-equivarince of D.

Similarly we define $\mathrm{Com}^{\mathfrak{h}}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ to be the category of (not necessarily $\mathfrak{h}$-equivariant) complexes of objects of $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathfrak{h}}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$, we do not impose $\mathfrak{h}$-equivariance conditions on the morphisms and homotopies in $\mathrm{Com}^{\mathfrak{h}}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$. In this setting we have the following equivariant version lemma 3.1,3.2:

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, d^+_\bullet)$, $\mathcal{F}_i = (M_i, D_i, \partial_i)$ *be an element of* $\mathrm{Com}^{\mathfrak{h},\ell}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ *and* $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]^{\mathfrak{h}}$ *such that*

1. *Elements of* $\mathrm{Hom}^{<0}_{d_+}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ *are homotopic to* 0
2. *Element* $F - D^2 \in \mathrm{Hom}^0_{d_+}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ *is homotopic to* 0
3. *Element* $F - (D + \partial + d_{ce})^2 \in \mathrm{Hom}^0_{d_+}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ *is homotopic to* 0.

Then there are maps $d_{ij}^- : \mathcal{F}_i \to \mathcal{F}_j$, $i > j$ *of elements of* $\mathrm{Mod}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ *such that*

$$[(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, d^+ + D + d^-)]_{per} \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$$

and there are maps of elements of $\mathrm{Mod}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$, $\partial_{ij}^- : \Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathcal{F}_i \to \Lambda^{<\bullet}\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathcal{F}_j$, $j > i$ *such that*

$$[(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, D + d^- + d^+, \partial + \partial^-)]_{per} \in \mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}, F).$$

Proof. In the proof we would use short hand notations Hom^i, $\mathrm{Hom}^i_{d_+}$ for space morphisms $\mathrm{Hom}^i(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}^i_{d_+}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$. We also assume that we have chosen the signs in our construction of d_+ in such that the equivariance condition is equivalent to $[d_+ + D, d_{ce} + \partial]_+ = 0$. Given linear map $A \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}} \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}} \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ we denote by $[A]_k$ the part of A that is in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}, \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^i$.

As first step of our construction we apply the lemma 3.1 to construct differentials $d_{ij}^- : \mathcal{F}_i \to \mathcal{F}_j$ such that

$$[(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, D + d^+ + d^-)]_{per} \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, F).$$

By the first step and the assumption of the lemma we have

$$(d^+ + d^- + D + \partial + d_{ce})^2 - F = [d^+ + d^- + D, \partial + d_{ce}]_+ + (\partial + d_{ce})^2 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^*\mathfrak{h}, \Lambda^*\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^{\leq 0}.$$

Since $\partial + d_{ce} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{h}, \Lambda^{<\bullet}\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^0$, we conclude that there is $h^{(-1)} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{h}, \Lambda^{<\bullet}\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^{-1}$ such that $[h^{(-1)}, d_+]_+ = (\partial + d_{ce})^2$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} d^{(-1)} &:= (d^+ + d^- + D + \partial + d_{ce})^2 - F - [h^{(-1)}, d_+]_+ \\ &= [d^-, \partial + d_{ce}] \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^*\mathfrak{h}, \Lambda^*\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^{<0}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define $D^{(0)} := d^+ + D + \partial + d_{ce} + d^- + h^{(-1)}$ then we see that

$$(D^{(0)})^2 - F = d^{(-1)} + [h^{(-1)}, d^- + D + \partial + d_{ce}]_+ + (h^{(-1)})^2 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{h}, \Lambda^{<\bullet}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^{<0}.$$

We have proved the seed of induction for the following statement. For any k there is $h^{(k)} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{h}, \Lambda^{<\bullet}\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^{-k}$ such that

$$(D^{(k)})^2 - F \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{h}, \Lambda^{<\bullet}\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^{<-k}, \quad D^{(k)} = D^{(k-1)} + h^{(-k-1)}.$$

Suppose we have constructed $D^{(k)}$ and $h^{(-i)}$, $i \leq k+1$. By induction assumption we have $(D^{(k)})^2 = F + [(D^{(k)})^2]_{<-k}$ and we see that

$$0 = [D^{(k)}, (D^{(k)})^2] = [D^{(k)}, [(D^{(k)})^2]_{<-k}] = [d_+, [(D^{(k)})^2)]_{-k-1}]$$
$$+ [d_+, [(D^{(k)})^2]_{<-k-1}] + [D + \partial + d_{ce} + d^- + \sum_i h^{(-i)}, [(D^{(k)})^2]_{<-k}].$$

the first summand of the last expression is from $\mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{h}, \Lambda^{<\bullet}\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^{-k}$ and the last two summands are from $\mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{h}, \Lambda^{<\bullet}\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^{<-k}$. Hence $[(D^{(k)})^2]_{-k-1} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{d_+}^{-k-1}$ and hence it is homotopic to 0 and thus there is

$$h^{(-k-2)} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{h}, \Lambda^{<\bullet}\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^{-k-2}$$

such that $[(D^{(k)})^2]_{-k-1} = [d_+, h^{(-k-2)}]_+$. The differential $D^{(k+1)} = D^{(k)} + h^{(-k-2)}$ provides the induction step.

By setting $\partial^- = \sum_i h^{(-i)}$ we complete our proof. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, d_\bullet^+)$ be an element of $\mathrm{Com}^{\mathfrak{h},\ell}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]^H$ that satisfy conditions of the previous lemma. Suppose there two sets of differentials $d^-, \hat{d}^- : \mathcal{F}_i \to \mathcal{F}_j$, $\partial_{ij}^-, \hat{\partial}_{ij} : \Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathcal{F}_i \to \Lambda^{<\bullet}\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathcal{F}_j$, $j > i$ such that*

$$[(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, D+\partial+d^++d^-+d_{ce}+\partial^-)]_{per}, [(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, D+\partial+d^++d_{ce}+\hat{d}^-+\hat{\partial}^-)]_{per} \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$$

then there is $\Psi = \sum_{i\le 0} \Psi_i$, $\Psi_{-i} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{-i}(\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\mathcal{F}_\bullet)$, $\Psi_0 = \mathrm{Id}$, $\Psi_{-1} = 0$, such

$$\Psi \circ (D + \partial + d^+ + d^- + d_{ce} + \partial^-) \circ \Psi^{-1} = D + \partial + d^+ + \hat{d}^- + d_{ce} + \hat{\partial}^-.$$

Proof. Let $d_0 = D+\partial+d_{ce}$, $d_- = d^- + \partial^-$ and $D_{tot} = d^+ + d_0 + d_-$, $\hat{d}_- = \hat{\partial}^- + \hat{d}^-$, $\hat{D}_{tot} = d^+ + d_0 + \hat{d}_-$. Suppose we constructed $\Psi_{-i} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{-i}(\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{h}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\mathcal{F}_\bullet)$, $k > i \ge 0$ such that $\Psi^{(k)} := \sum_{0\le i<k} \Psi_{-i}$ satisfies

$$\hat{D}_{tot} - D_{tot}^{(k)} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{\ge -k+1}, \quad D_{tot}^{(k)} = \Psi^{(k)} \circ D_{tot} \circ (\Psi^{(k)})^{-1}.$$

Let us use notation $\Delta = -\hat{D}_{tot} + D_{tot}^{(k)}$ then we have

$$0 = (\hat{D}_{tot} + \Delta)^2 - (\hat{D}_{tot})^2 = [[\Delta]_{-k+1}, d_+]_+ + [[\Delta]_{\le -k}, d_+]_+ + [\Delta, d_0 + \hat{d}_-]_+ + \Delta^2.$$

Since the first summand is from Hom^{-k+2} and the rest of summands are from $\mathrm{Hom}^{\le -k+1}$ we get that $[[\Delta]_{-k+1}, d_+]_+ = 0$. Thus there is $\Psi_{-k} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{-k}$ such that $[\Delta]_{-k+1} = [d^+, \Psi_{-k}]$. The element $\Psi^{(k+1)} := \Psi^{(k)} + \Psi_{-k}$ prove our inductive statement.

□

3.6. **Lifting lemma for morphisms.** To define equivariant push-forward below we need to state few extra facts about our lifting procedure.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose we are are given* $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, d^+_\bullet)$, $\mathcal{F}_i = (M_i, D_i)$ *and* $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\bullet, \tilde{d}^+_\bullet)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i = (\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{D}_i)$ *be an element of* $\mathrm{Com}^{\mathfrak{h},\ell}_{per}(\mathcal{Z})$ *and* $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]^{\mathfrak{h}}$ *such that they satisfy conditions of lemma 3.5 and* ∂, d^-, $\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{d}^-$ *are as in conclusion of lemma 3.5. Let us also assume that elements of* $\mathrm{Hom}^{<0}_{d^+,\tilde{d}^+}(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\bullet)$ *are homotopic to* 0

Suppose also that there is a map $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}^k(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\bullet)$, $k \leq 0$ *such that* $\tilde{d}^+ \circ \Psi = -\Psi \circ d^+$ *and* $(\tilde{D}+\tilde{d}_{ce}+\partial)\circ\Psi - \Psi\circ(D+d_{ce}+\tilde{\partial})$ *is* $d^+, \tilde{d}^+$ *homotopic to* 0. *Then there are* $\mathfrak{h}$*-equivariant elements* $\Psi_i \in \mathrm{Hom}^{k-i}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\bullet)$, $i > 0$ *such that*

$$\tilde{D}_{tot} \circ (\Psi + \sum_i \Psi_i) = (\Psi + \sum_i \Psi_i) \circ D_{tot},$$

$$\tilde{D}_{tot} := \tilde{d}^+ + \tilde{D} + \partial + \tilde{d}^- + \tilde{d}_{ce} + \tilde{\partial}^-, \quad D_{tot} := d^+ + D + \partial + d_{ce} + \partial^-,$$

The elements Ψ_i *are unique up-to the homotopy: if*

$$\Psi'_i \in \mathrm{Hom}^{k-i}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\bullet)$$

is another collection of elements satisfying previous equation then there is h *such that*

$$\sum_i \Psi_i - \Psi'_i = \tilde{D}_{tot} \circ h - h \circ D_{tot}$$

Proof. Let us introduce short-hand notations $d_0 = D+\partial+d_{ce}$ and $\tilde{d}_0 = \tilde{D}+\tilde{\partial}+\tilde{d}_{ce}$, $d_- = d^- + \partial^-$, $\tilde{d}^- = \tilde{d}^- + \tilde{\partial}^-$. We also use notations Hom^i, $\mathrm{Hom}^i_{d_+}$ as in the previous lemmas.

First let us notice that

$$\tilde{D}_{tot} \circ \Psi - \Psi \circ D_{tot} = \left(\tilde{d}_0 \circ \Psi - \Psi \circ d_0\right) + \left(\tilde{d}_- \circ \Psi - \Psi \circ d_-\right).$$

The first summand is homotopic to 0 by the theorem assumption and the second term is from $\mathrm{Hom}^{<k}$ and

$$[d^+, \tilde{d}_- \circ \Psi - \Psi \circ d_-] = [d^+, \tilde{d}_-]_+ \circ \Psi - \Psi \circ [d^+, d_-]_+ = (F - \tilde{d}_0^2)\circ\Psi - \Psi\circ(F - d_0^2) = 0.$$

Thus there are maps $\Psi_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}^{k-1}, \Psi_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}^{k-2}$ such that $[d^+, \Psi_2]_+ = [\tilde{d}_- \circ \Psi - \Psi \circ d_-]_{k-1}$ and $[d^+, \Psi_1]_+ = \tilde{d}_0 \circ \Psi - \Psi \circ d_0$. Hence $\Psi^{(2)} = \Psi + \Psi_1 + \Psi_2$ such that

$$\tilde{D}_{tot} \circ \Psi^{(2)} - \Psi^{(2)} \circ D_{tot} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{\leq k-2}.$$

That is the seed to the inductive construction of $\Psi^{(m)} = \Psi + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Psi_m$ such that

$$\tilde{D}_{tot} \circ \Psi^{(m)} - \Psi^{(m)} \circ D_{tot} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{\leq k-m}\,.$$

To prove the step of induction we observe that

$$\tilde{D}_{tot} \circ \left(\tilde{D}_{tot} \circ \Psi^{(m)} - \Psi^{(m)} \circ D_{tot}\right) - \left(\tilde{D}_{tot} \circ \Psi^{(m)} - \Psi^{(m)} \circ D_{tot}\right) \circ D_{tot} = 0$$

because $D_{tot}^2 = F$ and $\tilde{D}_{tot} = F$. If we denote by R the expression $\tilde{D}_{tot} \circ \Psi^{(m)} - \Psi^{(m)} \circ D_{tot}$ then we get:

$$0 = \tilde{D}_{tot} \circ R - R \circ D_{tot} = [d^+, [R]_{k-m}] + \left((\tilde{d}_0 + \tilde{d}_-) \circ [R]_{k-m} \right.$$
$$\left. -[R]_{k-m} \circ (d_0 + d_-)) + \left(\tilde{D}_{tot} \circ [R]_{<k-m} - [R]_{<k-m} \circ D_{tot}\right)\right.\,.$$

Since the first summand of the last expression is from Hom^{k-m+1} and the last two terms are from $\mathrm{Hom}^{\leq k+m}$ we conclude that $[d^+, [R]_{k-m}] = 0$. Thus $[R]_{k-m} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{d_+}^{k-m}$ and there is $\Psi_{m+1} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{k-m-1}$ such that $[R]_{k-m} = [\Psi_{m+1}, d^+]_+$. Hence $\Psi^{(m+1)} = \Psi^{(m)} + \Psi_{m+1}$ proves the induction step.

The last part of the lemma follows from the following statement. Suppose $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}^{<k}$, $k \leq 0$ is such that $\tilde{D}_{tot} \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ D_{tot}$ then there is $h \in \mathrm{Hom}^{<k+1}$ such that $\Psi' = \Psi - (\tilde{D}_{tot} \circ h + h \circ D_{tot}) \in \mathrm{Hom}^{<k-1}$. Indeed, since

$$\tilde{D}_{tot}\Psi' - \Psi' \circ D_{tot} = (\tilde{D}_{tot})^2 \circ h - h \circ (D_{tot})^2 = 0,$$

we can run inductive argument and construct g such that $\Psi = \tilde{D}_{tot} \circ g + g \circ D_{tot}$.

The statement itself follows from the computation below:

$$0 = \tilde{D}_{tot} \circ \Psi - \Psi \circ D_{tot} = [d^+, [\Psi]_{k-1}] + \left((\tilde{d}_0 + \tilde{d}_-) \circ [\Psi]_{k-1}\right.$$
$$\left. -[\Psi]_{<k-1} \circ (d_0 + d_-)) + \left(\tilde{D}_{tot} \circ [\Psi]_{<k-1} - [\Psi]_{<k-1} \circ D_{tot}\right)\right.$$

since the first summand is from Hom^k and the other summands are from $\mathrm{Hom}^{<k}$ and thus $[d^+, [\Psi]_{k-1}] = 0$ and $[\Psi]_{k-1}$ is homotopically trivial with respect to d_+. It is easy to check that h, such that $[d^+, h]_- = [\Psi]_{k-1}$ provides a proof of the statement. □

3.7. **Equivariant push-forward.** Let $S = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ and Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ acts on $\mathcal{Z}$. Let us assume that $R = S/I$ where $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ and functions f_i form a regular sequence in S and I is $\mathfrak{h}$-invariant. Let $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$. That is the Koszul complex $K(I) = (\Lambda^\bullet W \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}], d_K)$, $W = \mathbb{C}^n$ is $\mathfrak{h}$-equvariant and has homologies only in degree 0 Let $w \in R^{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $W \in S^{\mathfrak{h}}$ such that $W - w \in I$ let us choose $\mathcal{F} = (M, D, \partial) \in \mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}_0, w)$, $M = S^k$ then we can construct a matrix factorization ${}^{\mathfrak{h}}j_*(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}, W)$ in two steps.

Step 1: We apply method of subsection 3.2 to construct $j_*(\mathcal{F}) = (\tilde{M}, \tilde{D} + d_K + d^-) \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Z}, W)$.

Step 2 : Use lemma 3.5 to construct ∂^- such that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := (\tilde{M}, \tilde{D} + d_K + d^-, \partial^- + \partial) \in \mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}, W)$. The element $\mathcal{F}$ is defined up to isomorphism according to lemma 3.6 and we set $j^*(\mathcal{F}) := \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. To complete our construction of the functor:

$$j_* : \mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}_0, w) \to \mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}, W). \tag{3.6}$$

we still need to dicuss the action of the functor on the space of morphisms and homotopies between the morphisms and that is done below.

Let $\mathcal{F} = (M, D, \partial)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = (\tilde{M}, \tilde{D}, \partial) \in \mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}_0, w)$ and ψ is the morphism between $\mathcal{F}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in the category $\mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}_0, w)$. Let us constrict $\mathcal{F}' = (M', D', \partial') = j_*(\mathcal{F})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}' = (\tilde{M}', \tilde{M}', \tilde{\partial}') = j_*(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ as above. The lemma 3.7 implies that we extend it to the morphism Ψ in $\mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}, W)$. Indeed if we choose a extension $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(M, \tilde{M})$, of ψ then $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfies the assumptions of the lemma 3.7 and the lift $\Psi = \tilde{\psi} + \sum_{i>0} \tilde{\psi}_i$ exists. Moreover the second part of the lemma implies that the lift is unique up to the homotopy thus we have the map:

$$j_* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}_0, w)}(\mathcal{F}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}_0, w)}(\mathcal{F}', \tilde{\mathcal{F}}').$$

Thus completed our construction of the functor of homotopic categories (3.6).

4. Convolution algebra: definition

In this section we define convolution product on categories $\mathrm{MF}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$ and $\mathrm{MF}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2, \overline{W})$ and explain how these two convolutions are related. Thus we construct the functor

$$\Phi : \mathrm{MF}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2, \overline{W}) \to \mathrm{MF}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$$

which is an equivariant version of Knorrer periodicity functor [27].

4.1. **Category of B^2-equivariant matrix factorizations.** The Borel subgroup B is the semi-direct product $B = T \ltimes U$ where U is the unipotent group with $\mathrm{Lie}(U) = \mathfrak{n}$. Suppose we are given a variety $\mathcal{Z}$ with B^2-action and $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]^{B^2}$. Then we define $\mathrm{MF}_{B^2}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ as a subcategory of $\mathrm{MF}_{\mathfrak{n}^2}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ that has objects and morphisms which are strongly T^2-equivariant. In more details, an object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{MF}_{B^2}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ is the following collection of data:

$$\mathcal{F} = (M, D, \partial_l, \partial_r),$$

where $M = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}] \otimes W$, $W \in \mathrm{Mod}^{filt}_{\mathfrak{n}^2}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded B^2-module with the strict filtration; D is T^2-equivarinant odd $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$-equivariant endomorphism of M; $\partial_l, \partial_r \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}), \Lambda^{<\bullet}\mathfrak{n}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(M_\bullet, M_{>\bullet})$ are T^2-equivariant maps such that

$$D^2 = F, \quad (D_{tot})^2 = F, \quad D_{tot} = D + d_{ce} + \partial_l + \partial_r,$$

where D_{tot} is $\mathfrak{n}^2$-equivariant endomorphism of $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^2} \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} M$. Here we assume that

$$(\partial_l + \partial_r)(\omega_l \otimes \omega_r \otimes m) = \mathrm{sw}(\omega_r \otimes \partial_l(\omega_l \otimes m)) + \omega_l \otimes \partial_r(\omega_r \otimes m),$$

where $\mathfrak{n}^2 = \mathfrak{n}_l \oplus \mathfrak{n}_r$ and $\omega_l \in \mathfrak{n}_l$, $\omega_r \in \mathfrak{n}_r$ and $\mathrm{sw} : \Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{n} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{n} \otimes M \to \Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{n} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{n} \otimes M$ is the linear map that switches the first two factors.

For a matrix factorization $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = (\tilde{M}, \tilde{D}, \partial)$, the morphism space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}_{B^2}}(\mathcal{F}, \tilde{F})$ consists of homotopy equivalence classes of T^2-equivariant maps

$$\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}^2} \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} M, \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}^2} \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \tilde{M})$$

such that $\Psi \circ D_{tot} = \tilde{D}_{tot} \circ \Psi$ and Ψ-commutes with $\mathfrak{h}^2$ action on $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}^2} \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} M$ and $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{h}^2} \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \tilde{M}$

More generally, for a variety $\mathcal{Z}$ with B^ℓ-action and $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]^{B^\ell}$ there is analogously defined category $\mathrm{MF}_{B^\ell}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ with morphism and objects being T^ℓ-equivariant in the strong sense. Details of the definition of $\mathrm{MF}_{B^\ell}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ are basically identical to the previously given definition.

4.2. **Potential and main category of matrix factorizations.** In this section we introduce an associative convolution operation in the category of matrix factorizations on the space $\mathscr{X}_2$. In subsequent sections we construct a homorphism from the braid group into this convolution algebra. This allows us to associate a particular matrix factorization to a braid and in the sections 13 we explain how one can extract knot homology out of this matrix factorization.

We choose coordinates on $\mathscr{X}_2 = \mathfrak{g} \times (G \times \mathfrak{b})^2$ as (X, g_1, Y_1, g_2, Y_2) and consider a $G \times B^2$-invariant potential on $\mathscr{X}_2$:

$$W(X, g_1, Y_1, g_2, Y_2) = \mathrm{Tr}(X(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_1}(Y_1) - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_2}(Y_2))). \tag{4.7}$$

The torus T_{sc} acts on $\mathscr{X}_2$ and $\deg_{T_{sc}} W = t^2$. Consider the category of $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$ of T_{sc}-graded B^2-equivariant matrix factorizations whose differentials have degree $\deg_{T_{sc}} D = t$. The morphisms in and homotopies in the category are assumed to be T_{sc}-invariant.

Our main category is the subcategory $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)^G$ of $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$ that consists of the complexes that are G-equivariant in the strong sense. The G-action on $\mathscr{X}_2$ is free and as we show in subsection 4.4 the category $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)^G$ is equivalent to the category of B^2-equivariant matrix factorizations on $\mathscr{X}_2/G$. To simplify notations, from now on we use notation $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$ for $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)^G$.

We also use notation $\mathbf{q}^k\mathbf{t}^m$ for shift functor for the weights of the action of T_{sc}: if V is a vector space with $\mathbb{C}^*_t \times \mathbb{C}^*_q$ action then $\mathbf{q}^k\mathbf{t}^m \cdot V$ is the same vector space with q- and t-degrees shifted by k and m: for $v \in V$ and $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^*_t \times \mathbb{C}^*_q$ we define $(\lambda, \mu) \cdot (\mathbf{q}^k\mathbf{t}^m v) = \lambda^k \mu^m \mathbf{q}^k\mathbf{t}^m((\lambda, \mu) \cdot v)$. Respectively we use notation $\mathbf{q}^k\mathbf{t}^m.\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{G\times B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$ for the matrix factorization with the same differentials as $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{G\times B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$ but the the twisted T_{sc}-equivariant structure of the underlying module.

Let us make a small clarification about the t-grading in our categories. Let F be a potential on the space $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ has $T_{sc} = \mathbb{C}^*_t \times \mathbb{C}^*_q$ action such that F has the weight t^2. Then we define $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ to the homotopic category whose objects are 2-quasiperiodic complexes:

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{D_{-1}} M_0 \xrightarrow{D_0} M_1 \xrightarrow{D_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{D_2} \ldots,$$

such that $D_{i+2} = D_i$ and $M_{i+2} = \mathbf{t}^2 \cdot M_i$ and D_i are of qt degree t. In particular, if $F = 0$ then for $\mathcal{F} = (M_\bullet, D_\bullet) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}(\mathcal{Z}, F)$ we have well defined T_{sc}-equivariant modules:

$$\mathrm{H}^{even}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathrm{H}^0(M_\bullet, D_\bullet), \quad \mathrm{H}^{odd}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathrm{H}^1(M_\bullet, D_\bullet).$$

4.3. **Convolution.** There are three natural projection maps $\pi_{12}, \pi_{23}, \pi_{13} : \mathscr{X}_3 \to \mathscr{X}_2$ and $\pi^*_{12}(W) + \pi^*_{23}(W) = \pi^*_{13}(W)$. If we extend the action of $G \times B^2$ on $\mathscr{X}_2$ to the action of $G \times B^3$ by natural projectors $\pi_{ij} : G \times B^3 \to G \times B^2$ then the maps π_{ij} become $G \times B^3$-equivariant and hence for any $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$ we can define an object

$$\pi^*_{12}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi^*_{23}(\mathcal{G}) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^3}(\mathscr{X}_3, \pi^*_{13}(W)).$$

Naively we could define the convolution between $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ as a quotient of the tensor product by the action of the second copy $B^{(2)}$ of B inside $G \times B^3$. We define a derived quotient with the help of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex, we also explain how to define the convolution in a bigger category $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathcal{Z}, W)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$, $\mathcal{F} = (M, D, \partial_l, \partial_r)$, $\mathcal{G} = (\tilde{M}, \tilde{D}, \tilde{\partial}_l, \tilde{\partial}_r)$. The tensor product $M \otimes \tilde{M}$ is naturally a module over B^3 with the action $(b_1, b_2, b_3)(m \otimes \tilde{m}) =$

$(b_1, b_2)\cdot m \otimes (b_2, b_3)\cdot \tilde{m}$, hence we can define a tensor product of pull backs $\pi_{12}^*(\mathcal{F})$ and $\pi_{23}^*(\mathcal{G})$ as an object of $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^3}(\mathscr{X}_3, \pi_{13}^*(W))$:

$$\pi_{12}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi_{23}^*(\mathcal{G}) = (\pi_{12}^*(M) \otimes \pi_{23}^*(\tilde{M}), D + \tilde{D}, \partial_l, \partial_r + \tilde{\partial}_l, \tilde{\partial}_r).$$

From here on we use notation $\mathfrak{n}^{(1)}, \mathfrak{n}^{(2)}, \mathfrak{n}^{(3)}$ for the first, second and third copy of $\mathfrak{n}$ on $\mathfrak{n}^3$; respectively for given $\mathfrak{n}^3$ module M we denote by $d_{ce}^{(i)}$ the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential on $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^3}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes} M$ corresponding i-th copy of $\mathfrak{n}$.

The complex $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is T-equivariant hence $T^{(2)}$-inavriant part $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\pi_{12}^*(\mathcal{F})\otimes \pi_{23}^*(\mathcal{G}))^{T^{(2)}}$ is the matrix factorization from $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_3/B_{(2)}, \pi_{13}^*(W))$. In more details: $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\pi_{12}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes_B \pi_{23}^*(\mathcal{G}))^{T^{(2)}}$ is the matrix factorization with underlying module

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\pi_{12}^*(M)\otimes\pi_{23}^*(\tilde{M}))^{T^{(2)}} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}, \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}\overset{\Delta}{\otimes}\left(\pi_{12}^*(M) \otimes \pi_{23}^*(\tilde{M})\right))^{T^{(2)}},$$

the matrix factorization differential $D^{(2)} := d_{ce}^{(2)} + \partial_r + \tilde{\partial}_l + D + \tilde{D}$ and $\mathfrak{n}^{(1)} \times \mathfrak{n}^{(2)}$ equivariant structure differentials are $\partial_l, \tilde{\partial}_r$.

In particular $(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\pi_{12}^*(M) \otimes \pi_{23}^*(\tilde{M}))^{T^{(2)}}, D^{(2)})$ is a complex over the ring $\pi_{13}^*(\mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_2]) \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_3]^{\mathfrak{b}^{(2)}}$ and as such it is an object of $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}, W)$ which we denote $\pi_{13*}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_{(2)}}(\pi_{12}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi_{23}^*(\mathcal{G}))^T_{(2)}$. The last complex provides us a bilinear operation on $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$:

$$\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{G} := \pi_{13*}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\pi_{12}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi_{23}^*(\mathcal{G}))^{T^{(2)}}) = (\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\pi_{12}^*(M) \otimes \pi_{23}^*(\tilde{M}))^{T^{(2)}}, D^{(2)}, \partial_l, \tilde{\partial}_r). \tag{4.8}$$

The proof of the following proposition is standard: it literally repeats the proof of the associativity of the convolution from the book [7]:

Proposition 4.1. *The binary operation $\star$ defines a strictures of associative algebra on $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$.*

The same formulas define a convolution on $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{\mathbf{B}^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$, we also denote it by $\star$.

4.4. **Convolution of the G-slice.** As we mentioned earlier, $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)^G$ signifies $(G \times B^2)$-equivariant matrix factorizations from $\mathrm{MF}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$. This description becomes simpler when we introduce a smaller space $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ = \mathfrak{g} \times G \times \mathfrak{b}^2$ and the map $p_\circ : \mathscr{X}_2 \to \mathscr{X}_2^\circ$ defined by

$$p_\circ(X, g_1, Y_1, g_2, Y_2) = (\mathrm{Ad}_{g_1}^{-1}(X), g_{12}, Y_1, \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2)_{++}).$$

This map is G-equivariant with the trivial G-action on the target. The space $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ$ is a slice to G-action on $\mathscr{X}_2$.

If we define the function W on $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ$ by

$$W(X, g, Y_1, Y_2) = \mathrm{Tr}(X(Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_g(Y_2)))$$

then the pull back $(p_\circ)^* : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ, W) \to \mathrm{MF}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)^G$ provides an equivalence of categories. Thus we can work with the category $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ, W)$ instead of $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{G\times B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$.

Define $\mathscr{X}_3^\circ := \mathfrak{g} \times G^2 \times \mathfrak{n}^3$. The convolution for the objects of $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ, W)$ is defined with modified maps $\pi^\circ_{ij} : \mathscr{X}_3^\circ \to \mathscr{X}_2^\circ$:

$$\pi^\circ_{12}(X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = (X, g_{12}, Y_1, Y_2),$$
$$\pi^\circ_{23}(X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = (\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(X), g_{23}, Y_2, Y_3),$$
$$\pi^\circ_{13}(X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = (\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{13}}(X), g_{13}, Y_1, Y_3),$$

where $g_{13} = g_{12}g_{23}$. The convolution is then defined by

$$\mathcal{G} \star \mathcal{F} = \pi^\circ_{13*}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\pi^{\circ,*}_{12}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes_B \pi^{\circ,*}_{23}(\mathcal{G})))^{T^{(2)}}.$$

Obviously, the pull-back map $p^*_\circ$ is an isomomorphism of the convolution algebras.

5. Knorrer reduction

5.1. **Construction of the Knorrer functor.** In this section we introduce a slightly smaller space $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2$ which could be used to model our convolution algebra. The advantage of this perspective is that the generators of the braid group have a simpler description.

Let $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2 := \mathfrak{b} \times G \times \mathfrak{n}$ and let us define the following potential on it

$$\overline{W}(X, g, Y) = \mathrm{Tr}(X\mathrm{Ad}_g(Y)). \tag{5.9}$$

This potential is $B \times B$ equivariant with respect to the following action

$$(b_1, b_2) \cdot (X, g, Y) = (\mathrm{Ad}_{b_1}(X), b_1 g b_2^{-1}, \mathrm{Ad}_{b_2}(Y)).$$

The space $\mathscr{X}_2$ projects to the reduced space $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2$ by the projection $\bar\pi$:

$$\bar\pi(X, g_1, Y_1, g_2, Y_2) = (\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_1}(X)_+, g_1^{-1}g_2, Y_2).$$

The map is B-equivariant with respect to the second copy of B in B^2.

The category of matrix factorizations $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B\times B}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2, \overline{W})$ is equivalent to our main category

Proposition 5.1. *There is an embedding of categories*

$$\Phi : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B\times B}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2, \overline{W}) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B\times B}(\mathscr{X}_2, W).$$

Proof. Using the elementary properties of the trace we obtain:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_1}(X)Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_2}(X)Y_2) = \mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{X}^1_+ \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2)) - \mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{X}^1_{--}(Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2)_{++})),$$

where $g_{12} = g_1^{-1}g_2$, $\quad \tilde{X}_i = \mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_i}(X)$. Let us denote the first summand in the last formula as $\overline{W}$.

The last summand in the last formula is quadratic hence the statement of the proposition follows from the main result of [27]. In particular the functor Φ is defined by

$$\Phi(\mathcal{F}) = \bar{\pi}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \left[(\tilde{X}_{1--}) \quad (Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2)_{++})\right], \tag{5.10}$$

where the last term is the Koszul matrix factorization and (M) stands for the column composed of the non-trivial entries of M.

The equation (5.10) defines a functor $\Phi : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2, \overline{W}) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$ which gives a non-equivariant version of our embedding. Unfortunately, the map $\bar{\pi}$ is not B^2-equivariant hence we can not use the pull back along this map to define an equivariant version of the functor Φ. To get around this problem we give another interpretation of the functor Φ that extends to the equivariant setting.

In our construction we use the space $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2} = \mathfrak{b} \times G \times \mathfrak{n} \times G \times \mathfrak{n}$ that projects on $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2$:

$$\pi_y : \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2} \to \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2, \quad \pi_y(X, g_1, Y_1, g_2, Y_2) = (X, g_1^{-1}g_2, Y_2),$$

and is isomorphic to the sub variety of $\mathscr{X}_2$ defined by the ideal I_{--} generated by the entries of the matrix $\tilde{X}_{1,--}$:

$$j^x : \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2} \to \mathscr{X}_2.$$

The generators of the ideal I_{--} form a regular sequence and $\pi_y^*(\overline{W})|_{\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}} = W|_{\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}}$. Hence, according to the subsection 3.7, the functor

$$j_* : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}, \pi_y^*(\overline{W})) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$$

is well defined. Now let us observe that $\Phi(\mathcal{F})^\sharp = j^x_* \circ \pi_y^*(\mathcal{F})$. Indeed, $\pi^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes [(\tilde{X}_{1,--}), 0]$ is an element of $\mathrm{Com}^\ell_{per}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2)$ and the elements $\tilde{X}_{1,--}$ form a regular sequence, hence $\Phi(\mathcal{F})^\sharp$ is a unique extension of $\pi^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes [(\tilde{X}_{1,--}), 0]$ to an element of $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$ from the lemma 3.5. On the other hand since $\pi|_{\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}} = \pi_y$, thus $\pi^*(\mathcal{F})$ is an extension of $\pi_y^*(\mathcal{F})$ on $\mathscr{X}_2$ (as required by the first step of our construction of

j^x_* from the section 3.2). Thus $j^x_* \circ \pi_y^*(\mathcal{F})$ is also an extension of $\pi^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes [\tilde{X}_{1,--}, 0]$ to an element of $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}(\mathscr{X}_2, W)$. Hence by the uniqueness result we have $\Phi(\mathcal{F})^\sharp = j^x_* \circ \pi_y^*(\mathcal{F})$.

There is a unique B^2 equivariant structure on $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}$ that makes the map π_y B^2-equivariant. Let's fix this B^2-equivariant structure on $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}$. Moreover, the ideal I_{--} is also B^2-invariant. Let $\hat{Y} := Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2)$ Indeed, the variables $\hat{Y}_{++}, Y_2, \tilde{X}_1, g_{12}, g_1$ form a coordinate system on $\mathscr{X}_2$ and are $B_{(2)}$-equivariant for trivial reasons. On the other hand, the action of the Lie algebra of the unipotent part of $B_{(1)}$ is given by

$$E_{ij} \cdot \tilde{X}_{1,kl} = \delta_{kj}\tilde{X}_{1,il} - \delta_{li}\tilde{X}_{1,kj}, \quad E_{ij} \cdot \hat{Y}_{kl} = \delta_{kj}\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2)_{il} - \delta_{li}\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2)_{kj},$$

$$E_{ij} \cdot (g_{12})_{kl} = \delta_{ki}(g_{12})_{jl}.$$

and the action on the rest of coordinates is trivial. Thus I_{--} is $\mathfrak{n}^2$ invariant. Moreover, the above mentioned B^2-action on $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}$ coincides with the standard B^2-action on $\mathscr{X}_2$ restricted to on B^2-inbvariant subvariety $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}$.

Thus we defined a functor:

$$\Phi : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2, \overline{W}) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W), \quad \Phi(\mathcal{F}) = j^x_* \circ \pi_y^*(\mathcal{F}).$$

To show that the functor Φ is an embedding we define the functor $\Psi : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2, \overline{W})$ such that $\Psi \circ \Phi = 1$. Since the ideal I_{--} generated by the matrix elements of $\tilde{X}_{1,--}$ is B^2 invariant we have well defined functor $Rest : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}, \pi_y^*(\overline{W}))$ which is given by taking a quotient by the ideal I_{--}. The push-forward along the projection $\pi_y : \mathscr{X}_2 \times \mathfrak{n} \to \mathscr{X}_2$ provides the last step of the construction for $\Psi := \pi_{y*} \circ Rest$. □

5.2. **Properties of the Knorrer functor.** As in the previous proof, CC denotes the correction complex

$$CC := \begin{bmatrix} (\tilde{X}_{1--}) & (Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2)_{++}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us explain the equivariant structure of this matrix factorization. Since $B_{(2)}$-action does not change entries of the complex CC, the $B_{(2)}$ equivariant structure of $B_{(2)}$ is trivial.

To describe $B_{(1)}$ equivariant structure, let us write the differential of CC as

$$D^{kn} = D^{kn}_+ + D^{kn}_-, D^{kn}_+ = \sum_{k>l} (\tilde{X}_{1,--})_{kl}\theta_{kl}, \quad D_- = \sum_{k>l} (Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2)_{++})_{lk} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{kl}},$$

where θ_{ij} are odd variables. The equivariant structure is defined by the action on θ_{kl}:

$$E_{ij}\cdot\theta_{kl}=\sum_{k>i}\theta_{ki}-\sum_{j>l}\theta_{jl}$$

The differential D^{kn} is not $\mathfrak{n}_{(2)}$ invariant:

$$E_{ij}\cdot D^{kn}_{+}=0,\quad E_{ij}\cdot D^{kn}_{-}=\sum_{j>l}[\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2)_{-}]_{il}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_{jl}}-\sum_{k>i}[\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2)_{-}]_{ik}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_{ki}}.$$

Thus the correction differentials are non-trivial in $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ but the correction differentials are not arbitrary and below we emphasize properties of the correction differentials that are needed for the proofs that follow.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}=(M,D,\partial_l,\partial_r)\in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2,\overline{W})$, $M=V_{\mathrm{m}}\otimes\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2]$, $V_{\mathrm{m}}\in\mathrm{Mod}^{filt}_{\mathfrak{n}^2}$ The matrix factorization $\Phi(\mathcal{F})\in\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2,W)$ is the equivariant matrix factorization*

$$(V_{\mathrm{m}}\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_2],D+D^{kn},\tilde{\partial}_l,\tilde{\partial}_r)$$

where $\tilde{\partial}_r=\partial_r$.

Proof. The only part that requires a discussion is the fact that the differential $\Phi(\mathcal{F})^{\sharp}$ has differential $D+D^{kn}$: appriori our construction of the push forward $j^x_*(\pi_y^*(\mathcal{F}))$ relies on the extension lemma 3.1 and involves choice of an extension $\tilde{D}$ of D from variety $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}$ to $\mathscr{X}_2$ and completion of $\tilde{D}+D^{kn}_{+}$ to the differential $\tilde{D}+D^{kn}_{+}+d^{-}$ such that $(\tilde{D}+D^{kn}_{+}+d^{-})^2=W$.

However, in our case map j^x is actually a section of the (non-equivariant) map:

$$\pi_x:\mathscr{X}_2\to\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2},\quad \pi_x(X,g_1,Y_1,g_2,Y_2)=(\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_1}(X)_{+},g_1^{-1}g_2,Y_2).$$

Thus according to the remark 3.3 we can choose $\tilde{D}$ to be $D=\bar{\pi}^*(D)=\pi_x^*(\pi_y^*(D))$. Moreover, $d^{-}=D^{kn}_{-}$ because $(D+D^{kn})^2=W$ and the completion of the differential is unique up to an isomorphism. □

5.3. **Convolution on the reduced space.** In order to define the convolution on the smaller space $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2$, consider the space $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3:=\mathfrak{b}\times G^2\times\mathfrak{n}$ with the following B^3-action

$$(b_1,b_2,b_3)\cdot(X,g_{12},g_{23},Y)=(\mathrm{Ad}_{b_1}(X),b_1g_{12}b_2^{-1},b_2g_{23}b_3^{-1},\mathrm{Ad}_{b_3}(Y)).$$

There are the following maps $\bar{\pi}_{ij}:\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3\to\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2$:

$$\bar{\pi}_{12}(X,g_{12},g_{13},Y)=(X,g_{12},\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y)_{++}),$$

$$\bar{\pi}_{23}(X, g_{12}, g_{13}, Y) = (\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(X)_+, g_{23}, Y),$$

$$\bar{\pi}_{13}(X, g_{12}, g_{13}, Y) = (X, g_{12}g_{23}, Y).$$

Proposition 5.3. $\bar{\pi}^*_{12}(\overline{W}) + \bar{\pi}^*_{23}(\overline{W}) = \bar{\pi}^*_{13}(\overline{W})$.

Proof. Indeed we have

$$\bar{\pi}^*_{12}(\overline{W}) + \bar{\pi}^*_{23}(\overline{W}) = \mathrm{Tr}(X\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y)_{++})) + \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(X)_+\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y)) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(X)\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y)_{++}) + \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(X)_+\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y)).$$

Thus the statement follows is implied by

$$\mathrm{Tr}(AB_{++}) + \mathrm{Tr}(A_+B) = \mathrm{Tr}(AB) - \mathrm{Tr}(AB_-) + \mathrm{Tr}(A_+B_-) = \mathrm{Tr}(AB) + \mathrm{Tr}(A_-B_-) = \mathrm{Tr}(AB).$$

□

For given $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B\times B}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2, W)$ it is tempting to define

$$\mathcal{F}\bar{\star}\mathcal{G} := \bar{\pi}_{13*}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\bar{\pi}^*_{12}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \bar{\pi}^*_{23}(\mathcal{G})))^{T^{(2)}}, \tag{5.11}$$

where $\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}$, $T^{(2)}$ are the subalgebras of the middle factor in $\mathfrak{b}^3$ acting on $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3$. The only issue with this definition is that the maps $\bar{\pi}_{12}$ and $\bar{\pi}_{23}$ are not B^3 equivariant. Thus we have to define the B^3-equivariant structure on the pull back $\bar{\pi}^*_{12}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \bar{\pi}^*_{23}(\mathcal{G})$ and we do it below.

5.4. **Reduced vs. non-reduced convolution.** Let $\bar{\pi}_{con} : \mathscr{X}_3 \to \overline{\mathscr{X}}_3$ be the map defined by

$$\bar{\pi}_{con}(X, g_1, Y_1, g_2, Y_2, g_3, Y_3) = (\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_1}(X)_+, g_1^{-1}g_2, g_2^{-1}g_3, Y_3).$$

Proposition 5.4. *Suppose $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2, \overline{W})$ then there is a sequence of row transformations that identifies $\pi^*_{12}(\Phi(\mathcal{F})) \otimes_B \pi^*_{23}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}))$ with the B^3-equivariant matrix factorization $\mathcal{H} = (M, D, \partial_l, \partial_m, \partial_r)$,*

$$\partial_l, \partial_m, \partial_r : \Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{n} \otimes M_\star \to \Lambda^{<\bullet} \otimes M_{\geq\star}, \tag{5.12}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^\sharp = (M, D) = \bar{\pi}^*_{con}(\bar{\pi}^*_{12}(\mathcal{F})) \otimes \bar{\pi}^*_{con}(\bar{\pi}^*_{23}(\mathcal{G})) \otimes [Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)_{++}, 0] \otimes \pi^*_{13}(CC)$$

This proposition allows us to construct B^3-equivariant structure on $\bar{\pi}_{12}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \bar{\pi}_{23}^*(\mathcal{G})$. First, we introduce few auxiliary spaces and map: $\widehat{\mathscr{X}_3} := \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{n} \times G^3 \times \mathfrak{n}$ and $\hat{\pi} : \mathscr{X}_3 \to \widehat{\mathscr{X}_3}$ is given by

$$\hat{\pi}(X, g_1, Y_2, g_2, Y_2, g_3, Y_3) = (X, Y_1, g_1, g_2, g_3, Y_3).$$

We can embed $\widehat{\mathscr{X}_3}$ into $\mathscr{X}_3$ by

$$\hat{j} : \widehat{\mathscr{X}_3} \to \mathscr{X}_3, \quad \hat{j}(X, Y_1, g_1, g_2, g_3, Y_3) \mapsto (X, g_1, Y_1, g_2, \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)_{++}, g_3, Y_3).$$

The map $\hat{j}$ the section of $\hat{\pi}$.

On the other hand, the matrix factorization $\mathcal{H}^\sharp$ has Koszul complex $[Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)_{++}, 0]$ as one of the factors. In other words, $\mathcal{H}^\sharp = (M, D'' + D')$, where $D' = \sum_{ij}(Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)_{++})_{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_{ij}}$. Since the differential has the property (5.12) we contract $\mathcal{H}$ along the differential D' to obtain a homotopy equivalence of the matrix factorizations over $\mathbb{C}[\widehat{\mathscr{X}_3}]$

$$\mathcal{H} \sim \widehat{\mathcal{H}} = (\tilde{M}, \tilde{D}, \tilde{\partial}_l, \tilde{\partial}_m, \tilde{\partial}_r) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\widehat{\mathscr{X}_3}, \hat{j}^* \circ \pi_{13}^*(W))$$

where $\tilde{D} = \hat{j}^*(D'')$, $\hat{\partial}_l = \hat{j}(\partial_l)$, $\hat{\partial}_m = \hat{j}^*(\partial_m)$, $\hat{\partial}_r = \hat{j}^*(\partial_r)$.

Next, we introduce an analogue of the Knorrer functor:

$$\Phi^{(13)} : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3, \bar{\pi}_{13}^*(\overline{W})) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\widehat{\mathscr{X}_3}, \hat{j}^* \circ \pi_{13}^*(W)).$$

For that we introduce the space

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_3} = \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{n} \times G^3 \times \mathfrak{n}$$

and fix a B^3-equivariant embedding $\tilde{j^x} : \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_3} \to \widehat{\mathscr{X}_3}$, by identifying $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_3}$ with the subvariety of $\widehat{\mathscr{X}_3}$ defined by the ideal $\tilde{I}_{--}$ generated by the entries of $\mathrm{Ad}_{g_1}^{-1}(X)_{--}$.

The projection $\tilde{\pi}_y : \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_3} \to \overline{\mathscr{X}}_3$ defined by

$$\tilde{\pi}_y(X, Y_1, g_1, g_2, g_3, Y_3) = (X, g_1^{-1}g_2, g_2^{-1}g_3, Y_3).$$

is B^2-equivariant. Thus since $\tilde{I}_{--}$ is B^3-equivariant we can define the functor:

$$\Phi^{(13)} : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^3}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3, \overline{W}) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^3}(\widehat{\mathscr{X}_3}, \hat{j}^* \circ \pi_{13}^*(W)), \quad \Phi(\mathcal{F}) = \tilde{j}_*^x \circ \tilde{\pi}_y^*(\mathcal{F}).$$

The following statement is analogous to the proposition 5.1 and omit its proof:

Proposition 5.5. *The functor $\Phi^{(13)}$ provides an embedding of categories and*

$$\Phi^{(13)}(\mathcal{F})^\sharp = \tilde{\pi}_{con}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes [(\tilde{X}_{1,--})(Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{13}}(Y_3)_{++})],$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_{con}(X, Y_1, g_1, g_2, g_3, Y_3) = (\mathrm{Ad}_{g_1}^{-1}(X)_+, g_1^{-1}g_2, g_2^{-1}g_3, Y_3)$.

Let us define a matrix factorization $\overline{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3, \overline{W})$ in two steps. First, let us observe that there is a well-defined functor $Rest : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\widehat{\mathscr{X}_3}, \hat{j}^* \circ \pi_{13}^*(W)) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_3}, \tilde{\pi}_y^*(\overline{W}))$ which is the functor of taking quotient by the B^3-equivariant ideal $\tilde{I}_{--}$. Second as a second step we apply push forward along the projection map:

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}} := \tilde{\pi}_{y*} \circ Rest(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}).$$

The main result of this subsection is the following

Proposition 5.6.

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \Phi^{(13)}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}).$$

Proof. Indeed, proposition 5.4 implies that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}^\sharp} = \tilde{\pi}^*_{con}(\bar{\pi}^*_{12}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \bar{\pi}^*_{23}(\mathcal{G})) \otimes [(\tilde{X}_{1,--})(Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{13}}(Y_3)_{++})].$$

Hence $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \bar{\pi}^*_{12}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \bar{\pi}^*_{23}(\mathcal{G})$ and both $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\Phi^{(13)}(\overline{\mathcal{H}})$ provide an B^2-equivariant extension of $\tilde{\pi}_y^*(\overline{\mathcal{H}})$ from $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_3}$ to $\widehat{\mathscr{X}_3}$. Hence the result follows from the uniqueness of the extension. □

The proof of the previous proposition explains that $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is B^3-equivariant matrix factorization such that $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^\sharp = \bar{\pi}^*_{12}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \bar{\pi}^*_{23}(\mathcal{G})$. Thus we equipped the pull back $\bar{\pi}^*_{12}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \bar{\pi}^*_{23}(\mathcal{G})$ with B^3-equivariant structure. We use notation

$$(\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_B \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^3}(\mathscr{X}_3, \bar{\pi}^*_{13}(\overline{W})).$$

We define binary operation on $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2, \overline{W})$ by

$$\mathcal{F} \bar{\star} \mathcal{G} := \bar{\pi}_{13*} \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}} \left((\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_B \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}) \right)^{T^{(2)}}.$$

The associativity of this binary operation follows from

Corollary 5.7. *For any $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2, \overline{W})$ we have*

$$\Phi(\mathcal{F}) \star \Phi(\mathcal{G}) = \Phi(\mathcal{F} \bar{\star} \mathcal{G}).$$

Proof. There is a natural projection map $\hat{\pi}_{13} : \widehat{\mathscr{X}_3} \to \mathscr{X}_2$,

$$\hat{\pi}_{13}(X, Y_1, g_1, g_2, g_3, Y_3) = (X, Y_1, g_1, g_3, Y_3).$$

Since we have homotopy $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \sim \mathcal{H}$, we conclude that

$$\Phi(\mathcal{F}) \star \Phi(\mathcal{G}) = \pi_{13*}(\mathcal{H}) = \hat{\pi}_{13*}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}) = \hat{\pi}_{13*}(\Phi^{(13)}(\overline{\mathcal{H}})).$$

Thus to prove the statement we need to show that $\hat{\pi}_{13*} \circ \Phi^{(13)} = \Phi \circ \bar{\pi}_{13*}$ or equivalently $\hat{\pi}_{13*} \circ \tilde{j}^x_* \circ \tilde{\pi}^*_y = j^x_* \circ \tilde{\pi}^*_y \circ \bar{\pi}_{13*}$. The later identity holds because the map $\hat{\pi}_{13} \times \bar{\pi}_{13} : \widehat{\mathscr{X}_3} \times \overline{\mathscr{X}}_3 \to \mathscr{X}_2 \times \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}$ sends the kernel of $\Phi^{(13)}$, which is $\tilde{j}^x \times \tilde{\pi}_y(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_3}) \subset \widehat{\mathscr{X}_3} \times \overline{\mathscr{X}}_3$ to the kernel of the map Φ, which is $j^x \times \tilde{\pi}_y(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}) \subset \mathscr{X}_2 \times \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}$. More formally, we can proceed with the sequence of base isomorphisms as follows. We have the following diagram of maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\widehat{\mathscr{X}_3} & \xleftarrow[\tilde{j}^x]{} & \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_3} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_y} & \overline{\mathscr{X}}_3 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\pi}_{13}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\pi}_{13}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bar{\pi}_{13}} \\
\mathscr{X}_2 & \xleftarrow[j^x]{} & \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_y} & \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2
\end{array}$$

where the dashed arrow map $\tilde{\pi}_{13}$ is a unique smooth map such that the diagram commutes. Then we can use change of base isomorphism to show:

$$j^x_* \circ \tilde{\pi}^*_y \circ \bar{\pi}_{13*} = j^x_* \circ \tilde{\pi}_{13*} \circ \tilde{\pi}^*_y = \hat{\pi}_{13*} \circ \tilde{j}^x_* \circ \tilde{\pi}^*_y$$

□

Before explaining the proof of the proposition 5.4 let us discuss of the elementary transformations in the context of our extension lemma 3.5.

5.5. **Row operations for generalized equivariant Koszul matrix factorizations.** We use notations of the lemma 3.5 here and we also assume that the complex $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, d^+)$ is the Koszul complex tensored with matrix factorization (M, D, ∂):

$$\mathcal{F}_\bullet = \tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet V, \quad d^+ = \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_i \theta_i,$$

where θ_i form a basis of V and $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ form a regular sequence in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$. We call such matrix factorizations generalized equivariant Koszul matrix factorizations.

In these notations the corrective differentials that are computed by the iterative procedure from lemma 3.5 are of the form

$$d^- = \sum_{\vec{i}} d^-_{\vec{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{\vec{i}}}, \quad \partial^- = \sum_{\vec{i}} \partial^-_{\vec{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{\vec{i}}},$$

where $\theta_{\vec{i}} = \theta_{i_1} \ldots \theta_{i_k}$ and $d^-_{\vec{i}} \in Hom_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{M})$, $\partial^-_{\vec{i}} \in Hom(\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{n}, \Lambda^{<\bullet} \mathfrak{n}) \otimes Hom_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{M})$.

Let us use notation $D_{tot} := d^+ + D + \partial + d^- + \partial^- + d_{ce}$. There are three types of (generalized) row transformations for the complex $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, d^+ + D + d^-, \partial + \partial^-)$.

The first type is given by automophism $\exp(\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k})$, $\phi\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F})$ is an odd automorphism and the affect of this autmorphism on the differential is:

$$\exp(-\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k})\circ D_{tot}\circ\exp(\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k})=\Big(D+d_-+d_++[\phi,D]_+\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k}+f_k\phi\Big) \tag{5.13}$$
$$+d_{ce}+\partial+\partial^-+[\phi,d_{ce}+\partial+\partial_-]_+\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k}.$$

That is the result of the row transformation of the first type is the equivariant matrix factorization

$$(\tilde{M},D+d_-+d_++[\phi,D]_+\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k}+f_k\phi,\partial+\partial^-+[\phi,d_{ce}+\partial+\partial_-]_+\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k}).$$

The second type elementary row transformation is given by conjugation by the automorphism $\exp(\phi\theta)$. The result of conjugation of D_{tot} by this automorphims is the following expression

$$\exp(-\phi\theta_k)\circ D_{tot}\circ\exp(\phi\theta_k)=D_{tot}+D'+\partial'.$$

$$D'=[\phi,D]_+\theta_k+\sum_{k\in\vec{i}}d^-_{\vec{i}}\,\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\widehat{\theta}_{\vec{i}}}+\theta_k\sum_{\vec{i}}[\phi,d^-_{\vec{i}}]\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_{\vec{i}}}, \tag{5.14}$$

$$\partial'=\sum_{k\in\vec{i}}\partial^-_{\vec{i}}\,\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\widehat{\theta}_{\vec{i}}}+\phi d^k_{ce}+\theta_k(\sum_i[\phi,d^i_{ce}]\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i}+[\phi,\partial]_++\sum_{\vec{i}}[\phi,\partial^-_{\vec{i}}]\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_{\vec{i}}}), \tag{5.15}$$

where $\partial\widehat{\theta}_{\vec{i}}=\partial\theta_{i_1}\dots\widehat{\partial\theta_k}\dots\partial_{i_m}$, $\vec{i}=(i_1,\dots,i_m)$ and $d_{ce}=\sum_i d^i_{ce}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i}$.

The computation above indicates that if last summands in (5.14) and (5.15) vanish

$$\sum_{\vec{i}}[\phi,d^-_{\vec{i}}]\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_{\vec{i}}}=0,\quad \sum_i[\phi,d^i_{ce}]\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i}+[\phi,\partial]_++\sum_{\vec{i}}[\phi,\partial^-_{\vec{i}}]\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_{\vec{i}}}=0 \tag{5.16}$$

then result of the row transformation is the equivariant matrix factorization:

$$(\tilde{M},d^++D+d^-+[\phi,D]_+\theta_k+\sum_{k\in\vec{i}}d^-_{\vec{i}}\,\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\widehat{\theta}_{\vec{i}}},\partial+\partial^-+\sum_{k\in\vec{i}}\partial^-_{\vec{i}}\,\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\widehat{\theta}_{\vec{i}}}+\phi d^k_{ce})$$

Finally the third type of row operation is given by automorphism $\exp(c\theta_i\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_j})$ where $c\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F})$ is an even morphism. This type of row transformation was discussed in the subsection 2.3 for strongly equivariant matrix factorizations. We will use these type row operations only for strongly equivariant matrix factorization and omit a discussion about complications that arise for weakly equivariant generalized Koszul complexes.

The key feature of the row transformations discussed here is that differentials that encode the equivariant structure of the matrix factorization do not contribute to the change of the non-equivariant shadow of the matrix factorization. Other words, if T is one the transformations discussed above then

$$T(\mathcal{F})^\sharp = T(\mathcal{F}^\sharp).$$

5.6. **Proof of proposition 5.4.** Let us expand the definition of convolution to obtain the following expression for $\pi_{12}^*(\Phi(\mathcal{F})^\sharp) \otimes \pi_{23}^*(\Phi(\mathcal{G})^\sharp)$:

$$\begin{aligned}(5.17)\quad &\pi_{12}^*(\bar\pi^*(\mathcal{F}^\sharp)\otimes CC)\otimes \pi_{23}^*(\bar\pi^*(\mathcal{G}^\sharp)\otimes CC)\\ &\qquad = (\pi_{12}\circ\bar\pi)^*(\mathcal{F}^\sharp)\otimes(\pi_{23}\circ\bar\pi)^*(\mathcal{G}^\sharp)\otimes\pi_{12}^*(CC)\otimes\pi_{23}^*(CC).\end{aligned}$$

The last factor is the Koszul complex $\left[(\tilde X^2_{--}) \quad (Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)_{++})\right]$ hence we can use row transformations of second type to eliminate variables Y_2 from the matrix factorization (5.17). More precisely, use the row transformations of the second type to eliminate Y_2 from the first factor $(\pi_{12}\circ\bar\pi)^*(\mathcal{F})$ and from the second to last factors $\pi_{12}^*(CC)$.

We can apply the second type of row transformation because $(\pi_{12}\circ\bar\pi)^*(\mathcal{F}^\sharp)$ and $\pi_{12}^*(CC)$ are the factors of $\pi_{12}^*(\Phi(\mathcal{F})^\sharp)$, thus differentials in these matrix factorizations commute with the differentials from the factor $\pi_{23}^*(\Phi(\mathcal{G})^\sharp)$ and hence the admissibility condition (5.16) is satisfied. On the other hand, the second factor $(\pi_{23}\circ\bar\pi)^*(\mathcal{G}^\sharp)$ has no dependence on Y_2.

The result of elimination of Y_2 from the factor before the last is

$$CC_{123} = [\tilde X_{1,--}, Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)_{++})_{++}]$$

and the first two factors are

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{12}^*(\bar\pi^*(\mathcal{F}))|_{Y_2=\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)_{++}} &= \mathcal{F}|_{Y=\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)_{++},X_+=\tilde X_{1,+}} = \bar\pi_{con}^*(\bar\pi_{12}^*(\mathcal{F}))\\ \pi_{23}^*(\bar\pi^*(\mathcal{G}))|_{Y_2=\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)_{++}} &= \mathcal{G}|_{Y=Y_3,X_+=\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(\tilde X_1)_+},\end{aligned}$$

where (X_+, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y) are coordinates on $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3$. After the elimination the only term that has dependence on Y_2 is the Koszul complex $\left[G \quad (Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)_{++})\right]$ and since $\pi_{13}^*(W)$ does not depend on Y_2 and the correction correction differentials ∂, which could depend on Y_2 does not contribute the square of the total differential, the element G must vanish.

As the next step we use the complex CC_{123} to eliminate dependence on $\tilde X_{1,--}$ from the other terms in the tensor product (5.17). For that we use the first type of row transformation and we do not need to worry about the admissibility of

our eliminations. The term $\bar{\pi}^*_{con}(\bar{\pi}^*_{12}(\mathcal{F}))$ has no dependence on $\tilde{X}_{1,--}$ so it is not affected by this elimination. On the other hand the second term becomes:

$$\mathcal{G}|_{Y=Y_3,X_+=\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(\tilde{X}_1)_+,\tilde{X}_{1,---}=0} = \mathcal{G}|_{Y=Y_3,X_+=\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(\tilde{X}_{1,+})_+} = \bar{\pi}^*(\bar{\pi}^*_{23}(\mathcal{G})).$$

After this round of elimination the only dependence on $\tilde{X}_{1,--}$ in (5.17) comes from the Koszul complex $[\tilde{X}_{1,--}, G']$ hence G' must be the derivative of $\pi^*_{13}(W)$ by $\tilde{X}_{1,--}$ that is $G' = Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{13}}(Y_3)$. That is after the elemenations $Y_2, \tilde{X}_{1,--}$ we obtain the complex

$$\bar{\pi}^* \left(\bar{\pi}^*_{12}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \bar{\pi}^*_{23}(\mathcal{G})\right) \otimes [Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)_{++}, 0] \otimes \pi^*_{13}(CC) \tag{5.18}$$

as we claimed in the proposition.

6. Induction functors

The main goal of this section is to construct induction functors:

$$\mathrm{ind}_k : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_k}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_k), W) \times \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_{n-k}}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_{n-k}), W) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_n}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n), W),$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{ind}}_k : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_k}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_k), \overline{W}) \times \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_{n-k}}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_{n-k}), \overline{W}) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_n}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n), \overline{W}).$$

and to show that these functors are intertwined by the Knorrer functors.

Proposition 6.1. *We have*

$$\mathrm{ind}_k \circ (\Phi_k \times \Phi_{n-k}) = \Phi_n \circ \mathrm{ind}_k.$$

We also show that the induction functor is the convolution algebra homomorphism.

Proposition 6.2. *For any*

$$\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{G}_1 \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_k}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_k), W), \quad \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{G}_2 \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_{n-k}}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_{n-k}), W).$$

We have

$$\mathrm{ind}_k(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \star \mathrm{ind}_k(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2) = \mathrm{ind}_k(\mathcal{F}_1 \star \mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_1 \star \mathcal{G}_2).$$

Finally, we define the generators of the braid group on two strands and using the induction functor explain how we construct the generators for the braid group on arbitrary number of strands.

6.1. **Construstion of the induction functor.** Let us set notations for the parabolic subgroups of G_n and of $\mathbf{G}_n$. We denote by $\mathbf{P}_k \subset \mathbf{G}_n$ the subgroup with Lie algebra generated by E_{ij}, $i \leq j$ and $E_{i+1,i}$, $i \neq k$. We denote the corresponding Lie algebra as $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{P}_k)$.

The intersection $\mathbf{P}_n \cap G_n$ consists of the matrices with block structure and for matrix A from the intersection we denote by A' its $k \times k$ block and by A'' its $(n-k)\times(n-k)$ block. P_k denotes a subgroup of the product $(\mathbf{P}_k \cap G_n) \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ consisting of matrices (A, λ, μ) such that $\det(A') = \lambda^k$, $\det(A'') = \mu^{n-k}$ and respectively $\mathfrak{p}_k$ denotes its Lie algebra.

As a scheme, $P_k = (\mathbf{P}_k \cap G_n) \times \mu_k \times \mu_{n-k}$ where μ_r is the group r-th roots of 1. Thus P_k is not strictly speaking a subgroup of G_n however there is a natural homomorphism $i_k : P_k \to G_n$ and will allow ourself abuse terminology and call P_k a parabolic subgroup of G_n. The fact that i_k is a composition of unramifiied cover and embedding does not affects our constructions of push-forwards and we will abuse terminology by calling it i_k-embedding.

We define $\mathscr{X}^\circ(P_k) \subset \mathscr{X}^\circ(G_n)$ as a locus of (X, g_{12}, Y_1, Y_2) such that $g_{12} \in P_k$ and $X \in \mathfrak{p}_k$. Let i_k be the natural map $\mathscr{X}^\circ(P_k) \to \mathscr{X}^\circ(G_n)$. The image of i_k is defined by the equations $\Pi^k_{--}(g_{12}) = 0$, $\Pi^k_{--}(X) = 0$ where Π^k_{--} is the projection from the space of $n \times n$ matrices to its ij entries $i \in [k+1, n]$, $j \in [1, k]$.

The ideal generated by $\Pi^k_{--}(g_{12})$, $\Pi^k_{--}(X)$ is B_n^2-invariant and these elements form a regular sequence. Hence there is a well-defined functor

$$i_{k*} : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_k), W) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n), W)$$

induced by the embedding.

Let us denote by $\Pi^k_{++} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ the linear projection from the space of $n \times n$ matrices to its ij entries $i \in [1, k]$, $j \in [k+1, n]$. In particular the image of $(\mathrm{Id} - \Pi^k_{++})(\mathfrak{p}_k)$ is naturally identified with $\mathfrak{g}_k \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{n-k} \oplus \mathbb{C}$, we denote by p_k the composition of this map together with the natural projection onto $\mathfrak{g}_k \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{n-k}$. Similarly, we have a map $p_k : P_k \to G_k \times G_{n-k}$ defined by $(g, \lambda, \mu) \mapsto (g'/\lambda, g''/\mu)$.

By applying p_k to all factors of the space $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_k)$ we obtain the map $p_k : \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_k) \to \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_k) \times \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_{n-k})$. Using homomorphism $p_k \colon B_n \to B_{k,n-k}$ we can extend $\mathfrak{n}_k^2 \times \mathfrak{n}_{n-k}^2$-equivariant structure of $\mathscr{X}_2(G_k) \times \mathscr{X}_2(G_{n-k})$ to the $\mathfrak{n}_n^2$ equivariant structure and the map p_k is $\mathfrak{n}_n^2$-equivariant in this setting. Moreover, since $T_n = T_k \times T_{n-k} \times \mu$ where μ is an finite subgroup of the group of roots of

unity, we have the following functor:

$$p_k^* : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_k}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_k), W) \times \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_{n-k}}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_{n-k},)W) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_n}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_n), W).$$

The induction functor is defined as composition of maps:

$$\mathrm{ind}_k := i_{k*} \circ p_k^*$$

6.2. **Computational aspects of push-forward.** In our construction of the push forward $i_{k*}(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{F} = (M, D, d_l, d_r)$ we make a choice of the extension $\tilde{D}$ of the differential D from sub-variety $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_k)$ to $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n)$. However in our case we have somewhat canonical choice of the extension because we could use the projection map $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{G}_n) \to \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{P}_k)$ to construct such an extension and use the remark 3.3, the details are provided below. Morally, we would like to interpret i_k as a section of some map and it is possible if we enlarge our space slightly.

In this subsection we use notation $\mathrm{adj}(A)$ for the adjoint of matrix A. Let us introduce space $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(\mathbf{G}_n)^\flat := \mathfrak{g}_n \times \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{G}_n) \times \mathfrak{n}_n^2$. There is a natural embedding

$$j_n : \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n) \to \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(\mathbf{G}_n)^\flat$$

induced by the embedding $G_n \to \mathfrak{gl}_n$. If we define $W^\flat(X, g, Y_1, Y_2) = \mathrm{Tr}(X(Y_1 - gY_2\mathrm{adj}(g)))$ then we have $j_n^*(W) = W^\flat$ and map $j_n^* : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_n}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(\mathbf{G}_n)^\flat, W^\flat) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_n}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n)^\flat, W)$ is well defined.

Similarly we define $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(\mathbf{P}_k)^\flat$ and $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2^\circ(\mathbf{P}_k)^\flat$. The natural map

$$j_n^k : \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_k) \to \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(\mathbf{P}_k)^\flat$$

induces the pull back map

$$j_n^{k*} : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(\mathbf{P}_k)^\flat, W^\flat) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_k), W).$$

There is no natural map between $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n)$ and $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_k)$ but there is a natural linear projection $\rho_k : \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(\mathbf{G}_n)^\flat \to \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(\mathbf{P}_k)^\flat$ and there is a pull back map

$$\rho_k^* : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(\mathbf{P}_k)^\flat, W^\flat) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(\mathbf{G}_n)^\flat, \rho_k^*(W^\flat)).$$

There is also a natural inclusion map $i_k : \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(\mathbf{P}_k)^\flat \to \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(\mathbf{G}_n)^\flat$ which is a section of the projection ρ_k: $\rho_k \circ i_k = id$. Hence there is $\mathcal{F}' \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_n}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(\mathbf{P}_k)^\flat, W^\flat)$ such that $j_n^{k*}(\mathcal{F}') = \mathcal{F}$ and we define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = (\tilde{M}, \tilde{D}, \tilde{\partial}_l, \tilde{\partial}) := j_n^* \circ \rho_k^*(\mathcal{F}') \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n), j_n^* \circ \rho_k^*(W^\flat)).$$

By construction we have $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_k)} = \mathcal{F}$. Thus the matrix factorization $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ provides the of the construction of the push forward $i_{k*}(\mathcal{F})$ from section 3.7. By completing the second step of the construction from the section 3.7 we the matrix factorization:

$$i_{k*}(\mathcal{F}) = (\tilde{M}, d_K + \tilde{D} + d^-, \partial_l, \partial_r),$$

where $d_K : \tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet V \to \tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet-1} V$ is the Koszul differential for the defining ideal of $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_k) \subset \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n)$ and $d^- = \sum_{i<j} d^-_{ij}$, $d^-_{ij} : \tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^i V \to \tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^j V$ is the complementary differential: $(d_k + \tilde{D} + d^-)^2 = \overline{W}$

Note that because of our choice of extension $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ the differentials d_{ij} vanish unless $j = i + 1$, we give more details below. Define a linear operator operator $\Pi^k_+ : \mathfrak{g}_n \to \mathfrak{p}_k$ which is a natural projection. Respectively, we have $\Pi^k_{--}(A) = A - \Pi^k_+(A)$. The difference $\delta_k W := W - j_n^* \circ \rho_n^{k*}(W^\flat)$ could be written as sum of two terms:

$$\delta_k W_1 := \mathrm{Tr}(\Pi^k_{--}(X) \cdot \Pi^k_{++}(Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2))),$$

$$\delta_k W_2 := \mathrm{Tr}(\Pi^k_{+}(X) \cdot \Pi^k_{-}(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2) - \rho_k(g_{12})(Y_2)\mathrm{adj}(\rho_k(g_{12}))),$$

where we use convention $\mathrm{Id} = \Pi^k_- + \Pi^k_{++}$.

Since $\delta_k W_1 \in (\Pi^k_{--}(X))$ and $\delta_k W_2 \in (\Pi^k_{--}(g_{12}))$ we have

$$i_{k*}(\mathcal{F})^\sharp = \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \otimes [\Pi^k_{--}(X), \Pi^k_{++}(Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2))] \otimes \mathrm{K}^{\delta_k W_2}(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{12})).$$

6.3. **Induction functor in reduced case.** Let us define $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(P_k) := \mathfrak{b} \times P_k \times \mathfrak{n}$ and $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(P_k)^\flat_2 := \mathfrak{b} \times \mathrm{Lie}(P_k) \times \mathfrak{n}$. We also use notation $\overline{W}$ for the restriction of the potential $\overline{W}$ on the subspace $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(P_k)$.

There is a natural embedding $\bar{i}_k : \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(P_k) \to \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n)$. The map of the rings $\bar{i}_k : \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n)] \to \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(P_k)]$ is the projection map with the kernel $(\Pi^k_{--}(g))$ and it is easy to see that these generators form a regular sequence. Thus we have a well-defined functor:

$$\bar{i}_{k*} : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(P_k), \overline{W}) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n), \overline{W}).$$

We also have the projection map $\bar{p}_k : \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(P_k) \to \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_k) \times \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_{n-k})$.

The map $\bar{p}_k$ is $B^2_k \times B^2_{n-k}$-equivariant and we extend $B^2_k \times B^2_{n-k}$-equivariant structure to B^2_n-equivariant structure to obtain an induced map

$$\bar{p}_k^* : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_k}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_k), \overline{W}) \times \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_{n-k}}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_{n-k}), \overline{W}) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_n}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(P_k), \overline{W}).$$

Thus we define

$$\overline{\mathrm{ind}}_k := \bar{i}_{k*} \circ \bar{p}_k^*.$$

Now let us discuss an analogues of the map ρ_k for reduced spaces and the corresponding properties of the push forward $\bar{i}_{k*}$. Consider a space $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2^\flat(\mathbf{G}_n) := \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathfrak{n}$. There is a natural embedding $\bar{j}_n : \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n) \to \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(\mathbf{G}_n)^\flat$ induced by the embedding $G_n \to \mathfrak{g}_n$. If we define $W^\flat(X, g, Y) = Tr(X(XgY\mathrm{adj}(g))$ then we have $\bar{j}_n^*(\overline{W}) = \overline{W}^\flat$ and map

$$\bar{j}_n^* : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(\mathbf{G}_n)^\flat, \overline{W}^\flat) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n), \overline{W})$$

is well-defined.

Similarly we define $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(\mathbf{P}_k)$ and $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(\mathbf{P}_k)^\flat$. The natural closed embedding $\bar{j}_n^k : \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(P_k) \to \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(\mathbf{P}_k)^\flat$ induces the pull back map

$$\bar{j}_n^{k*} : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(P_k), \overline{W}) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(\mathbf{P}_k)^\flat, \overline{W}^\flat)$$

where $\overline{W}^\flat(X, g, Y) = \mathrm{Tr}(XgY\mathrm{adj}(g))$. There is a natural linear projection

$$\bar{\rho}_k : \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(\mathbf{G}_n)^\flat \to \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(\mathbf{P}_k)^\flat$$

and a pull back map

$$\bar{\rho}_k^* : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(\mathbf{P}_k)^\flat, \overline{W}^\flat) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}(\mathbf{G}_n)^\flat, \rho_k^*(\overline{W}^\flat)).$$

We can choose $\mathcal{F}' \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2(\mathbf{G}_n)^\flat, \overline{W})$ such that $\bar{j}_n^{k*}(\mathcal{F}') = \mathcal{F}$ and we define $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = (\tilde{M}, \tilde{D}, \tilde{\partial}_l, \tilde{\partial}) := \bar{j}_n^* \circ \bar{\rho}_k^*(\mathcal{F}') \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}(\mathscr{X}_2(G_n), \bar{j}_n^* \circ \bar{\rho}_k^*(\overline{W}^\flat))$. Let $\delta_k \overline{W} = \overline{W} - \bar{\rho}_k^*(\overline{W})$, then analogously to the non-reduced case we have:

$$\bar{i}_{k*}(\mathcal{F})^\sharp = \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathrm{K}^{\delta_k \overline{W}}(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{12})).$$

6.4. **Proof of proposition 6.1.** Note that the statement of the proposition refers to the Knorrer functor

$$\Phi_n : \quad \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}^\circ_2(G_n), \overline{W}) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n^2}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n), W),$$

which is constructed the in same way as our usual functor, the only adjustment being the replacement of the space $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2}$ by the space $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2^\circ}$ and the appropriate adjustment of the maps.

The statement of the proposition is equivalent to the isomorphism between two functors relating the following categories:

$$\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_k^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}(G_k), \overline{W}) \times \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_{n-k}}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_{n-k}), \overline{W}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n^2}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n), W).$$

The first functor is $i_{k*} \circ p_k^* \circ (j^x \times j^x)_* \circ (\pi_y \times \pi_y)^*$ and the second is $j^x_* \circ \pi_y^* \circ \bar{i}_{k*} \circ \bar{p}_k^*$.

We begin with the first functor. Using maps $p_k : \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_k) \to \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_k) \times \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_{n-k})$ and $j^x \times j^x$; $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2^\circ}(G_k) \times \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2^\circ}(G_{n-k}) \to \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_k) \times \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_{n-k})$ we can

form a product of $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_k)$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2^\circ}(G_k) \times \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_2^\circ}(G_{n-k})$ over $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_k) \times \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_{n-k})$ which is equal $\mathfrak{b}_n \times P_k \times \mathfrak{n}_n^2$. Thus we obtain a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{b}_n \times P_k \times \mathfrak{n}_n^2 & \overset{j^x}{\cdots\cdots\cdots

combined with extraction of $T^{(2)}$ invariant part to the matrix factorization C such that:

$$C^\sharp = \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \otimes [\Pi^k_{--}(X), \Pi^k_{++}(Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2))] \otimes \mathrm{K}^{\pi^*_{12}(\delta_k W_2)}(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{12})) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \otimes [\Pi^k_{--}(\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(X)), \Pi^k_{++}(Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3))] \otimes \mathrm{K}^{\pi^{\circ *}_{23}(\delta_k W_2)}(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{23})). \tag{6.19}$$

with $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = j_n^{k*} \circ \rho_k^*(\mathcal{F}')$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = j_n^{k*} \circ \rho_k^*(\mathcal{G}')$ in conventions of subsection 6.2: $j_n^{k*}(\mathcal{F}') = p_k^*(\mathcal{F})$, $j_n^{k*}(\mathcal{G}') = p_k^*(\mathcal{G})$.

Let us fix coordinates $X, g_{23}, g_{13}, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3$ on $\mathscr{X}_3^\circ(G_n)$. We use the factor $[\Pi^k_{--}(X), \Pi^k_{++}(Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2))]$ to exclude the variables $\Pi^k_{--}(X)$ from the other factors. Since $\Pi^k_{--}(X)$ is B_n^2-equivariant, the exclusion process uses only the row transformations of the first kind and we do not need to worry about the admissibility conditions. The elimination process changes the factor in (6.19) in the following manner:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 := \tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{\Pi^k_{--}(X)=0}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 := \tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{\Pi^k_{--}(\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(X))=0}$$

$$[\Pi^k_{--}(X), \Pi^k_{++}(Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y_2))] \to [\Pi^k_{--}(X), R_1],$$

$$[\Pi^k_{--}(\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(X)), \Pi^k_{++}(Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3))] \to [0, \Pi^k_{++}(Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3))],$$

$$\mathrm{K}^{\pi^{\circ *}_{12}(\delta_k W_2)}(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{12})) \to \mathrm{K}^{\pi^*_{12}(\delta_k W_2)}(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{12}))|_{\Pi^k_{--}(X)=0},$$

$$\mathrm{K}^{\pi^{\circ *}_{12}(\delta_k W_2)}(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{23})) \to \mathrm{K}^{\pi^{\circ *}_{23}(\delta_k W_2)}(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{23}))|_{\Pi^k_{--}(X)=0}$$

We denote the resulting equivariant matrix factorization as C_1. The non-equivariant limit $C_1^\sharp$ is the product of the above listed terms. Moreover, since the only term in $C_1^\sharp$ that depends on $\Pi^k_{--}(X)$ is the Koszul matrix factorization $[\Pi^k_{--}(X), R_1]$, we conclude that R_1 is the derivative of the potential $\pi^{\circ *}_{13}(W)$ by variables $\Pi^k_{--}(X)$ which equals $\Pi^k_{++}(Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{13}}(Y_3))$.

Next let us observe that using the row transformations of the first kind we can show that the following equivalence of the matrix factorization

$$\mathrm{K}^{\pi^{\circ,*}_{12}(\delta_k W_2)}(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{12})) \otimes \mathrm{K}^{\pi^{\circ *}_{23}(\delta_k W_2)}(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{23}))|_{\Pi^k_{--}(X)=0} = \mathrm{K}^{\pi^{\circ *}_{13}(\delta_k W_2)}(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{13})) \otimes \mathrm{K}^0(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{23}))|_{\Pi^k_{--}(X)=0}$$

Finally, we use the Koszul complex $\mathrm{K}^0(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{23}))|_{\Pi^k_{--}(X)=0}$ to exclude the variables $\Pi^k_{--}(g_{23})$ from the product; for that we use the first type row transformations so we do not need to worry about the admissibility. The final result of the

sequence of row transformations is the equivariant matrix factorizations C_2 such that its non-equivariant limit $C_2^\sharp$ is the product the following factors:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2 := \tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{\Pi^k_{--}(X)=0,\Pi^k_{--}(g_{23})=0}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2 := \tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{\Pi^k_{--}(\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(X))=0,\Pi^k_{--}(g_{23})=0}$$
$$[\Pi^k_{--}(X), Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{13}}(Y_3)], \quad [0, \Pi^k_{++}(Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3))],$$
$$\mathrm{K}^{\pi^{\circ *}_{13}(\delta_k W_2)}(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{13})) \otimes \mathrm{K}^0(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{23}))|_{\Pi^k_{--}(X)=0}.$$

Since the potential $\pi^{\circ *}_{13}(\delta_k W_2)$ does not depend on $\Pi^k_{--}(X)$ the last product is actually just

$$\mathrm{K}^{\pi^{\circ *}_{13}(\delta_k W_2)}(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{13})) \otimes \mathrm{K}^0(\Pi^k_{--}(g_{23})).$$

Let us introduce auxiliary space $\mathcal{Z}_{con}(G_n) := \mathfrak{g}_n \times P_k \times G_n \times \mathfrak{n}_n \times (\mathfrak{n}_k \times \mathfrak{n}_{n-k}) \times \mathfrak{n}_n$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{con}(P_k) := \mathfrak{p}_n \times P_k \times P_k \times \mathfrak{n}_n \times (\mathfrak{n}_k \times \mathfrak{n}_{n-k}) \times \mathfrak{n}_n$. The variety $\mathcal{Z}_{con}(G_n)$ is a subspace of $\mathscr{X}_3^\circ$ defined by equations $\Pi^k_{++}(Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)) = 0$, $\Pi^k_{--}(g_{23}) = 0$, thus we can define the map $\pi^\circ_{13} : \mathcal{Z}_{con}(G_n) \to \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n)$ by the restriction.

We can contract differentials in complexes $[0, \Pi^k_{++}(Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3))]$, $\Pi^k_{--}(g_{23})$ to obtain homotopy equivalence of matrix factorizations over $\pi^{\circ,*}_{13}(\mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n)])$:

$$C_2 \sim C_3,$$

where $C_3 \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_n}(\mathcal{Z}_{con}(G_n), \pi^\circ_{13}(W))$. Moreover, since we only used admissible row transformations the matrix factorization C_3 has a structure of quasi-Koszul matrix factorization:

$$C_3 = (\tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet V, d^+ + D + d^-, \partial + \partial^-),$$

where V is space dual to span of equations $\Pi^k_{--}(X), \Pi^k_{--}(g_{13})$, d^+ is the corresponding Koszul differential $d^+ : \tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet V \to \tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet-1} V$, and $d^- : \tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet V \to \tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet+1} V$ the completing differentials and $\partial^- = \sum_{i>j} \partial^-_{ij}$,

$$\partial_{ij} : \Lambda^i(\mathfrak{n}_n^2) \otimes \tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet V \to \Lambda^j(\mathfrak{n}_n^2) \otimes \tilde{M} \otimes \Lambda^{\geq \bullet} V, \quad (\tilde{M}, D, \partial) \in \mathrm{Mod}^{B^3_n}_{per}(\mathcal{Z}(G_n)).$$

The locus inside $\mathcal{Z}_{con}(G_n)$ defined by the equations $\Pi^k_{--}(X) = 0, \Pi^k_{--}(g_{13}) = 0$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}_{con}(P_n)$, by construction

$$(\tilde{M}, D, \partial)|_{\Pi^k_{--}(X)=0,\Pi^k_{--}(g_{13})=0} = p_k^*(\pi^{\circ *}_{12}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi^{\circ *}_{23}(\mathcal{G})),$$

where $p_k : \mathcal{Z}_{con}(P_k) \to \mathscr{X}_3^\circ(G_k) \times \mathscr{X}_3^\circ(G_{n-k})$ is the natural projection. Hence we can use uniqueness lemma 3.6 to imply that we have an isomorphism of B^3_n equivariant matrix factorizations

$$C_3 = i_*(p_k^*(\pi^{\circ *}_{12}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi^{\circ *}_{23}(\mathcal{G})),$$

where i is map $\mathcal{Z}_{con}(P_k) \to \mathcal{Z}_{con}(G_n)$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}$ does not act on variables $\Pi^k_{--}(X)$ and $\Pi^k_{--}(g_{13})$ hence we have

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(C_3) = i_*(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(p_k^*(\pi_{12}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi_{23}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{G})))).$$

Let us denote by I_k the kernel of the projection homomorphism $P_k \to B_k \times B_{n-k}$ and let I'_k be its unipotent part. Hence we have the short exact sequence of Lie algebras:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Lie}(I'_k) \to \mathfrak{n}_n \to \mathfrak{n}_k \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{n-k} \to 0$$

and we can use Hochchild-Serre spectral sequence to compute functor $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}$:

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_k^{(2)} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{n-k}^{(2)}}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathrm{Lie}(I'_k)}(C_3)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}(C_1).$$

Since the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}$ only acts on on the second copy of P_k in the product $\mathcal{Z}_{con}(P_n) = \mathfrak{p}_n \times P_k \times P_k \times \mathfrak{n}_n \times (\mathfrak{n}_k \times \mathfrak{n}_{n-k}) \times \mathfrak{n}_n$ and the differentials in $p_k^*(\pi_{12}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi_{23}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{G}))$ are also I'_k invariant, the computation of $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathrm{Lie}(I'_k)}(p_k^*(\pi_{12}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi_{23}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{G})))$ reduces to the computation of

$$\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathrm{Lie}(I'_k), \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_{con}(P_k)]) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}_n \times P_k \times \mathfrak{n}_n \times (\mathfrak{n}_k \times \mathfrak{n}_{n-k}) \times \mathfrak{n}_n] \otimes \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathrm{Lie}(I'_k), \mathbb{C}[P_k]).$$

The last computation is equivalent to the following

Proposition 6.3.

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathrm{Lie}(I'_k)}(\mathbb{C}[P_k]) = \mathbb{C}[G_{k,n}],$$

where $G_{k,n}$ is the subgroup of P_k defined by the equation $\Pi^k_{++}(g) = 0$.

Proof. The group I_k acts on P_k by right multiplication. The Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}(I'_k)$ is generated by the elements E_{ij}, $i \in [1, n]$, $j \in [k+1, n]$ and direct computation shows that it is a commutative algebra.

Let us denote by $E^\vee_{kl}$ the generators of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[P_k]$: $E^\vee_{kl}(X) = X_{kl}$. Let us denote by ∂_{ij} the differential operator corresponding to the action $E_{ij} \in \mathrm{Lie}(I'_k)$. The action of these generators of $\mathrm{Lie}(I'_k)$ is given by:

$$\partial_{ij} = \sum_{k+1 \le l \le n} E^\vee_{jl} \frac{\partial}{\partial E^\vee_{il}}.$$

Let us observe that vector of differential operators $\partial_{i\bullet}$ is related to the vector of differential operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial E^\vee_{i\bullet}}$, $\bullet \in [k+1, n]$ by the matrix $M = (E^\vee_{lm})_{l,m \in [k+1,n]}$ and this matrix is invertible. Thus the condition $\partial_{ij}(f) = 0$ for all i, j is equivalent to the condition $\frac{\partial f}{\partial E^\vee_{ij}} = 0$ for all $i, j \in [k+1, n]$.

Since the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial E^\vee_{ij}}$ is surjective on $\mathbb{C}[P_k]$ the statement of the proposition follows. $\square$

The proposition implies that $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathrm{Lie}(I'_k)}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_{con}(P_k)]) = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_{con}(G_{k,n})]$ where $\mathcal{Z}_{con}(G_{k,n}) := \mathfrak{p}_n \times P_k \times G_{k,n} \times \mathfrak{n}_n \times (\mathfrak{n}_k \times \mathfrak{n}_{n-k}) \times \mathfrak{n}_n$. Thus the proposition implies that

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(C_3) = i'_*(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_k \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{n-k}}((p'_k)^*(\pi_{12}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi_{23}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{G})))),$$

where $i' : \mathcal{Z}_{con}(G_{k,n}) \to \mathcal{Z}_{con}(G_n)$ and $p'_k : \mathcal{Z}_{con}(G_{k,n}) \to \mathscr{X}_3^\circ(G_k) \times \mathscr{X}_3^\circ(G_{n-k})$.

The torus $T^{(2)}$ splits (up-to some small group of unity) as a product $T^{(2)} = \mathbb{C}^* \times T_k^{(2)} \times T_{n-k}^{(2)}$ where $\mathbb{C}^* \subset I_k$ and $G_{k,n}^{\mathbb{C}^*} = G_k \times G_{n-k}$ and $T_k^{(2)}$ and $T_{n-k}^{(2)}$ are the maximal tori of G_k and G_{n-k} respectively. Hence after extracting the torus invariant part of the last expression we obtain the formula from the statement of the proposition:

$$\pi_{13*}^\circ(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(C_3))^{T^{(2)}} = i_k^* \circ p_k^*(\mathcal{F} \bar{\star} \mathcal{G}).$$

6.6. **Transitivity of induction.** In this section we prove the following

Proposition 6.4. *The following functors:*

$$\mathrm{MF}_k \times \mathrm{MF}_{m-k} \times \mathrm{MF}_{n-m} \to \mathrm{MF}_n,$$

$\mathrm{MF}_r := \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{G_r \times B_r^2}(\mathscr{X}_2(G_r), W)$ *are isomorphic*

$$\mathrm{ind}_k \circ \mathrm{Id} \times \mathrm{ind}_{m-k} = \mathrm{ind}_m \circ \mathrm{ind}_k \times \mathrm{Id}.$$

Before we start the proof let us fix some notations. We denote by $\mathbf{P}_{k,m}$ a subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_n$ with the Lie algebra generated by E_{ij}, $i \leq j$ and $E_{i,i+1}$, $i \neq k, m$. Given an element $g \in \mathbf{P}_{k,m}$ we denote by g' its $k \times k$ block, by g'' its $(m-k) \times (m-k)$ block and by g''' its $(n-m) \times (n-m)$ block. Respectively, we denote by $P_{k,m}$ a subgroup of $(\mathbf{P}_{k,m} \cap G_n) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^6$ consisting of elements $(g, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_{12}, \lambda_{23}, \lambda_{13})$ such that $\det(g') = \lambda_1^k$, $\det(g'') = \lambda_2^{m-k}$, $\det(g''') = \lambda_3^{n-m}$, $\det(g'g'') = \lambda_{12}^m$, $\det(g''g''') = \lambda_{23}^{n-k}$, $\det(g'g''') = \lambda_{13}^{n+k-m}$. We also use $\mathfrak{p}_{k,m}$ for $\mathrm{Lie}(P_{k,m})$

Similarly to the previous case $P_{k,m} = (\mathbf{P}_{k,m} \cap G_n) \times \mu$ where μ is a finite subgroup of the group of roots of unity, as a scheme and we have natural homorphisms: $i_{k,m} : P_{k,m} \to G_n$ and $p_{k,m} : P_{k,m} \to G_k \times G_{m-k} \times G_{n-m}$, $p_{k,m}(g) = (g'/\lambda, g''/\mu, g'''/\nu)$. Respectively, we define $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_{k,m}) := \mathfrak{p}_{k,m} \times P_{k,m} \times \mathfrak{n}_n^2$ and using previously defined maps we construct maps $p_{k,m} : \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_{k,m}) \to \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_k) \times$

$\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_{m-k}) \times \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_{n-m})$ and $i_{k,m} : \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_k) \times \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_{m-k}) \times \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_{n-m}) \to \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n)$. Using these maps we can define the functor

$$\mathrm{ind}_{k,m} : \mathrm{MF}_k^\circ \times \mathrm{MF}_{m-k}^\circ \times \mathrm{MF}_{n-m}^\circ \to \mathrm{MF}_n^\circ,$$

$$\mathrm{ind}_{k,m} := i_{k,m,*} \circ p_{k,m}^*,$$

$\mathrm{MF}_r^\circ := \mathrm{MF}_{B_r^2}^{sc}(\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_r), W)$.

Also there are also natural maps $p_k : P_{k,m} \to G_k \times P_{m-k}$, $p_m : P_{k,m} \to P_k \times G_{n-m}$ such that $p_{m-k} \circ p_k = p_{k,m}$ and $p_k \circ p_m = p_{k,m}$.

Proof of proposition 6.4. We will show that

$$\mathrm{ind}_k \circ \mathrm{Id} \times \mathrm{ind}_{m-k} = \mathrm{ind}_{k,m} = \mathrm{ind}_m \circ \mathrm{ind}_k \times \mathrm{Id}$$

Let us indicate how we imply the first equality, the second equality has a similar proof. Let us notice that LHS is the composition of several functors: $i_{k*} \circ p_k^* \circ (\mathrm{Id} \times i_{m-k})_* \circ (\mathrm{Id} \times p_{m-k})^*$. We have the following commating diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{p}_{k,m} \times P_{k,m} \times \mathfrak{n}_n^2 & \xrightarrow{\quad i_{m-k} \quad} & \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_k) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_k} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_k} \\ \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_k) \times \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(P_{m-k}) & \xrightarrow{1 \times i_{m-k}} & \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_k) \times \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_{n-k}) \end{array}$$

where the dotted arrow maps are: $p_k(X, g, Y_1, Y_2) = (p_k(X), p_k(g), Y_1, Y_2)$ where $p_k : P_{k,m} \to G_k \times P_{m-k}$ is the natural homomorpphism and $i_{m-k} = i_{m-k} \times i_{m-k} \times \mathrm{Id} \times \mathrm{Id}$.

Finally let us observe that $p_{m-k} \circ p_k = p_{k,m}$ and $i_k \circ i_{m-k} = i_{k,m}$. Now we use the base change to show:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ind}_k \circ \mathrm{Id} \times \mathrm{ind}_{m-k} &= i_{k*} \circ p_k^* \circ (\mathrm{Id} \times i_{m-k})_* \circ (\mathrm{Id} \times p_{m-k})^* \\ &= i_{k*} \circ i_{m-k*} \circ p_k^* \circ p_{m-k}^* = (i_k \circ i_{m-k})_* \circ (p_{m-k} \circ p_k)^* = \mathrm{ind}_{k,m}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Since the functor Φ_n provides an embedding of categories we get an immediate corollary:

Corollary 6.5. *The following functors from*

$$\mathrm{MF}_{B_k^2}^{sc}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_k), \overline{W}) \times \mathrm{MF}_{B_{m-k}^2}^{sc}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_{m-k}), \overline{W}) \times \mathrm{MF}_{B_{n-m}^2}^{sc}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_{n-m}), \overline{W})$$

to $\mathrm{MF}_{B_n^2}^{sc}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n), \overline{W})$ *are isomorphic*

$$\overline{\mathrm{ind}}_k \circ \mathrm{Id} \times \overline{\mathrm{ind}}_{m-k} = \overline{\mathrm{ind}}_m \circ \overline{\mathrm{ind}}_k \times \mathrm{Id}.$$

7. Inclusion functor and the generators for the braid group

This section collects various facts about the matrix factorization convolution algebra, mostly property that do not require intense computations but these properties will be used later. In particular, the relation (7.24). More generally, we show that two braids commute if they are tangle different sets of strands.

7.1. **Unit in convolution algebra.** In this section we describe the unit in the convolution algebra. Let us denote by $I_{--} \subset \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2]$ the ideal generated by matrix coefficients of $(g)_{--}$ where (X, g, Y) are coordinates on $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2$. Since the generators of I_{--} form a regular sequence we can define $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\|} := \mathrm{K}^{\overline{W}}(I_{--})$. A direct computation shows that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\|} := \Phi_n(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\|}) = \mathrm{K}^{W_1}(X_{--}) \otimes \mathrm{K}^{W_2}(g_{--}) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n^2}(\mathscr{X}_2^{\circ}, W),$$

where $W_1 = \mathrm{Tr}(X_{--}(Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}_g(Y_2)_{++})$, $W_2 = \mathrm{Tr}(X(\mathrm{Ad}_g(Y_2)_{-})$.

Proposition 7.1. *For any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n^2}(\mathscr{X}^{\circ}, W)$ we have*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\|} \star \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{C}_{\|}.$$

Proof. Let us prove the second equation, first is analogous. Let us denote by C the B_n^3-equivariant matrix factorization $\pi_{12}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi_{23}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{C}_{\|})$. Let us fix the coordinates $(X, g_{13}, g_{23}, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$ on $\mathscr{X}_3^{\circ}$. Since

$$C^{\sharp} = \mathrm{K}^{\pi_{23}^{\circ *}(W_1)}((g_{23})_{--}) \otimes [\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(X)_{--}, Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)] \otimes \pi_{12}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{F})$$

we can use the differential of the Koszul complex to eliminate variables $(g_{23})_{--}$. We use the row transformation of the first type so we do not need to worry about admissibility.

As the result of elimination we obtain matrix factorization C_1 which is the tensor product of two factors $C_1' \otimes C_1''$ where $C_1'' = \pi_{12}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{F})|_{(g_{23})_{--}=0}$ and C_1' has the following equivariant limit

$$(C_1')^{\sharp} = [(g_{23})_{--}, R_1] \otimes [Y_2 - \mathrm{Ad}_{(g_{23})_+}(Y_3), R_2].$$

Now the us notice that $\pi_{13}^{\circ *}(W)$ does not depends on g_{23} and the only term in $C_1^{\sharp}$ that contains dependence on $(g_{23})_{--}$ is $[(g_{23})_{--}, R_1]$ hence $R_1 = 0$.

Let us intruduce $\mathscr{X}_3(B_n) = \mathfrak{g}_n \times G_n \times B_n \times \mathfrak{n}_n^3$. The variety $\mathscr{X}_3(B_n)$ is isomorphic to the subvariety of $\mathscr{X}_3$ defined by the equations $(g_{23})_{--} = 0$. Now we can contract the complex C_1 along the differentials of the Koszul complex $[(g_{23})_{--}, 0]$

to show the homotopy equivalence of the B_n^3-equivariant matrix factorizations over the ring $\pi_{13}^{\circ *}(\mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_2^\circ])$:

$$C_1 \sim C_2 = [Y_2 + \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3), R_2] \otimes \pi_{12}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n^3}(\mathscr{X}_3(B_n), \pi_{13}^{\circ *}(W)),$$

where the maps π_{ij}° are the restriction of the corresponding maps from $\mathscr{X}_3$.

As the next step we use the first factor in the matrix factorization C_2 to eliminate Y_2 from the rest of the factors. Since $Y_2 + \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)$ is B_n^3 equivariant we again only use the first type of row transformations. Similarly to the previous step, after elimination we obtain the matrix factorization

$$C_3 = [Y_2 + \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3), 0] \otimes \pi_{12}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{F})|_{Y_2 = \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)}.$$

Now we can contract the complex along the differentials of the Koszul complex $[Y_2 + \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3), 0]$ to obtain the homotopy equivalence of of theB_n^3-equivariant matrix factorizations over the ring $\pi_{13}^{\circ *}(\mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_2^\circ])$:

$$C_3 \sim C_4 = \tilde{\pi}_{12}^{\circ^*}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n^3}(\mathscr{X}_2 \times B_n, W).$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_{12}^\circ : \mathscr{X}_2 \times B_n \to \mathscr{X}_2$ is given by $(X, g, Y_1, Y_2, b) \mapsto (X, Y_1, \mathrm{Ad}_b(Y_2))$.

Thus we see that the differentials of $\tilde{\pi}_{12}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{F})$ are $B_n^{(2)}$ invariant and the computation of $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}(C_4)$ reduces to the computation of

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}(\mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_2 \times B_n]) = \mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_2] \otimes \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}(\mathbb{C}[B_n]).$$

An elementary computation shows that $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}(\mathbb{C}[B_n]) = \mathbb{C}[T_n]$. Thus after $T_n^{(2)}$-invariant part of $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}(C_4)$ we obtain the matrix factorization $\mathcal{F}$. □

Corollary 7.2. *The matrix factorization $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\parallel$ is the unit in the convolution algebra*

$$(\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2, \overline{W}), \bar{\star}).$$

Let us also provide an alternative proof of the proposition. The alternative argument is more geometric and uses change of base. Let us first notice that

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\parallel = i_*^\parallel(\mathcal{O}),$$

where $i^\parallel : \mathfrak{g}_n \times B \times \mathfrak{n}_n \to \mathscr{X}_2$ is the embedding $(X, b, Y) \to (X, b, Y, \mathrm{Ad}_b(Y))$ and $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n^3}(\mathfrak{b} \times B \times \mathfrak{n}_n^2, 0)$ is the trivial matrix factorization.Then we following diagram of maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathscr{X}_2^\circ \times B & \xrightarrow{i^\parallel} & \mathscr{X}_3 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{13}^\circ} & \mathscr{X}_2^\circ \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ} & & \\
\mathscr{X}_2^\circ \times \mathfrak{g}_n \times B \times \mathfrak{n}_n & \xrightarrow{1 \times i^\parallel} & \mathscr{X}_2^\circ \times \mathscr{X}_2^\circ & &
\end{array}$$

where the dotted maps are: $i^{\|}$ is the inclusion

$$i^{\|}(X,g,Y_1,Y_2) = (X,gb^{-1},b,Y_1,Y_2,\mathrm{Ad}_b(Y_2))$$

and the restriction of the map π°_{12} to the subspace $\mathscr{X}_3(B)$:

$$\pi^{\circ}_{12}\times\pi^{\circ}_{23}(X,g,Y_1,Y_2,b) = (X,g,Y_1,Y_2,X,b,Y_2).$$

Now let use base change property to simplify $\mathcal{F}\star\mathcal{C}_{\|}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}(\pi^{\circ}_{13*}((\pi^{\circ}_{12}\times\pi_{23})^*(\mathcal{F}\boxtimes\mathcal{C}_{\|})))^{T^{(2)}} &= \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}(\pi^{\circ}_{13*}((\pi^{\circ}_{12}\times\pi_{23})^*(i^{\|}_*(\mathcal{F}\boxtimes\mathcal{O}))))^{T^{(2)}}\\ &= \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}((\pi^{\circ}_{13}\circ i^{\|})_*(\pi^{\circ}_{12}\times\pi^{\circ}_{23})^*(\mathcal{F}\boxtimes\mathcal{O}))^{T^{(2)}} = \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\tilde{\pi}^{\circ *}_{12}(\mathcal{F}))^{T^{(2)}}\end{aligned}$$

The last step of this proof the same as in the previous proof.

7.2. **Inclusion functor.** In the proof of proposition 6.4 we constructed the functor $\mathrm{ind}_{k,m}$ and we can use this functor to define the functor:

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{k\ldots m} : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{G_{m-k+1}\times B^2_{m-k+1}}(\mathscr{X}_2(G_{m-k+1}),W) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{G_{m-k+1}\times B^2_n}(\mathscr{X}_2(G_n),W),$$

by $\mathrm{Ind}_{k\ldots m}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathrm{ind}_{k,m}(\mathcal{C}_{\|}\boxtimes\mathcal{F}\boxtimes\mathcal{C}_{\|})$. Using Knorrer functor we also define the reduced version of the inclusion functor:

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ind}}_{k\ldots m} : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_{m-k+1}}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_{m-k+1}),\overline{W}) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_n}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n),\overline{W}),$$

The results of the previous section the properties of the unit immediately imply the following corollaries

Corollary 7.3. *The functors* $\overline{\mathrm{Ind}}_{k\ldots m}$ *and* $\mathrm{Ind}_{k\ldots m}$ *are homomorphism of the convolution algebras.*

Corollary 7.4. *For any* k,m,k',m' *such that* $k<m<k'<m'$ *and*

$$\mathcal{F}\in\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{G_{m-k+1}\times B^2_{m-k+1}}(\mathscr{X}_2(G_{m-k+1}),W),$$
$$\mathcal{G}\in\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{G_{m'-k'+1}\times B^2_{m'-k'+1}}(\mathscr{X}_2(G_{m'-k'+1}),W)$$

we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{k\ldots m}(\mathcal{F})\star\mathrm{Ind}_{k'\ldots m'}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathrm{Ind}_{k'\ldots m'}(\mathcal{G})\star\mathrm{Ind}_{k\ldots m}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Corollary 7.5. *For any* k,m,k',m' *such that* $k<m<k'<m'$ *and*

$$\mathcal{F}\in\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_{m-k+1}}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_{m-k+1}),\overline{W}),\quad \mathcal{G}\in\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_{m'-k'+1}}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_{m'-k'+1}),\overline{W})$$

we have

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ind}}_{k\ldots m}(\mathcal{F})\bar{\star}\overline{\mathrm{Ind}}_{k'\ldots m'}(\mathcal{G}) = \overline{\mathrm{Ind}}_{k'\ldots m'}(\mathcal{G})\bar{\star}\overline{\mathrm{Ind}}_{k\ldots m}(\mathcal{F}).$$

7.3. **Generators of the braid group.** When $n = 2$ the potential $\overline{W}$ factors:

$$\overline{W}(X, g, Y) = y_{12}(2g_{11}x_{11} + g_{21}x_{12})g_{21},$$

where

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ 0 & x_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix factorizations $\mathcal{C}_+, \mathcal{C}_\parallel, \mathcal{C}_\bullet$ have the following meaning in the light of the previous proposition

$$\mathcal{C}_\parallel = \Phi(\begin{bmatrix} g_{21} & y_{12}(g_{11}(x_{11} - x_{22}) + g_{21}x_{12}) \end{bmatrix}),$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\bullet = \Phi(\begin{bmatrix} y_{12} & g_{21}(g_{11}(X_{11} - X_{22}) + g_{21}X_{12}) \end{bmatrix}),$$

$$\mathcal{C}_+ = \Phi(\begin{bmatrix} g_{21}y_{12} & (g_{11}(x_{11} - x_{22}) + g_{21}x_{12}) \end{bmatrix}). \tag{7.20}$$

The complex $\mathcal{C}_+$, as we will see later, correspond to the positive crossing of two strands in the braid. To define the complex corresponding to the negative crossing we need to shift the weights of B^2 action on $\mathcal{C}_+$.

Let χ be the generator of the group of characters of B:

$$\chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{bmatrix}\right) = a.$$

Bellow we use convention that $\mathcal{F}\langle k, l\rangle \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2(G_2), W)$ is obtained from the complex $\mathcal{F}$ by twisting the action of the first factor in B^2 by the character χ^k and the action of the second copy is twisted by the character χ^l. With these conventions in mind we have the following formula for the complex of negative crossing.

$$\mathcal{C}_- := \mathcal{C}_+\langle -1, -1\rangle. \tag{7.21}$$

We postpone the description of the T_{sc}-equivarinat structure of matrix factorizations from above till the section 9. In the section 9 we show that $\mathcal{C}_+$ generate $\mathfrak{Br}_2$ and $\mathcal{C}_-$ is the inverse of this generator. To define the genitors of $\mathfrak{Br}_n$ for general n we use our induction functor:

$$\mathcal{C}^{(i)}_\pm := \mathrm{Ind}_{ii+1}(\mathcal{C}_\pm), \quad \mathcal{C}^{(i)}_\parallel := \mathrm{Ind}_{ii+1}(\mathcal{C}_\parallel).$$

It is elementary to check that $\mathcal{C}^{(i)}_\parallel$ is independent of i and is a unit in the convolution algebra (see next section for the proof). The main result of the first part of the paper is the following theorem

Theorem 7.6. *The complexes $\mathcal{C}_{\pm}^{(i)}$ satisfy the braid relations*

$$\mathcal{C}_{+}^{(i)} \star \mathcal{C}_{-}^{(i)} = \mathcal{C}_{\|}, \tag{7.22}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{+}^{(i)} \star \mathcal{C}_{+}^{(i+1)} \star \mathcal{C}_{+}^{(i)} = \mathcal{C}_{+}^{(i+1)} \star \mathcal{C}_{+}^{(i)} \star \mathcal{C}_{+}^{(i+1)}, \tag{7.23}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{+}^{(i)} \star \mathcal{C}_{+}^{(j)} = \mathcal{C}_{+}^{(j)} \star \mathcal{C}_{+}^{(i)}, \quad |i-j| > 1. \tag{7.24}$$

Proof. The relation (7.22) is proven for two strand braids in lemma 9.3. Hence by corollary 7.3 the relation (7.22) holds in general. The equation (7.23) is proven for three strand braids in corollary 11.6. Finally, the commuting relation (7.24) follows from the corollary 7.5. □

For a given element $\beta = \sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdot \sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}$ we introduce the following notations:

$$\mathcal{C}_\beta = \mathcal{C}_{\epsilon_1}^{(i_1)} \star \dots \mathcal{C}_{\epsilon_\ell}^{(i_\ell)}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta = \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\epsilon_1}^{(i_1)} \bar{\star} \dots \bar{\star} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\epsilon_\ell}^{(i_\ell)}.$$

8. General properties of convolution algebra

8.1. **Auxilary Lemma.** In the proof of the braid relations and Markov moves we will use some generalization of the previous corollary which we explain below. The statement that we prove in this section allows us to use smaller intermediate space for computation of the convolution.

Let us introduce notation $\mathbf{Q}_{k\dots m} \subset \mathbf{G}_n$ for subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{q}_{k\dots m}$ spanned by E_{ij}, $i \le j$, $i,j \in [1,n]$ and by E_{ij}, $i > j$, $i,j \in [k,m]$. Respectively, we denote by $\Pi_{+}^{\overline{k\dots m}}$ the projection map $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{G}_n) \to \mathfrak{q}_{k\dots m}$ and $\Pi_{--}^{\overline{k\dots m}}$ is defined by $\mathrm{Id} = \Pi_{+}^{\overline{k\dots m}} + \Pi_{--}^{\overline{k\dots m}}$. Similarly we define $\Pi_{++}^{\overline{k\dots m}}(X) = \Pi_{--}^{\overline{k\dots m}}(X^t)^t$, where t stands for transpose. Let us also denote by $\Pi^{\overline{k\dots m}}$ the projection $\mathfrak{g}_n \to \mathfrak{g}_{m-k+1}$ that extracts the block of the matrix elements with entires ij, $i,j \in [k,m]$. We use the same notation for the maps of the corresponding groups.

Let us also introduce a group $Q_{k\dots m}$ as a subgroup of the product $(\mathbf{Q}_{k\dots m} \cap G_n) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ that consists of the pairs (g,λ) such that $\lambda^{m-k+1} = \det(\Pi^{\overline{k\dots m}}(g))$.

Let us introduce the space $\mathscr{X}_3^\circ(G_n, G_{k\dots m}) = \mathfrak{g}_n \times G_n \times G_{k-m+1} \times \mathfrak{n}_n \times \mathfrak{n}_{k-m+1} \times \mathfrak{n}_n$. Let us also fix an embedding $i_{k\dots m}$ of this space inside $\mathscr{X}_3$:

$$i_{k\dots m}(X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = (X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y_1, i_{k\dots m}(Y_2) + \Pi_{++}^{\overline{k\dots m}}(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)), Y_3).$$

Respectively we define maps

$$\pi_{12}^\circ : \mathscr{X}_3^\circ(G_n, G_{k\dots m}) \to \mathscr{X}_2(G_{m-k+1}),$$

$$\pi_{23}^\circ : \mathscr{X}_3^\circ(G_n, G_{k\dots m}) \to \mathscr{X}_2(G_n),$$

$$\pi^\circ_{13} : \mathscr{X}^\circ_3(G_n, G_{k\dots m}) \to \mathscr{X}_2(G_n),$$

$$\pi^\circ_{12}(X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = (X, g_{12}, Y_1, i_{k\dots m}(Y_2) + \Pi^{\overline{k\dots m}}_{++}(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)). \tag{8.25}$$

$$\pi^\circ_{23}(X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = (\Pi^{\overline{k\dots m}}(\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(X)), g_{23}, Y_2, \Pi^{\overline{k\dots m}}_{-}(Y_3)), \tag{8.26}$$

$$\pi^\circ_{13}(X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = (X, g_{12}g_{23}, Y_1, Y_3).$$

These maps are the restrictions of the corresponding maps from $\mathscr{X}_3$ on the embedded subvariety $\mathscr{X}^\circ_3(G_n, G_{k\dots m})$.

Similarly, we define $\mathscr{X}^\circ_3(G_{k\dots m}, G_n) = \mathfrak{g}_n \times G_{k\dots m} \times G_n \times \mathfrak{n}_n \times \mathfrak{n}_{k-m+1} \times \mathfrak{n}_n$. Let us also fix an embedding $i_{k\dots m}$ of this space inside $\mathscr{X}_3$:

$$i_{k\dots m}(X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = (X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y_1, i_{k\dots m}(Y_2) + \Pi^{\overline{k\dots m}}_{++}(\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(Y_1)), Y_3).$$

The maps π°_{ij} are defined analogously to the previous case: by the restriction from ambient space.

The spaces $\mathscr{X}^\circ_3(G_n, G_{k\dots m})$ and $\mathscr{X}^\circ_3(G_{k\dots m}, G_n)$ have unique B^3_n equivariant structure that makes the maps π°_{ij} B^3_n-equivariant.

Proposition 8.1. *For any*

$$\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_{m-k+1}}(\mathscr{X}_2(G_{m-k+1}), W), \mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_n}(\mathscr{X}_2(G_n), W)$$

we have

$$\mathcal{G} \star \mathrm{Ind}_{k\dots m}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}_{m-k+1}} \pi^\circ_{13*}(\pi^{\circ *}_{12}(\mathcal{G}) \otimes \pi^{\circ *}_{23}(\mathcal{F}))^{T^{(2)}_{m-k+1}},$$

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{k\dots m}(\mathcal{F}) \star \mathcal{G} = \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}_{m-k+1}} \pi^\circ_{13*}(\pi^{\circ *}_{12}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi^{\circ *}_{23}(\mathcal{G}))^{T^{(2)}_{m-k+1}},$$

The key observation in the proof is an alternative description of the induction functor $\mathrm{Ind}_{k\dots m}$. The space $\mathscr{X}^\bullet_2(Q_{k\dots m}) := \mathfrak{g}_n \times Q_{k\dots m} \times \mathfrak{n}_{m-k+1} \times \mathfrak{n}_n$ can be mapped into $\mathscr{X}_2(G_n)$ with the map:

$$j_{k\dots m}(X, g, Y_1, Y_2) = (X, i_{k\dots m}(Y_1) + \Pi^{k\dots m}_{++}(\mathrm{Ad}_g(Y_2)), Y_2)$$

and there is also a natural projection map $\Pi^{\overline{k\dots m}} : \mathscr{X}^\bullet_2(Q_{k\dots m}) \to \mathscr{X}^\circ_2(G_n)$ defined by:

$$\Pi_{\overline{k\dots m}}(X, g, Y_1, Y_2) = (\Pi^{\overline{k\dots m}}(X), \Pi^{\overline{k\dots m}}(g), \Pi^{\overline{k\dots m}}(Y_1), \Pi^{\overline{k\dots m}}(Y_2)).$$

Both of these maps are B^3_n-equivariant. Then our uniqueness theorem for the push forward implies:

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{k\dots m} = j_{k\dots m,*} \circ \Pi^{\overline{k\dots m},*}.$$

Proof of proposition 8.1. We prove the first formula the second is analogous. We have the following commuting diagram of the maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathscr{X}_3^\circ(G_n, Q_{k\dots m}) & \xrightarrow{\quad i_{k\dots m} \quad} & \mathscr{X}_3^\circ & \xrightarrow{\quad \pi_{13}^\circ \quad} & \mathscr{X}_2^\circ \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ} & & \\
\mathscr{X}_2^\circ \times \mathscr{X}_2^\bullet(Q_{k\dots m}) & \xrightarrow{1 \times j_{k\dots m}} & \mathscr{X}_2^\circ \times \mathscr{X}_2^\circ & & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle 1 \times \Pi^{\overline{k\dots m}}} & & & & \\
\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n) \times \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_{m-k+1}) & & & &
\end{array}$$

where the dotted arrow map $\pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ$ is given by

$$\pi_{12}^\circ(X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = (X, g_{12}, Y_1, i_{k\dots m}(Y_2) + \Pi_{++}^{\overline{k\dots m}}(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}(Y_3)),$$
$$\pi_{23}^\circ(X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = (\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}^{-1}(X), g_{23}, Y_2, \Pi_{-}^{\overline{k\dots m}}(Y_3)).$$

Now we can use the base change formula to simplify $\mathcal{G} \star \mathrm{Ind}_{k\dots m}(\mathcal{F})$:

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}(\pi_{13*}^\circ \circ (\pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ)^* \circ (\mathrm{Id} \times j_{k\dots m})_* \circ (\mathrm{Id} \times \Pi^{k\dots m})^*(\mathcal{G} \boxtimes \mathcal{F})))^{T_n^{(2)}} =$$
$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}(\pi_{13*}^\circ \circ (i_{k\dots m})_* \circ (\pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ)^* \circ (\mathrm{Id} \times \Pi^{k\dots m})^*(\mathcal{G} \boxtimes \mathcal{F})))^{T_n^{(2)}} =$$
$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}(\pi_{13*}^\circ \circ (\pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ)^*(\mathcal{G} \boxtimes \mathcal{F})))^{T_n^{(2)}},$$

where in the last equation we use $\pi_{13}^\circ \circ i_{k\dots m} = \pi_{13}^\circ$ and $\mathrm{Id} \times \Pi^{k\dots m} \circ \pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ = \pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ$ where the maps in the product $\pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ : \mathscr{X}_3^\circ(G_n, Q_{k\dots m}) \to \mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G_n) \times \mathscr{X}^\circ(G_{m-k+1})$ are given by the formulas (8.25), (8.26).

Let us denote by C the matrix factorization $\pi_{13*}^\circ \circ (\pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ)^*(\mathcal{G} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}))$ For last step we use Hochchild-Serre spectral sequence to compute functor $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}$:

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_{m-k+1}^{(2)}}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathrm{Lie}(J'_{k\dots m})}(C)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n^{(2)}}(C)$$

where $J_{k\dots m}$ is the kernel of the projection homomorphism $Q_{k\dots m} \to G_{m-k+1}$ and $J'_{k\dots m}$ is its unipotent part. Let us abbreviate the quotient $J_{k\dots m}/J'_{k\dots m}$ by J/J'. We have

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathrm{Lie}(J'_{k\dots m})}(C)^{J/J'} = \pi_{13*}^\circ(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathrm{Lie}(J'_{k\dots m})}(\pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ)^*(\mathcal{G} \boxtimes \mathcal{F})). \tag{8.27}$$

On the other hand the formulas for the maps π_{12}° and π_{23}° imply that the differentials of the pull back $(\pi_{12}^\circ \times \pi_{23}^\circ)^*(\mathcal{G} \boxtimes \mathcal{F})$ are invariant with respect to the action of $J'_{k\dots m}$. Hence to complete the computation of (8.27) we need to compute

$$\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(J'_{k\dots m}, \mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_3^\circ(G_n, Q_{k\dots m})])^{J/J'}$$

which is a tensor product of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b} \times G_n \times \mathfrak{n}_{m-k+1} \times \mathfrak{n}_n]$ and $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(J'_{k\dots m}, \mathbb{C}[Q_{k\dots m}])^{J/J'}$, and in proposition below we show that $\mathrm{CE}_{J'_{k\dots m}}(\mathbb{C}[Q_{k\dots m}])^{J'/J} = \mathbb{C}[G_{m-k+1}]$.

Hence the statement follows since $T_n^{(2)} = (J/J') \times T_{m-k+1}$ where T_{m-k+1} is the maximal torus of $G_{m-k+1} \subset G_n$.

□

Proposition 8.2. *For any k, m, $1 \leq k < m \leq n$ we have*

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathrm{Lie}(J'_{k\dots m})}(\mathbb{C}[Q_{k\dots m}])^{(J/J')} = \mathbb{C}[G_{m-k+1}].$$

Proof. We show slightly more general statement

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathrm{Lie}(J'_{k\dots m})}(\mathbb{C}[Q_{k'\dots m'}]) = \mathbb{C}[Q_{k-k'+1\dots m-k'+1} \subset G_{m-k+1}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[(J/J')],$$

where $k \geq k'$, $m' \leq m$.

We use induction by $m-k$ and the Hochschild-Serre Spectral sequence ([35], sect 7.5). Since we have short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to J'_{k+1,\dots,m} \longrightarrow J'_{k\dots n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m-k+1} \to 1,$$

the spectra sequence tells us that

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathbb{C}^{m-k+1}}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathrm{Lie}(J'_{k+1\dots m})}(\mathbb{C}[Q_{\kappa'\dots m'}])) \Rightarrow \mathrm{CE}_{J_{k\dots m}}(\mathbb{C}[Q_{k'\dots m'}]).$$

By induction we have $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathrm{Lie}(J'_{k+1\dots m})}(\mathbb{C}[Q_{k'\dots m'}]) = \mathbb{C}[Q_{k-k'+2\dots m-k'+1} \subset G_{m-k+2}]$. After relabeling matrix indices our statement is equivalent to the computation of the following cohomology $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathbb{C}^{m-k+1}, \mathbb{C}[Q_{k-k'+2\dots m-k'+1}])$. The ring of polynomial functions on $Q_{k-k'+2\dots m-k'+1}$ is generated by the elements $E^\vee_{kl}$ dual to the matrix units E_{kl} of E. The generators ∂_i of the commutative algebra $\mathbb{C}^{m-k+1}$ act on the ring generators by the formula:

$$\partial_i E^\vee_{kl} = \delta_{k1} E^\vee_{il}.$$

We can apply invertible linear transformation to our system of differential operators to obtain new system of generators:

$$\tilde{\partial}_k = \sum_{i=2}^{m-k+2} (-1)^{i+k} \Delta_{ik} \partial_k,$$

where $\Delta_{ik} \in \mathbb{C}[Q_{k-k'+2\dots m-k'+1}]$ is the determinant of $(m-k) \times (m-k)$ obtained from E by removing columns and rows containing 11 and ik entries. Then we have:

$$\tilde{\partial}_i E^\vee_{kl} = \delta_{k1}\delta_{il} E^\vee_{11}.$$

and by Poincare lemma we obtain

$$\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathbb{C}^{m-k+1}, \mathbb{C}[Q_{k-k'+2\dots m-k'+1}]) = \mathbb{C}[Q_{k-k'+2\dots m-k'+1}]/(E^\vee_{12}, \dots, E^\vee_{1,m-k+2})$$

and the statement follows. □

8.2. **Reduced case version of the auxiliary lemma.** Let us introduce

$$\overline{\mathscr{X}}^{\circ}_2(G_{k\dots m}) = \mathfrak{b} \times G_{k\dots m} \times \mathfrak{n}^2,$$

$$\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_n, G_{k\dots m}) := \mathfrak{b}_n \times G_n \times G_{m-k+1} \times \mathfrak{n}_n.$$

Let us also define an embedding $\bar{i}_{k\dots m} : \overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_n, G_{k\dots m}) \to \overline{\mathscr{X}}_3$ by

$$\bar{i}_{k\dots m}(X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y) = (X, g_{12}, i_{k\dots m}(g_{23}), Y).$$

Using the last embedding we define the maps $\bar{\pi}_{ij}$ by restricting from the ambient $\mathscr{X}_3$:

$$\bar{\pi}_{12}, \bar{\pi}_{13} : \overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_n, G_{k\dots m}) \to \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n), \quad \bar{\pi}_{23} : \overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_n, G_{k\dots m}) \to \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_{k\dots m}).$$

Similarly, we define $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_{k\dots m}, G_n)$ together with the embedding $\bar{i}_{k\dots m} : \overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_{k\dots m}, G_n) \to \mathscr{X}_3$:

$$\bar{i}_{k\dots m}(X, g_{12}, g_{23}, Y) = (X, i_{k\dots m}(g_{12}), g_{23}, Y).$$

The same way as before we define the corresponding maps $\bar{\pi}_{ij}$.

The spaces $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_{k\dots m}, G_n)$ and $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_n, G_{k\dots m})$ have natural $B_n \times B_{m-k+1} \times B_n$-equivariant structure. Moreover, the maps $\bar{\pi}_{ij}$ are B_n^2-equivariant but not B_{m-k+1}-equivariant. However, we can introduce the $B_n \times B_{m-k+1} \times B_n$-equivariant structure on the pull-back $(\bar{\pi}_{12} \times \bar{\pi}_{23})(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})$ where $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ are equivarinat matrix factorizations from the appropriate spaces. More precisely, repeating the argument of the proposition 5.4 we obtain

Proposition 8.3. *Let*

$$\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n), \overline{W}), \quad \mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_{m-k+1}}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_{m-k+1}), \overline{W})$$

then there is a unique matrix factorization

$$(\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_{B_{m-k+1}} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n \times B_{m-k+1} \times B_n}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_n, G_{m\dots k}), \bar{\pi}_{13}^*(\overline{W})),$$

such that its B_n^2 limit has properties:

$$(\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_{B_{m-k+1}} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G})^\sharp = (\bar{\pi}_{12} \times \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}),$$

and

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_{m-k+1}}(\pi_{13*}((\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_{B_{m-k+1}} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G})))^{T^{(2)}_{m-k+1}} = \mathcal{F} \bar{\star} \overline{\mathrm{Ind}}_{k\dots m}(\mathcal{G}).$$

Proposition 8.4. *Let*

$$\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_{m-k+1}}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_{m-k+1}), \overline{W}), \quad \mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2_{m-k+1}}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n), \overline{W})$$

then there is a unique matrix factorization

$$(\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_{B_{m-k+1}} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n \times B_{m-k+1} \times B_n}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_{m\ldots k}, G_n), \bar{\pi}^*_{13}(\overline{W})),$$

such that its B^2_n limit has properties:

$$(\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_{B_{m-k+1}} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G})^\sharp = (\bar{\pi}_{12} \times \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}),$$

and

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_{m-k+1}}(\pi_{13*}((\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_{B_{m-k+1}} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G})))^{T^{(2)}_{m-k+1}} = \overline{\mathrm{Ind}}_{k\ldots m}(\mathcal{F}) \bar{\star} \mathcal{G}.$$

8.3. **Reduced convolution with rank** 1 **matrix factorizations.** The most important case of these theorems is the case $m = k + 1$, that is when one of the matrix factorizations in $\bar{\star}$ product is lifted from the space $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_2)$, we call such matrix factorzation rank 1 matrix factorization. In this case the pull back functor $(\bar{\pi}_{12} \times_{B_{m-k+1}} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*$ could be made very explicit. We do not provide the details of the computation, we essentially just follow the method of proposition 5.4.

Let us fix notations. Let $\mathcal{F} = (M_1, D_1, \partial'_l, \partial'_r) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n \times B_2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n), \overline{W})$, $\mathcal{G} = (M_2, D_2, \partial''_l, \partial''_r) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_2 \times B_n}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_2), \overline{W})$, B_2 in both cases is the image of the embedding $i_{k,k+1} : B_2 \to B_n$. Since the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_2$ is one-dimensional $\mathfrak{n}_2 = \langle e \rangle$ the corresponding $\mathfrak{n}_2$-equivariant structure is given by the maps the even maps

$$\partial'_r \in Hom_{\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n)]}(M_1, M_1), \partial''_l \in Hom_{\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_2)]}(M_2, M_2).$$

Respectively

(8.28)

$$(\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_{B_{m-k+1}} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}) = (\bar{\pi}^*_{12}(M_1) \otimes \bar{\pi}^*_{23}(M_2), D_1 + D_2; \partial'_l, \partial'_r + \partial''_l + \partial_{rl}, \partial'_r),$$

where the differential ∂_{rl} is given by the formula

(8.29)

$$\partial_{rl} = \bar{\pi}^*_{12}\left(\frac{\partial D_1}{\partial Y_{k,k+1}}\right)(\tilde{Y}^2_{k+1,k+1} - \tilde{Y}^2_{k,k}) + \bar{\pi}^*_{23}\left(\frac{\partial D_2}{\partial X_{kk}} - \frac{\partial D_2}{\partial X_{k+1,k+1}}\right)\tilde{X}^2_{k+1,k},$$

where $\tilde{X}^2 = \mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_{12}}(X)$ and $\tilde{Y}^2 = \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}}(Y)$.

In the other case

$$\mathcal{F} = (M_1, D_1, \partial'_l, \partial'_r) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n \times B_2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_2), \overline{W}),$$

$$\mathcal{G} = (M_2, D_2, \partial''_l, \partial''_r) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_2 \times B_n}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_n), \overline{W})$$

and the expressions for $(\bar{\pi}_{12} \times_{B_{m-k+1}} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G})$ is given by the same formulas (8.28), (8.29).

Remark 8.5. *As one can see, if we work with B_2-equivariant matrix factorizations on Z with B_2-invarinat potential then all the technicalities that are discussed in the first few sections are unnecessary since* $\mathrm{MF}^{str}_{B_2}(Z,F) = \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_2}(Z,F)$.

8.4. **Involution.** Let $w_0 \in \mathbf{G}$ be the longest element of the Coxeter group S_n: $(w_0)_{i,j} = \delta_{i+j,n+1}$. The involution $D : G \to G$ defined by $D(g) = w_0(g^{-1})^t w_0$ preserves B and the Lie version of involution $D(X) = -w_0 X^t w_0$ preserves $\mathfrak{b}$. Moreover, since $Tr(D(X)) = -Tr(X)$ the have automorphism of category

$$D: \quad \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2(G),W) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2(G),W),$$

$$D: \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G),\overline{W}) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G),\overline{W}).$$

The following proposition is almost tautological

Proposition 8.6. *Automorphism D respects convolution product:*

$$D(\mathcal{F}) \star D(\mathcal{G}) = D(\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{G}), \quad D(\mathcal{F}) \bar{\star} D(\mathcal{G}) = D(\mathcal{F} \bar{\star} \mathcal{G}),$$

and

$$D(\mathcal{C}^{(i)}_{\pm}) = \mathcal{C}^{(n-i)}_{\pm}, \quad D(\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(i)}_{\pm}) = \bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(n-i)}_{\pm}.$$

9. Two strands

In this section we study the properties of the matrix factorizations that generate the braid group on two strands. In particular we show that $\mathcal{C}_+$ is the inverse to $\mathcal{C}_-$.

9.1. **Equivariant structure for the generators.** In this subsection we discuss the equivariant structure of the generators of the braid group. We also introduce the matrix factorization $\mathcal{C}_\bullet$ that corresponds to the blob or fat point in the MOY calculus. We use this connection later to relate our homology theory of links to the HOMFLY-PT polynomial.

This time $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and $B \subset G$ is its Borel subgroup. We use the following parametrization of the variety $\mathscr{X}_2^\circ(G) = \mathfrak{g} \times G \times \mathfrak{n}^2$:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 \\ x_{-1} & -x_1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad Y_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (i = 1,2), \qquad g = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The tori $T^{(1)}$, $T^{(2)}$ of the Borel groups in the product B^2 are $\mathbb{C}^*$ and the weights of their action are in the table:

variable	a_{11}	a_{12}	a_{21}	a_{22}	x_{-1}	x_0	x_1	$\tilde{x}_0$	y_1	y_2
$T^{(1)}$	1	1	-1	-1	-2	0	2	1	2	0
$T^{(2)}$	-1	1	-1	1	0	0	0	-1	0	2

.

The generator of the nilpotent part of $\mathrm{Lie}(B)$, $\mathfrak{n} = \langle \delta \rangle$ acts on the coordinates by

$$\delta_1 a_{11} = a_{21}, \qquad \delta_1 a_{12} = a_{22}, \qquad \delta_1 x_0 = x_{-1}, \qquad \delta_1 x_1 = -2x_0,$$
$$\delta_2 a_{12} = -a_{11}, \qquad \delta_2 a_{22} = -a_{21},$$

In the table above and in the proofs in this section we use following functions of the coordinates:

$$\tilde{x}_0 = 2x_0 a_{11} + x_1 a_{21}, \qquad \tilde{\tilde{x}}_0 = 2x_0 a_{12} + x_1 a_{22},$$

so that

$$\delta_1 \tilde{x}_0 = 2x_{-1} a_{11}, \qquad \delta_1 \tilde{\tilde{x}}_0 = 2x_{-1} a_{12}, \qquad \delta_2 \tilde{\tilde{x}}_0 = -\tilde{x}_0.$$

The matrix factorization was discussed previously but in this section we need an explicit description of this matrix factorization as a strongly B^2-equivariant matrix factorization. We use Koszul matrix factorization conventions of the subsection 2.3, so the matrix factorization of the identity braid has the form

$$\mathcal{C}_{\|} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{-1} & y_1 - y_2 a_{11}^2 & \theta_1 \\ y_2 \tilde{x}_0 & a_{21} & \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \delta_1 \theta_1 = -2y_2 a_{11} \theta_2,$$

with $\deg_{T_{sc}} \theta_1 = (2,1)$ and $\deg_{T_{sc}} \theta_2 = (0,-1)$. The blob matrix factorization has the form

$$\mathcal{C}_{\bullet} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{-1} & y_1 - y_2 a_{11}^2 & \theta_1 \\ a_{21} \tilde{x}_0 & y_2 & \theta_2' \end{bmatrix}, \quad \delta_1 \theta_1 = -2a_{21} a_{11} \theta_2'$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{C}_{\bullet} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{-1} & y_1 & \theta_1' \\ -a_{11}^2 x_{-1} + a_{21} \tilde{x}_0 & y_2 & \theta_2' \end{bmatrix}, \quad \theta_1' = \theta_1 + a_{22}^2 \theta_2', \quad \delta_1 \theta_1' = 0$$

The matrix factorization of the positive intersection is

$$\mathcal{C}_+ = (\mathsf{qt})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_{-1} & y_1 - y_2 a_{11}^2 & \theta_1 \\ \tilde{x}_0 & a_{21} y_2 & \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \delta_1 \theta_1 = -2a_{11} \theta_2,$$

while

$$\mathcal{C}_- = \mathcal{C}_+ \langle -1, -1 \rangle.$$

9.2. **Properties of the basic matrix factorizations.** In this subsection we collect various facts about the matrix factorizations from the previous subsection. In particular we explain how one can interpret the positive intersection matrix factorzation as a cone of the identity matrix factorization and the blob matrix factorization.

Proposition 9.1. *We have the following homotopy*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\|} \sim \mathcal{C}_{\|}\langle 1, -1\rangle.$$

Proof. Consider the diagram



Here dashed arrows denote homotopy morphisms and we used the relation $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 1$. □

Proposition 9.2. *We have following homotopies:*

$$\mathcal{C}_+ \sim (\mathsf{qt})^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{C}_{\|} \xrightarrow{\chi_+} \mathcal{C}_\bullet\langle -1, -1\rangle\Big), \quad \mathcal{C}_- \sim (\mathsf{qt})^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{C}_\bullet\langle -1, -1\rangle \xrightarrow{\chi_-} (\mathsf{qt})^2\,\mathcal{C}_{\|}\langle -1, 1\rangle\Big),$$

where



9.3. **Inversion of the elementary positive braid.** Main result of this section is the following

Theorem 9.3.

$$\mathcal{C}_+ \star \mathcal{C}_- \sim \mathcal{C}_{\|}$$

9.4. **General method of the proof.** It is easier to discuss the reduced convolution and we focus on this operation. From construction of the reduced convolution $\bar{\star}$ we see that the key step of computation of $\mathcal{G}\bar{\star}\mathcal{F}$ is the "computation" of $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^2}(\bar{\pi}_{12}^*\otimes_B\bar{\pi}_{23}^*)(\mathcal{G}\boxtimes\mathcal{F})$. The latter complex is a matrix factorization with non-trivial potential, we can not compute its cohomology in conventional sense, since the differential does not square to 0. However, we can get quite close to that by contracting the part of the complex with non-trivial action of $\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}$. We give details below.

To simplify notations we consider problem of simplifying of the complex $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathcal{F})^T$ where $\mathcal{F}\in\mathrm{MF}_B^{sc}(G\times Z,F)$, $F\in\mathbb{C}[G\times Z]^B$ and B acts on G by the left multiplication and acts trivially on Z. Let $R=\mathbb{C}[G]$ and $R\langle\chi\rangle$ is the ring with B-equivariant structure twisted by the character $\chi\in\mathrm{Hom}(T,\mathbb{C}^*)$: $b\cdot 1=\chi(b)1$ for $b\in B$ and $1\in R\langle\chi\rangle$ is the generator of R-module $R\langle\chi\rangle$. We assume that the matrix factorization that we study is the sum of free R-modules $\mathcal{F}=(M,D)$ $M=\oplus_{i=1}^N M_i$ and $M_i=\mathbb{C}[Z]\otimes R\langle\chi^i\rangle$. It is well known that the CE homology of such free modules has a geometric interpretation

Proposition 9.4.

$$\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathfrak{n},R\langle-\chi\rangle)^T=\mathrm{H}^*(G/B,L_\chi).$$

LHS of the last equation is the total space cohomology of the line bundle L_χ. The fiber of L_χ at $V^\bullet = \{V^1 \subset \cdots \subset V^n\} \in G/B$ is equal to $\bigotimes_i (V^{i+1}/V^i)^{d_i}$ where $\chi = \sum d_i \alpha_i$. The most import case of this theorem is the case $n = 2$:

$$\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathfrak{n}, R\langle -k\alpha \rangle) = \mathrm{H}^*(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(k)).$$

The other way to present previous proposition is to say that we use homotopy equivalence $\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{n}(R\langle\chi\rangle)^T \sim \oplus_i H^i(G/B, L_\chi)$ where the RHS is complex with zero differential. The homotopy could be constructed explicitly by iterative application of the Gauss elimination lemma (explained below) to the differentials of the complex. The Gauss elimination lemma holds in the matrix factorization setting, we state below, and this homotopic reformulation of the proposition 9.4 generalizes to the case of matrix factorizations:

Proposition 9.5. *Let $\mathcal{F} = (M, D) \in \mathrm{MF}_B(G \times Z, F)$ as above then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{F})^T \sim (\oplus_{i=1}^N H^*(B/G, L_{\chi_i}), \bar{D}) \in \mathrm{MF}(Z, F),$$

where $\bar{D}$ is the differential induced by the differential D by iterated application of Gauss elimination.

The Gauss elimination lemma is the standard tool of homological algebra, one can find more discussion (and applications) of this lemma in [4].

Lemma 9.6. *Suppose $M = \sum_i M_i$ and $D = \sum_{i,j} D_{ij}$, $D_{ij} \in \mathrm{Hom}(M_i, M_j)$ and $(M, D) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}(Z, F)$, $F \in \mathbb{C}[Z]$. Let us also assume that there are i_0, j_0 such that D_{i_0,j_0} is an isomorphism. Then there is a homotopy equivalence in the category of matrix factorizations*

$$(M, D) \sim (\oplus_{i \neq i_0, j_0} M_i, \bar{D}), \bar{D} = D' + \sum_{\{i,j\} \cap \{i_0, j_0\} = \emptyset} D_{i,j}$$

with D' being a correction differential which computed as follows.

$$D' = \sum_{i,j} D_{i,j} \circ D_{j,j_0} \circ (D^{-1}_{i_0,j_0}).$$

Thus to obtain the reduction $\bar{D}$ from the lemma we apply previous lemma to our complex $\mathrm{CE}_\mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{F})^T$. To keep exposition clear let us explain one would apply the elimination lemma to construct homotopy $(\oplus_{i=0}^N C_i, d_\bullet) \sim (H^\bullet(C, d), 0)$: the case of matrix factorizations from proposition 9.4 differs from this model case by feature that on every step of our construction we need to compute correction differentials.

Indeed, in our model case we can construct a non-canonical splitting $C_0 = \mathrm{Im}d_1 \oplus H^0(C,d)$, $C_1 = \mathrm{Ker}d_1 \oplus C_1/\mathrm{Ker}d_1$. The differential d_1 provides an isomorphism between $\mathrm{Im}d_1$ and $C_1/\mathrm{Ker}d_1$ and we can apply elimination lemma to obtain homotopy equivalent complex $(C',d) \oplus (\mathrm{H}^0(C,d),0)$: $C'_i = C_i$, $i>1$, $C'_1 = \mathrm{Ker}d_1$. Now we can apply the case method to the complex (C',d) and so on.

9.5. **Proof of theorem 9.3.** In order to compute a convolution of two objects, we introduce the matrices $g_{12} = ||a_{ij}||$, $g_{23} = ||b_{ij}||$ and $g_{13} = ||c_{ij}||$ so that

$$\delta_1 a_{11} = a_{21}, \qquad \delta_1 a_{12} = a_{22}, \qquad \delta_2 a_{12} = -a_{11}, \qquad \delta_2 a_{22} = -a_{21}, \tag{9.30}$$

$$\delta_2 b_{11} = b_{21}, \qquad \delta_2 b_{12} = b_{22}, \qquad \delta_3 b_{12} = -b_{11}, \qquad \delta_3 b_{22} = -b_{21}, \tag{9.31}$$

$$\delta_1 c_{11} = c_{21}, \qquad \delta_1 c_{12} = c_{22}, \qquad \delta_3 c_{12} = -c_{11}, \qquad \delta_3 c_{22} = -c_{21}. \tag{9.32}$$

We also introduce $X' = \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}^{-1}} X$:

$$\begin{aligned} x'_{-1} &= -2a_{11}a_{21}x_0 - a_{21}^2 x_1 + a_{11}^2 x_{-1} \\ x'_0 &= (a_{12}a_{21} + a_{11}a_{22})x_0 + a_{21}a_{22}x_1 - a_{11}a_{12}x_{-1}, \\ x'_1 &= 2a_{12}a_{22}x_0 + a_{22}^2 x_1 - a_{12}^2 x_{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For computation of convolution $\mathcal{C}_+ \star \mathcal{C}_-$ we need to analyze the pull-back matrix factorization:

$$\mathcal{C}_{+-} := \pi_{12}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{C}_+) \otimes \pi_{23}^{\circ *}(\mathcal{C}_-) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{-1} & y_1 - y_2 a_{11}^2 & \theta_1 \\ 2x_0 a_{11} + x_1 a_{21} & a_{21} y_2 & \theta_2 \\ x'_{-1} & y_2 - y_3 b_{11}^2 & \theta_3 \\ 2x'_0 b_{11} + x'_1 b_{21} & b_{21} y_3 & \theta_4 \end{bmatrix}'$$

$$\delta_1 \theta_1 = -2a_{11}\theta_2, \qquad \delta_2 \theta_3 = -2b_{11}\theta_4,$$

we used a shortcut notation $[-]' = [-]\langle 0,-1,-1\rangle$, and $[-]\langle 0,-1,-1\rangle$ stands for the shift of the action of the torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3 = T^{(1)} \times T^{(2)} \times T^{(3)}$.

Next we get rid of y_2, that is, we need to find a matrix factorization on $\mathfrak{g} \times G \times \mathfrak{n}$ that is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_{+-}$ as the matrix factorization over $\pi_{13}^{\circ *}(\mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_2^\circ])$. We use the row transformations together with the contraction of the injective differential to do that. The result of the row transformation is the LHS of the equation below:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{-1} & y_1 - y_3 a_{11}^2 b_{11}^2 & \theta'_1 \\ 2x_0 a_{11} + x_1 a_{21} & a_{21} b_{11}^2 y_3 & \theta'_2 \\ 0 & y_2 - y_3 b_{11}^2 & \theta_3 \\ 2x'_0 b_{11} + x'_1 b_{21} & b_{21} y_3 & \theta_4 \end{bmatrix}' \sim \begin{bmatrix} x_{-1} & y_1 - y_3 a_{11}^2 b_{11}^2 & \theta'_1 \\ 2x_0 a_{11} + x_1 a_{21} & a_{21} b_{11}^2 y_3 & \theta'_2 \\ 2x'_0 b_{11} + x'_1 b_{21} & b_{21} y_3 & \theta_4 \end{bmatrix}',$$

where

$$\theta_1' = \theta_1 + a_{11}^2\theta_3, \qquad\qquad \theta_2' = \theta_2 - a_{21}\theta_3,$$

so that

$$\delta_1\theta_1' = -2a_{11}\theta_2', \qquad \delta_2\theta_1' = -2b_{11}a_{11}^2\theta_4, \qquad \delta_2\theta_2' = 2b_{11}a_{21}\theta_4.$$

After performing two row operations with the help of the relation

$$2x_0'b_{11}+x_1'b_{21} = -x_{-1}(b_{11}a_{11}a_{12}+c_{11}a_{12})+c_{21}(2x_0a_{12}+x_1a_{22})+b_{11}a_{22}(2x_0a_{11}+x_1a_{21}).$$

we find

$$\mathcal{C}_{+-} \sim \begin{bmatrix} x_{-1} & y_1 - y_3c_{11}^2 & \theta_1'' \\ 2x_0a_{11} + x_1a_{21} & c_{21}b_{11}y_3 & \theta_2'' \\ (2x_0a_{12} + x_1a_{22})c_{21} & b_{21}y_3 & \theta_4 \end{bmatrix}' = \begin{bmatrix} x_{-1} & y_1 - y_3c_{11}^2 & \theta_1'' \\ \tilde{x}_0 & c_{21}b_{11}y_3 & \theta_2'' \\ \tilde{\tilde{x}}_0\, c_{21} & b_{21}y_3 & \theta_4 \end{bmatrix}'$$

where

$$\theta_1'' = \theta_1' - (b_{11}a_{11}a_{12} + c_{11}a_{12})\theta_4, \qquad\qquad \theta_2'' = \theta_2' + a_{22}b_{11}\theta_4,$$

so that

$$\delta_1\theta_1'' = -2a_{11}\theta_2'' - 2a_{12}c_{21}\theta_4, \qquad\qquad \delta_2\theta_2'' = c_{21}\theta_4. \tag{9.33}$$

The Borel group $B^{(2)}$ acts trivially on the first line of the last matrix factorization thus to complete our computation of $\mathcal{C}_+ \star \mathcal{C}_-$ we need to analyze the complex composed of the last two lines of the above Koszul complex:

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_0 & c_{21}b_{11}y_3 & \theta_2'' \\ \tilde{\tilde{x}}_0\, c_{21} & b_{21}y_3 & \theta_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

More precisely, we need to study the $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant part of the complex $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(2)}(C)$. First let us notice that the ring $R := \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3]$ factors as $R' \times \bar{\pi}_{13}^*(\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2])$ where $R' = \mathbb{C}[G]$ and the second factor is $B^{(2)}$-invariant and thus $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(2)}(C) = \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(2)}(C') \otimes \bar{\pi}_{13}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2)$ where C' is the matrix factorization C consider as the matrix factorization over R'. Let us depict the complex $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(2)}(C')^{T^{(2)}}$ below



where e^* is the basis of $\mathfrak{n}^* = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{C})$ and $[\alpha; k]$ stands for $R[k]\alpha$ which is the part of the ring of $\mathbb{C}^*$ weight k . In the picture the dashed arrows are the Chevaley-Eilenberg differentials for $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathfrak{n}, \bullet)$.

Note that $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathfrak{b}, R\langle 1\rangle) = 0$ hence the blue and red dashed arrows in the diagram are isomorphisms and we can contract them but some new morphisms would appear after we perform the contraction.

Indeed, if we contract red dashed arrow we obtain four correction morphisms. The first correction morphism d_1 is obtained by following blue arrows (dashed arrow in reverse direction) starting at $R[0]\theta_4 e^*$ and ending at $R[0]\theta_2''$:

$$d_1 = b_{21}y_3\delta_2^{-1}(2x_0a_{11} + x_1a_{21}) = b_{21}y_3(2x_0a_{12} + x_1a_{22}).$$

Thus we obtained the differential that goes in the direction opposite of the diagonal green dashed arrow. The another corrections differentials have the following origins and destinations:

$$d_2 : R[0]\theta_4e^* \to R[2]\theta_4, \quad d_3 : R[-2]\theta_2''e^* \to R[0]\theta_2'', \quad d_4 : R[-2]\theta_2''e^* \to R[2]\theta_4.$$

Similarly, contraction of the blue dashed arrow results yields four correction morphisms. The first correction morphism d_5 is obtained by following red arrows (dashed arrow in reverse direction) starting at at $R[0]\theta_4 e^*$ and ending at $R[0]\theta_2''$:

$$d_5 = (2x_0a_{11} + x_1a_{21})\delta_2^{-1}(b_{21}y_3) = b_{11}y_3(2x_0a_{11} + x_1a_{21}).$$

Thus we obtained another differential that goes in the direction opposite of the direction of diagonal dashed green arrow. The another corrections differentials have the following origins and destinations:

$$d_6 : R[0]\theta_4e^* \to R[2]\theta_4, \quad d_7 : R[-2]\theta_2''e^* \to R[0]\theta_2'', \quad d_8 : R[-2]\theta_2''e^* \to R[2]\theta_4.$$

Finally, let us notice that since $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{b}, R\langle 2\rangle) = \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{b}, R) = 0$, $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{b}, R\langle 2\rangle) = \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{b}, R) = \mathbb{C}[c, x, y]$ we see that Chevalley-Eilenberg differential: $d_{10} : R[2]\theta_4 \to R[0]\theta_4 e^*$ is injective and Chevalley-Eilenberg differential: $d_{11} : R[0]\theta_2'' \to R[-2]\theta_2'' e^*$ is surjective. Thus we can perform contraction along these differentials and obtain two term complex consisting of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{b}, R\langle 2\rangle) = \mathbb{C}[c, x, y]$, $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{b}, R) = \mathbb{C}[c, x, y]$ and differentials between them. Let us point out that the differentials $d_2, d_3, d_4, d_6, d_7, d_9$ do not result in any correction differential after the contraction since none of these differentials ends on the target of the arrows d_{10}, d_{11}.

Thus the only differentials in our final complex are the following. The differential that starts at $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{b}, R\langle 2\rangle)$ and ends at $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{b}, R)$ is the sum of d_2 and d_5:

$$d_2 + d_5 = y_3(2x_0c_{11} + x_1c_{21}).$$

The differential going in the reverse direction is the green arrow differential caused by (9.33) that is it is just a multiplication by c_{21}. Thus we have shown that

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\mathcal{C}_{+-})^{T^{(2)}} \sim \mathcal{C}_{\|}.$$

10. Three strands and two crossings

In this section we compute explicitly the matrix factorizations $\mathcal{C}_1 \bar{\star} \mathcal{C}_2$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 \bar{\star} \mathcal{C}_1$. Both of these matrix factorizations turn out to be Koszul matrix factorizations and we start with explanations for the equations defining these Koszul factorizations. Then we proceed with computations of the convolution.

10.1. **A geometric interpretation for the matrix factorization.** Let us fix notations:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix}, \quad a = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

We assume in this section that all group elements have determinant 1.

Proposition 10.1. *If the the following equations hold*

$$a_{31} = 0 \tag{10.34}$$

$$(x_{11} - x_{22})a_{11} + x_{12}a_{21} = 0 \tag{10.35}$$

$$(a^{-1})_{33}(x_{11} - x_{33}) - (a^{-1})_{32}x_{23} - (a^{-1})_{31}x_{13} = 0. \tag{10.36}$$

then

$$\mathrm{Ad}_a^{-1}(X) \in \mathfrak{b}.$$

Proof. The first two equations (10.34,10.35) imply $((X-x_{22}\mathrm{I}_3)a)_{\bullet 1}=0$ and hence

$$(a^{-1}(X-x_{22}\mathrm{I}_3)a)_{\bullet 1}=0.$$

The equation $(a^{-1}(X-x_{11}\mathrm{I}_3))_{3\bullet}=0$ is equivalent to the system consisting of the equation (10.36) and equation:

$$(a^{-1})_{31}x_{12}+(a^{-1})_{32}(x_{22}-x_{11})=0. \tag{10.37}$$

Now let us observe that if $a_{31}=0$ then $(a^{-1})_{31}=a_{12}a_{32}$ and $(a^{-1})_{32}=-a_{11}a_{32}$ thus the equation (10.37) is equal to a_{32} times (10.35) modulo a_{31} and the statement follows. □

Let us denote the LHS of (10.35) by f and LHS of (10.36) by g.

Proposition 10.2. *The elements a_{31}, f, g form regular sequence in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}\times G]$.*

Proof. We the Bruhat cover of the group to show it. Indeed, if $a_{31}=0$ then either $a_{11}\neq 0$ or $a_{21}\neq 0$. Let's treat the case $a_{11}\neq 0$ first. then either $(a^{-1})_{32}\neq 0$ and $a_{31}, f/a_{11}, g/(a^{-1})_{32}$ is regular or $(a^{-1})_{33}\neq 0$ and $a_{31}, f/a_{11}, g/(a^{-1})_{33}$ is regular. The second case $a_{21}\neq 0$ is analogous since then either $(a^{-1})_{31}\neq 0$ and $a_{31}, f/a_{21}, g/(a^{-1})_{31}$ is regular or $(a^{-1})_{33}\neq 0$ and $a_{31}, f/a_{21}, g/(a^{-1})_{33}$ is regular. Since we show regularity in each affine chart the statement follows. □

Let us point out that the proof of the proposition 10.1 actually implies that the ideal $I'_{12}=(a_{31},f,g)\subset\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}\times G]$ actually defines the subvariety that consists of pairs (X,g) such that

$$\mathrm{Ad}_g(X)-\mathrm{diag}(X_{22},X_{33},X_{11})\in\mathfrak{n}.$$

The later matrix equation if B^2-inavariant hence the ideal I'_{12} is B^2-invariant too.

The ideal $I_{12}=(a_{31},f,g)\subset\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2]$ containts the potential $\overline{W}$ and because of regularity of the sequence and of B^2-invariance we have, according to the Lemma 3.4 the following well-define matrix factorization

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{12}=\mathrm{K}^{\overline{W}}(I_{12})\in\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2,\overline{W}).$$

Let us define the following elements of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}\times G]$:

$$h=(a^{-1})_{32}x_{23}+(a^{-1})_{33}(x_{33}-x_{22}),\quad k=a_{11}(x_{11}-x_{33})+a_{21}x_{12}+a_{31}x_{13}.$$

Proof of the proposition below is parallel to the propositions above and we leave them for reader to check

Proposition 10.3. *We have*

(1) *The conditions* $(a^{-1})_{31}=0, h=0, k=0$ *imply* $\mathrm{Ad}_a^{-1}(X)\in\mathfrak{b}$.
(2) *The sequence* $(a^{-1})_{31}, h, k$ *is regular in* $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}\times G]$.

Let $I_{21}=((a^{-1})_{31}, h, k)\subset\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2]$ the ideal. Then analogously to the previous case we can define complex

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{21}:=\mathrm{K}^{\overline{W}}(I_{21})\in\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2,\overline{W}).$$

The main result of this section is the formula for the matrix factorization for the braid on three strands with two crossings:

Lemma 10.4. *We have*

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(1)}\bar{\star}\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(2)}=\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{12},\quad \bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(2)}\bar{\star}\bar{\mathcal{C}}_-^{(1)}=\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{21}.$$

10.2. **Proof of Lemma 10.4.** We present only a proof for the first equation. The second equation is obtained from the first by applying the involution D.

Since $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(2)}=\overline{\mathrm{Ind}}_{1,2}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+)$ we can use the proposition 8.4 and the corrections for the B_2 equivariant structure from the subsection 8.3 to proceed with our computation of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(1)}\bar{\star}\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(2)}$.

First we introduce the matrices

$$g_{12}=\begin{bmatrix}a_{11}&a_{12}&a_{13}\\a_{21}&a_{22}&a_{23}\\a_{31}&a_{32}&a_{33}\end{bmatrix},\quad g_{23}=\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0\\0&b_{22}&b_{23}\\0&b_{23}&b_{33}\end{bmatrix}\quad g_{13}=\begin{bmatrix}c_{11}&c_{12}&c_{13}\\c_{21}&c_{22}&c_{23}\\c_{31}&c_{32}&c_{33}\end{bmatrix}.$$

They satisfy the relation

$$g_{13}=g_{12}g_{23}.$$

Polynomials of the entries of the matrices a, c, X and Y span the space of regular functions on the space $\mathscr{X}_3(G_3,G_{2,3})$.

By the proposition 8.3 $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1\bar{\star}\bar{\mathcal{C}}_2=\bar{\pi}_{12*}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2^{(2)}}((\bar{\pi}_{12}^*\otimes_{B_2}\bar{\pi}_{23}^*)((\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1)\boxtimes\bar{\mathcal{C}}_2)))$ where

$$\bar{\pi}_{12}:\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_3,G_{2,3})\to\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_3),\quad \bar{\pi}_{23}:\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_3,G_{2,3})\to\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_2),$$
$$\bar{\pi}_{13}:\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_3,G_{2,3})\to\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2(G_3).$$

We introduce the matrices

$$\tilde{X}_2=\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}^{-1}}X=||\tilde{x}_{ij}||,\qquad \tilde{Y}_2=\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}}Y=||\tilde{y}_{ij}||.$$

The tensor product $(\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_{B_2} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(1)}) \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(2)})$ in the above tensor product is the Koszul complex:

$$C_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{31} & * & \theta_0 \\ (x_{11} - x_{22})a_{11} + x_{12}a_{21} & * & \theta_1 \\ a_{32} & * & \theta_2 \\ (\tilde{x}_{22} - \tilde{x}_{33})b_{22} + \tilde{x}_{23}b_{32} & * & \theta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since

$$g_{23}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{33} & -b_{23} \\ 0 & -b_{23} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

it turns out that $a_{31} = c_{31}$. Thus we can use the row operations to remove c_{31} from the other rows of C_{12}. After completing the process we obtain the matrix factorization C'_{12} with last last three rows equal to the rows of C_{12} with $c_{31} = a_{31} = 0$ and the first row matching with the row of $\mathcal{C}_{12}$ that contains the relation (10.34). The last row is $B_2^{(2)}$ invariant hence for our computation of $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2^{(2)}}(C_2)$ we only need to analyze the part of the complex C'_{12} that consists of the last three lines:

$$C''_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} (x_{11} - x_{22})a_{11} + x_{12}a_{21} & * & \theta_1 \\ a_{32} & * & \theta_2 \\ (\tilde{x}_{22} - \tilde{x}_{33})b_{22} + \tilde{x}_{23}b_{32} & * & \theta_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (x_{11} - x_{22})c_{11} + x_{12}c_{21} & * & \theta_1 \\ b_{33}c_{32} - b_{32}c_{33} & * & \theta_2 \\ (\tilde{x}_{22} - \tilde{x}_{33})b_{22} + \tilde{x}_{23}b_{32} & * & \theta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

The first two rows come from the (1,2)-crossing and the second row comes from the (2,3)-crossing. It turns out that the first row of C''_{12} matches the row of $\mathcal{C}_{12}$ that contains the relation (10.35) and it is $B_2^{(2)}$-invariant. So complete our computation of $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2^{(2)}}(C_{12})^{T^{(2)}}$ we have to simplify only the remaining two rows:

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} b_{33}c_{32} - b_{32}c_{33} & * & \theta_2 \\ (\tilde{x}_{22} - \tilde{x}_{33})b_{22} + \tilde{x}_{23}b_{32} & * & \theta_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_2 & * & \theta_2 \\ \kappa_3 & * & \theta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\kappa_2 = a_{32} = b_{33}c_{32} - b_{32}c_{33}, \qquad \kappa_3 = (\tilde{x}_{22} - \tilde{x}_{33})b_{22} + \tilde{x}_{23}b_{32}.$$

To write out our Chevalley-Eillenberg complex we need to explain the B_2-equivariant structure on C and it is done below. Denote the elements of Borel group $B_2^{(2)}$ as

$$h_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{22} & \beta_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Its action is

$$g_{12} \mapsto g_{12}h_2^{-1}, \qquad g_{23} \mapsto h_2 g_{23}, \qquad \tilde{X}_2 \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}_{h_2}\tilde{X}_2.$$

The weights of the action of $diag(0,1,-1) \in \mathrm{Lie}(B_2^{(2)})$ on the *r.h.s.* elements in the Koszul rows of C are -1 and 1 respectively, hence the weights of θ_2 and θ_3 are 1 and -1.

It remains to establish the action of β_{23} denoted by δ_2. One way to figure out this action is to use formulas (8.28) and (8.29) to fix the equivariant structure on C_{12} and then follow up the changes of this equivariant structure as do our reduction from C_{12} to C. However, we choose a different method: we just show that there is a unique strongly B_2-equivariant structure on C and compute it explicitly.

The element κ_2 is δ_2-invariant. The variation $\delta_2\kappa_3$ can be computed by brute force, but since we are interested in it being proportional to the second element, we use an indirect computation. Present $\tilde{X}_2$ as a sum of the upper-triangular and strictly lower-triangular parts:

$$\tilde{X}_2 = \tilde{X}_{2,++} + \tilde{X}_{2,-}.$$

Note that

$$\kappa_3 b_{32} = -(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}^{-1}}\tilde{X}_{2,++})_{32}.$$

while $\delta_2 b_{32} = 0$, so

$$\delta_2\kappa_3 = -\delta_2(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}^{-1}}\tilde{X}_{2,++})_{32}/b_{32}.$$

The matrix

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}^{-1}}\tilde{X}_2 = \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}^{-1}}\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}^{-1}}\tilde{X}_1 = \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{13}^{-1}}\tilde{X}_1$$

is Borel-invariant, hence

$$\delta_2\kappa_3 = \delta_2(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}^{-1}}\tilde{X}_{2,-})_{32}/b_{32}.$$

A direct computation shows that

$$(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}^{-1}}\tilde{X}_{2,-})_{32} = b_{22}^2(\tilde{X}_{2,-})_{32} = b_{22}^2\tilde{x}_{32}.$$

Since $\tilde{X}_2 \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}_{h_2}\tilde{X}_2$, it follows that $\delta_2\tilde{x}_{32} = 0$, while $\delta_2 b_{22} = b_{32}$, so

$$\delta_2\kappa_3 = 2\tilde{x}_{32}b_{22},$$

while

$$\tilde{x}_{32} = a_{32}\left((x_{11}-x_{22})a_{12}a_{21} + a_{21}a_{22}x_{12} \right.$$
$$\left. +(x_{33}-x_{22})(a_{11}a_{22}-a_{12}a_{21}) + a_{32}(a_{21}x_{13}-a_{11}x_{23})\right)$$

Since the Koszul differential $\kappa_2\theta_2 + \kappa_3\theta_3$ must remain Borel-invariant, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(10.38)\quad \delta_2\theta_2 = -2b_{22}^2\,&((x_{11}-x_{22})a_{12}a_{21} + a_{21}a_{22}x_{12}\\ &+(x_{33}-x_{22})(a_{11}a_{22}-a_{12}a_{21}) + a_{32}(a_{21}x_{13}-a_{11}x_{23}))\,\theta_3\end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the case of two strands we have extensor product decompsition $R = \overline{\mathscr{X}}_3 = R' \times \bar{\pi}_{13}^*(\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2])$ where $R' = \mathbb{C}[G_{2,3}] = \mathbb{C}[G_2]$ is the factor with non-trivial $B_2^{(2)}$-action. Thus we can use the equality $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2^{(2)}}(C)^{T^{(2)}} = \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2^{(2)}}(C')^{T^{(2)}} \otimes \pi_{13}^*(\mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_3])$ were C' is the complex C considered as the matrix factorization of R'-modules.

The complex of $T^{(2)}$-invariant part of the complex $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2^{(2)}}(C')$ has the following shape:



The shape of the complex is identical to the shape of the complex from the proof of the theorem 9.3. Thus we can perform the same sequence of contractions as in the proof of the theorem 9.3. As we have seen in the proof of theorem 9.3, at the end of our contraction process, all vertices of the complex except two connected by the green dashed arrow can be contracted to 0 and the vertices $R[0]\theta_3 e_3^*$ to $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(-2)) \otimes \bar{\pi}_{13}^*(\mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_2])$, $R[0]\theta_2$ to $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}) \otimes \bar{\pi}_{13}^*(\mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_2])$.

Thus at the end we obtain a two term Koszul complex and as we have seen previously all of the new correction differentials in the final compilex will be running in the direction opposite to the direction of the green arrow. Let's study the differential that induced by the green arrow in our final complex.

The target of our differential is $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(-2)) \otimes \bar{\pi}_{13}^*(\mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_2]) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathfrak{n}, R\langle -2\rangle)$, hence we can replace the coefficients of the differential by the expressions that are

homologous with respect to the differential δ_2. Below we take advantage of this observation. Indeed, note that

$$\delta_2 b_{22} = b_{32}, \qquad \delta_2 b_{23} = b_{33},$$

so, first, $\delta_2(b_{22}^2) = 2b_{22}b_{32}$, hence $b_{22}b_{32}$ is exact and, second, $\delta_2(b_{22}b_{23}) = b_{32}b_{23} + b_{22}b_{33}$, hence in view of $b_{22}b_{33} - b_{23}b_{32} = 1$ we find $b_{22}b_{33} \sim \frac{1}{2}$. As a result,

$$b_{22}\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} = g_{13}b_{22}g_{23}^{-1} \sim g_{13}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & \frac{1}{2}c_{12} & * \\ c_{21} & \frac{1}{2}c_{22} & * \\ c_{31} & \frac{1}{2}c_{32} & * \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that in the expression (10.38) each term has a product of an element from the first column and the second column of the matrix g_{12}, hence the differential induced by the green arrow is homologous to

$$-\Big((x_{11}-x_{22})c_{12}c_{21}+c_{21}c_{22}x_{12}+(x_{33}-x_{22})(c_{11}c_{22}-c_{12}c_{21})+c_{32}(c_{21}x_{13}-c_{11}x_{23})\Big)$$

Since this is the third condition of (10.36) we have shown that the matrix factorization $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1 \bar{\star} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$ is actually an element of $\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{Z}}_2, \overline{W})$ and its positive part in the sense of Lemma 3.4 is a Koszul complex for the regular sequence (c_{31}, f, g). Hence by the lemma 3.6 there is an isomorphism between $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1 \bar{\star} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{12}$.

11. Three strands three crossings

In this section we complete our proof theorem 7.6 by proving the cubic relation for the braid group generators. We show that two sides of the relations realize the same matrix factorization that has a geometric interpretation: the left hand side is realized by the extension of the complex of the minimal resolution of the ideal I_{121} and the other side for the ideal I_{212}. First we introduce the ideals and discuss their properties.

11.1. **Involution D and the ideals I_{121} and I_{212}.** We use special notations for some elements of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b} \times G]$:

$$f_i := \mathrm{Ad}_{c^{-1}}(X - x_{22}\mathbb{1})_{2i}, \quad h_i = (c^{-1}(X - x_{11}\mathbb{1}))_{3i}.$$
$$f'_i := \mathrm{Ad}_{c^{-1}}(X - x_{22}\mathbb{1})_{i2}, \quad h'_i = ((X - x_{33}\mathbb{1})c)_{i1},$$
$$k_{ij} = (\mathrm{Ad}_{c^{-1}}(X))_{ij} - \delta_{ij}x_{4-i,4-i}.$$

where $X = ||x_{ij}||$, $g \in G$ provide local coordinates on $\mathfrak{b} \times G$. Let us introduce the ideals

$$I_{121} = (f_1, f_2, h_2, h_3), \quad I_{212} = (f'_3, f'_2, h'_2, h'_1) \quad I = (k_{31}, k_{32}, k_{33}, k_{21}, k_{22}, k_{11}).$$

Proposition 11.1. *We have*

(1) $D(I_{121}) = I_{212}$,
(2) $D(I) = I$,
(3) $I_{121} = I$.

Proof. The first two statements are immediate from our definition of the involution D. We now show the last statement. First we show that $I \subset I_{121}$.

Indeed, the conditions $c^{-1}(X - x_{11}\mathbb{1})_{31}$ because X is upper triangular. Hence $h_2 = 0, h_3 = 0$ implies that the last row of $c^{-1}(X - x_{11}\mathbb{1})_{31}$ is zero and thus the last row of $\mathrm{Ad}_{c^{-1}}(X - x_{11}\mathbb{1})$ has vanishing last row. Finally, $f_1 = h_2 = 0$ implies $\mathrm{Ad}_{c^{-1}}(X)_{21} = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ad}_{c^{-1}}(X)_{22} = x_{22}$. Thus $\mathrm{Ad}_{c^{-1}}(X) \in \mathfrak{b}$ and combining with $\mathrm{Tr}(X) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Ad}_{c^{-1}}(X))$ we obtain that $\mathrm{Ad}_{c^{-1}}(X)_{ii} = x_{4-i,4-i}$.

Now let us show that $I_{121} \subset I$. The fact that $h_i \in I$ is immediate. Let us denote by $\vec{w}, \vec{u}, \vec{v}$ the first, second and third rows of c^{-1}. The relation from I imply that $c^{-1}(X) = \tilde{X}c^{-1}$ where $\tilde{X}$ is upper triangular with elements x_{33}, x_{22}, x_{11} on the diagonal. Hence $\vec{v}X = x_{11}\vec{v}$ and these relations are equivalent to $h_2 = h_3 = 0$. □

11.2. **Resolution for I_{121}.** In this subsection we construct a free resolution of the module $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b} \times G]/I_{121}$. Let us fix notations:

$$A = \Big[-\left(\mathrm{Ad}_{c^{-1}} X\right)_{21}, \ \Big(\mathrm{Ad}_{c^{-1}}(X - x_{22}\mathbb{1})\Big)_{22}, \ c^{-1}(X - x_{11}\mathbb{1})_{32} \Big].$$

The direct computation shows that

$$A = -\Big[\det B_{23}, \ \det B_{13}, \ \det B_{12}\Big],$$

where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} (x_{11} - x_{22})c_{12} + x_{12}c_{22} & -c_{32} \\ -((x_{11} - x_{22})c_{11} + x_{12}c_{21}) & c_{31} \\ -(c^{-1})_{2i}\big(X - x_{22}\mathbb{1}\big)_{i3} & -(c^{-1})_{2i}\delta_{i1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Laplace formula for the 3×3 matrix made of B and A implies that the following is a complex:

$$K = [R^2 \xrightarrow{B} R^3 \xrightarrow{A} R] \tag{11.39}$$

where $R = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b} \times G]$.

Let $\tilde{I}_{121} = (f_1, f_2, h_2)$. Below we show that the complex K is actually a resolution of the R-module $R/\tilde{I}_{121}$:

Proposition 11.2. *We have:*

$$\mathrm{H}^0(K) = R/\tilde{I}_{121}, \quad \mathrm{H}^{>0}(K) = 0.$$

The variety Z defined by the ideal generated by $\tilde{I}_{121}$ is the key geometric ingredient of the proof of theorem 7.6. The proposition below describes the fibers of the projection map $\pi_G : Z \to G$:

Proposition 11.3. *The fibers of the map π_G are linear subspaces of $\mathfrak{b}$ and if $c \notin B$ then* $\mathrm{codim}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\pi_G^{-1}(c)) = 2$*, otherwise if $g \in B$, $\pi_G^{-1}(c) = \mathfrak{b}$.*

Proof. Since the second column of the matrix B is a vector valued function on G which is non-zero at the generic point of G the linear conditions imposed by f_1, f_2, h_2 are not linearly independent at a generic point of G. Hence the $\mathrm{codim}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\pi_G^{-1}(c)) \leq 2$ for any $c \in G$.

Let us determine the locus of g such that $\mathrm{codim}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\pi_G^{-1}(c)) \leq 1$. Let us assume that $(c_{31}^{-1}, c_{32}^{-1}) \neq (0,0)$ and hence $(c_{31}, c_{32}) \neq (0,0)$. Since h_2 is a non-zero linear function on $\mathfrak{b}$, the linear system $f_1 = 0, f_2 = 0, h_2 = 0$ has rank 1 if f_1, f_2 are proportional to h_2. If $c_{31} \neq 0$ then the condition that f_1 is proportional to h_1 implies that $c_{2i}^{-1} = 0$ for all i hence contradiction to $det(c) = 1$. Similarly, if $c_{32} \neq 0$, the condition f_2 is proportional to h_1 also implies $c_{2i}^{-1} = 0$ for all i. Thus if $\mathrm{codim}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\pi_G^{-1}(c)) \leq 1$ then $c_{31} = c_{32} = c_{31}^{-1} = c_{32}^{-1} = 0$.

The last condition on c implies $h_1 = 0$ and the nontrivial entries of the linear equations f_1 and f_2 are the coefficients in front of x_{12}, x_{11}, x_{22}. These are the vectors $(c_{21}^{-1}c_{21}, c_{21}^{-1}c_{11}, c_{22}^{-1}c_{21})$ and $(c_{21}^{-1}c_{22}, c_{21}^{-1}c_{12}, c_{22}^{-1}c_{12})$. If $c_{12}^{-1} \neq 0$ then these vectors are proportional to $(c_{21}, c_{11}, *)$ and $(c_{22}, c_{12}, *)$ and these two vectors can not be proportional because of $det(c) = 1$.

Thus we have shown that $\mathrm{codim}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\pi_G^{-1}(c)) \leq 1$ implies $c \in B$. Finally, it is immediate to see that if $c \in B$ then f_1, f_2, h_2 are zero linear functions on $\mathfrak{b}$. □

Proof of proposition 11.2. The previous proposition implies that $\mathrm{codim}_{\mathfrak{b}\times G}(Z) = 2$. Hence we can apply the Hilbert-Burch theorem [12] which implies that K is indeed a resolution of the module $R/\tilde{I}_{121}$. □

In the next subsection we need a slight refinement of the previous proposition. We define a complex

$$C_{121} = [R \xrightarrow{h_2} R] \otimes K.$$

Proposition 11.4. *We have*

$$\mathrm{H}^0(C_{121}) = R/I_{121}, \quad \mathrm{H}^{>0}(C_{121}) = 0.$$

Proof. By the spectral sequence for the tensor product of complexes and the previous proposition it is enough to show that the complex $R/\tilde{I}_{121} \xrightarrow{h_2} R/\tilde{I}_{121}$ has no homology in non-zero degree. That is we need to show that h_2 is not a zero divisor in $R/\tilde{I}_{121}$.

The variety Z could have irreducible components of codimension at most 3. The proposition 7.6 implies that Z has at most two irreducible components. The first component Z_1 is the closure of $\pi_G^{-1}(G \setminus B)$ and the second component Z_2 is $\pi_G^{-1}(B)$. The fist component has codimension 2 and the second codimension 3. Thus we need to show that h_2 is no vanishing identically on any of the components Z_i.

Since $c = 1 \in B$ and $h_2|_{c=1} = x_{33} - x_{11}$ and $f_1|_{c=1} = f_2|_{c=1} = h_1|_{c=1} = 0$, the function h_2 does not vanish on Z_2. Respectively $s = E_{12} - E_{21} + E_{33} \notin B$ and $h_2|_{c=s} = x_{33} - x_{11}$, $f_1|_{c=s} = x_{12}$, $f_2|_{c=s} = x_{11} - x_{22}$, $h_1|_{c=s} = 0$ we see that h_2 is not vanishing on $\pi_G^{-1}(s)$ and hence h_2 does not vanish on Z_1 and it is a zero-divisor. □

11.3. **Convolution.** Let us denote by $\overline{C}_{121}$ a complex of R-modules (here $R = \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{Z}}_2]$) that is obtained by a pull back of the complex C_{121} along the projection map $\overline{\mathscr{Z}}_2 \to \mathfrak{b} \times G$. Since the projection map is flat, the results of the previous subsection imply that the complex $\overline{C}_{121}$ satisfies the conditions of the Lemmas 2.1,2.2 with $F = \overline{W}$. Hence there is a unique (up to homotopy) matrix factorization $\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(121)} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{Z}}_2, \overline{W})$ that extends the complex $\overline{C}_{121}$. The main result of this section is the proof of the following

Lemma 11.5. *We have*

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(1)} \bar{\star} \bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(2)} \bar{\star} \bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(1)} = \bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(121)}$$

Proof. To prove the lemma we use the results of the previous section and compute the convolution $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{12} \bar{\star} \bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(1)}_+$. Since $\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(1)}_+ = \overline{\mathrm{Ind}}_{12}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+)$ we can use the proposition 8.3 and subsection 8.3. That is for our proof, we analyze T-invariant part of $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2^{(2)}}(\mathcal{C})$ the matrix matrix factorization $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_3 \times B_2 \times B_3}(\overline{\mathscr{Z}}_3(G_3, G_{1,2}), \bar{\pi}_{13}^*(\overline{W}))$,

$$\mathcal{C} = (\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_{B_2} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{12} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(1)}_+).$$

First let us discuss the coordinates on the space $\mathscr{X}_3(G_3, G_{1,2})$, for that we introduce the following matrices:

$$g_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad g_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & 0 \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$g_{23}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{22} & -b_{12} & 0 \\ -b_{21} & b_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad g_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\ c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ 0 & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & x_{33} \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y_{12} & y_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & y_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{X} = \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}^{-1}} X = ||\tilde{x}_{ij}||.$$

The Borel group $B_2^{(2)}$ corresponding to a middle factor in $B_3 \times B_2 \times B_3$ could be parametrized as follows:

$$h_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The weights of the action of Lie algebra element $diag(1, -1, 0) \in \mathrm{Lie}(B_2^{(2)})$ are

element	a_{i1}	a_{i2}	a_{i3}	$(a^{-1})_{1i}$	$(a^{-1})_{2i}$	$(a^{-1})_{3i}$	b_{1i}	b_{2i}	b_{3i}
weight	-1	1	0	1	-1	0	1	-1	0

and the action of $\delta_{12} := E_{12} \in \mathrm{Lie}(B_2^{(2)})$ is

$$\delta_{12} a_{i2} = -a_{i1}, \qquad \delta_{12}(a^{-1})_{1i} = (a^{-1})_{2i}, \qquad \delta_{12} b_{1i} = b_{2i}.$$

The matrix factorization $\mathcal{C}$ is generated by four Koszul differentials:

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= a_{31} \\ d_2 &= (x_{11} - x_{22})a_{11} + x_{12}a_{21} \\ d_3 &= (\tilde{x}_{11} - \tilde{x}_{22})b_{11} + \tilde{x}_{12}b_{21} \\ d_4 &= (x_{33} - x_{11})(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}) - a_{32}(a_{11}x_{23} - a_{21}x_{13}) \\ &= (x_{33} - x_{11})(a^{-1})_{33} + x_{23}(a^{-1})_{32} + x_{31}(a^{-1})_{31} \\ &= (a^{-1})_{3i}(X - x_{11}\mathbb{1})_{i3}. \end{aligned}$$

The differentials d_1, d_2 and d_4 come from the first two crossings and together they constitute $\bar\pi_{12}(\mathcal{C}_{12})$; d_3 comes from the last crossing, that is from the matrix factorization $\bar\pi^*_{23}(\mathcal{C}_+)$.

The weights of the differentials with respect to the maximal torus the Borel group $B_2^{(2)}$ are

differential	d_1	d_2	d_3	d_4
weight	-1	-1	1	0

The differential d_4 is completely Borel-invariant and thus for our analysis of $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2^{(2)}}(\mathcal{C})$ we need to concentrate on the first three rows of $\mathcal{C}$ that compose the matrix factorization $\mathcal{C}'$. Moreover,

$$d_4 = (x_{33}-x_{11})(c^{-1})_{33} + x_{23}(c^{-1})_{32} + x_{31}(c^{-1})_{31} = (c^{-1})_{3i}(X - x_{11}\mathbb{1})_{i3}.$$

thus it matches with h_3.

The differentials d_1 and d_2 are invariant under δ_{12}.

Let us compute $\delta_{12}d_3$. We observe that $\tilde X_+ = \tilde X - \tilde X_{--}$, hence

$$d_3 = -\left(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}^{-1}}\tilde X_+\right)_{21}/b_{21} = -\left(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{13}^{-1}}X\right)_{21}/b_{21} + \left(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}^{-1}}\tilde X_{--}\right)_{21}/b_{21} \tag{11.40}$$

The first term here is δ_{12}-invariant and b_{21} is also δ_{12}-invariant, hence

$$\delta_{12}d_3 = \delta_{12}\left(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}^{-1}}\tilde X_{--}\right)_{21}/b_{21}$$

Now

$$\left(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}^{-1}}\tilde X_{--}\right)_{21} = \tilde x_{21}b_{11}^2, \qquad \delta_{12}\tilde x_{21} = 0, \qquad \delta_{12}b_{11} = b_{21},$$

hence

$$\delta_{12}d_3 = 2\tilde x_{21}b_{11}.$$

It remains to express $\tilde x_{21}$ in terms of d_1 and d_2. By definition,

$$\tilde x_{21} = (a^{-1})_{2i}X_{ij}a_{j1} = (a^{-1})_{2i}\big(X - x_{22}\mathbb{1}\big)_{ij}a_{j1}.$$

Note that

$$\big(X - x_{22}\mathbb{1}\big)_{ij}a_{j1} = d_1\big(X - x_{22}\mathbb{1}\big)_{i3} + d_2\delta_{i1}$$

hence

$$\tilde x_{21} = d_1\beta_1 + d_2\beta_2,$$

where

$$\beta_1 = (a^{-1})_{2i}\big(X - x_{22}\mathbb{1}\big)_{i3}, \qquad \beta_2 = (a^{-1})_{2i}\delta_{i1} \tag{11.41}$$

so

$$\delta_{12}d_3 = 2b_{11}(\beta_1 d_1 + \beta_2 d_2),$$

The first three differentials d_1, d_2, d_3 thus form the following Koszul complex $\mathcal{C}'_+$ which form a positive part of the matrix factorization $\mathcal{C}$.

Below we simplify the complex $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2^{(2)}}(\mathcal{C}'_+)^{T^{(2)}}$ and match the simplified complex with the complex of the minimal resolution of I_{121}.

The complex $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2^{(2)}}(\mathcal{C}'_+)$ has the form



In the picture $[\Theta, k]$ stands for the tensor product of the monomial Θ of the odd variables from the Koszul complex and of Chevalley-Eilenberg complex for the $R\langle k\rangle := \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_3, G_{1,2})] = R' \otimes \bar{\pi}_{13}^*(\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2])$, $R' = \mathbb{C}[G_{1,2}] = \mathbb{C}[G_2]$: $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2^{(2)}}(R'\langle -k\rangle) \otimes \bar{\pi}_{13}^*(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2) \otimes \Theta$. We used the dashed lines additional Chevalley-Eilenberg differentials and we used a shortcut

$$\alpha_1 = 2b_{11}\beta_1, \quad \alpha_2 = 2b_{11}\beta_2.$$

Now we perform contractions along the differentials inside complexes $[\Theta, k]$. After the contraction the correspondingg vertices in the diagram get replaced with $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(-k)) \otimes \bar{\pi}_{13}^*(\mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_2])$:



Thus, we obtained the complex of the form

$$1_1 \oplus 1_2 \xrightarrow{B} b_{21} \oplus b_{22} \oplus \zeta_{12} \xrightarrow{A} 1$$

and the rest of the proof is devoted to demonstrating that these matrices are

$$A = \Big[-\left(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{13}^{-1}} X\right)_{21},\ \left(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{13}^{-1}}(X - x_{22}\mathbb{1})\right)_{22},\ (c^{-1})_{3i}(X - x_{11}\mathbb{1})_{i2} \Big] = -\Big[\det B_{23},\ \det B_{13},\ \det B_{12}\Big].$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} (x_{11} - x_{22})c_{12} + x_{12}c_{22} & -c_{32} \\ -((x_{11} - x_{22})c_{11} + x_{12}c_{21}) & c_{31} \\ -(c^{-1})_{2i}\big(X - x_{22}\mathbb{1}\big)_{i3} & -(c^{-1})_{2i}\delta_{i1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We begin with B. Its lower row comes from a pair $-\alpha_1$, $-\alpha_2$. Note that both have the same form

$$\alpha_* = 2b_{11}(a^{-1})_{2i}(\text{something})_i, \qquad (a^{-1})_{2i} = b_{2j}(c^{-1})_{ji}.$$

Now we used the fact that

$$\delta_{12}b_{11} = b_{21}, \qquad \delta_{12}b_{12} = b_{22}, \qquad b_{11}b_{22} - b_{12}b_{21} = 1,$$

from which we conclude that

$$b_{11}b_{21}\zeta_{12} \sim 0, \qquad b_{11}b_{22}\zeta_{12} \sim \tfrac{1}{2}\zeta_{12}.$$

and as a result

$$2b_{11}(a^{-1})_{2i}\zeta_{12} \sim (c^{-1})_{2i}\zeta_{12}$$

which means that we should simply replace $(a^{-1})_{2i}$ with $(c^{-1})_{2i}$ in the formulas (11.41).

The first two rows of B come from d_1 and d_2 in which we substitute

$$a_{i1} = c_{ij}(b^{-1})_{j1}$$

and then extract the coefficients at b_{21} and at b_{22}.

Now we turn to the row-matrix A. Its third element A_3 is the simplest: it comes from two secondary differentials going from ζ_{12} to 1, so it is

$$A_3 = d_1\,\delta_{12}^{-1}(d_2) - d_2\,\delta_{12}^{-1}(d_1).$$

Both d_1 and d_2 are bilinear combinations of δ_{12}-invariants and matrix elements a_{i1}. Note that $\delta_{12}^{-1}(a_{i1}) = a_{i2}$, hence if $i \neq j$, then

$$a_{i1}\,\delta_{12}^{-1}(a_{j1}) - a_{j1}\,\delta_{12}^{-1}(a_{i1}) = -a_{i1}a_{j2} + a_{j1}a_{i2} = \pm(a^{-1})_{3k},$$

where $k \neq i, j$. As a result,

$$A_3 = (x_{22} - x_{11})(a^{-1})_{32} + x_{12}(a^{-1})_{31}$$

The third row of g_{12}^{-1} coincides with the third row of g_{13}^{-1}, hence ultimately

$$A_3 = (x_{22} - x_{11})(c^{-1})_{32} + x_{12}(c^{-1})_{31} = (c^{-1})_{3i}(X - x_{11}\mathbb{1})_{i2}$$

The first and second entries of A are both sums of three terms: the first one being d_3 and the last two being secondary differentials

$$d_i\delta_{12}^{-1}(\alpha_i b_{2j}) = d_i\delta_{12}^{-1}(2b_{11}b_{2j}\beta_i), \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

Let us being with A_1 originating from b_{21}. According to (11.40),

$$d_3 b_{21} = -\left(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{13}^{-1}}X\right)_{21} + \left(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}^{-1}}\tilde{X}_-\right)_{21} = -\left(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{13}^{-1}}X\right)_{21} + \tilde{x}_{21}b_{11}^2.$$

At the same time, $\delta_{12}\beta_i = 0$ and $\delta_{12}(b_{11}^2) = b_{11}b_{21}$, hence

$$d_i\delta_{12}^{-1}(2b_{11}b_{21}\beta_i) = b_{11}^2 d_i\beta_i,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1,2} d_i\delta_{12}^{-1}(2b_{11}b_{21}\beta_i) = b_{11}^2(d_1\beta_1 + d_2\beta_2) = b_{11}^2\tilde{x}_{21}$$

in accordance with (11.3). Now

$$A_1 = d_3 b_{21} - \sum_{i=1,2} d_i\delta_{12}^{-1}(\alpha_i b_{21}) = -\left(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{13}^{-1}}X\right)_{21}.$$

In order to computer A_2, we use the formula

$$d_3 = (\tilde{x}_{11} - \tilde{x}_{22})b_{11} + \tilde{x}_{12}b_{21}$$

In order to compute the secondary differentials, use the relations

$$\delta_{12}(b_{11}b_{12}) = b_{11}b_{22} + b_{12}b_{21}, \qquad b_{11}b_{22} - b_{12}b_{21} = 1,$$

from which it follows that

$$2b_{11}b_{22} = \delta_{12}(b_{11}b_{12}) + 1.$$

As a result,

$$d_i\delta_{12}^{-1}(2b_{11}b_{22}\beta_i) = d_ib_{11}b_{12}\beta_i + d_i\delta_{12}^{-1}(\beta_i).$$

The first term yields

$$\sum_{i=1,2} b_{11}b_{12}d_i\beta_i = \tilde{x}_{21}b_{11}b_{12}.$$

As for the second term, from the relation (11.3) and δ_{12}-invariance of d_1 and d_2 of we conclude:

$$d_1\delta_{12}^{-1}(\beta_1)+d_2\delta_{12}^{-1}(\beta_2) = \delta_{12}^{-1}\Big((\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}^{-1}}X - x_{22}\mathbb{1})_{21}\Big) = (\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{12}^{-1}}X - x_{22}\mathbb{1})_{11} = \tilde{x}_{11} - x_{22}.$$

Adding all three terms together, we find

$$A_2 = d_3b_{22} - \tilde{x}_{21}b_{11}b_{12} - (\tilde{x}_{11} - x_{22}) = (\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{23}^{-1}}\tilde{X})_{22} - x_{22} = (\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{13}^{-1}}X)_{22} - x_{22}.$$

Thus we have shown that the matrix factorization $\mathcal{C}_{12}\bar{\star}\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(1)}$ is a 2-periodic folding of the matrix factorization that is obtain from B_3^2 equivariant complex $\bar{C}_{121}$ by adding the negative differentials and the differentials that correct equivariant structure. Moreover, since the complex $\bar{C}_{121}$ satisfies the conditions of the lemma 3.4 such matrix factorization exists and unique and the statement of our theorem follows.

□

11.4. **End of the proof of the theorem 7.6.**

Corollary 11.6. *We have*

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(1)}\bar{\star}\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(2)}\bar{\star}\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(1)} = \bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(2)}\bar{\star}\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(1)}\bar{\star}\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(2)}.$$

Proof. From the previous discussion we see that the complexes C_{121} and $D(C_{121})$ are minimal resolutions of the modules R/I_{121} and R/I_{212}. It is well known [12] that the minimal resolutions of the modules over the regular ring are related by the linear isomorphism (sequence of row transformations Hence the minimality and the proposition 11.1 implies that $D(\overline{C}_{121})$ is related to $\overline{C}_{121}$ by the linear isomorphism. Thus we have

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(2)}\bar{\star}\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(1)}\bar{\star}\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(2)} = D(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(1)})\bar{\star}D(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(2)})\bar{\star}D(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+^{(1)}) = D(\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(121)}) = \bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(121)}.$$

□

12. Sheaves on the Hilbert scheme

In this section we explain how for a word β of generators of the braid group $\mathfrak{Br}_n$ one can construct a two-periodic complex C_β of quasi-coherent sheaves on the space $\mathscr{X}_\ell$ with the property that its homology is supported on a version of the Steinberg-like variety St_ℓ that covers the nested Hilbert Scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}_{n,1}$ of n-points on $\mathbb{C}^2$. We show later that $B^\ell \times G$-inavriant part $\mathrm{H}^*(C_\beta)$ is related to the isotopy invariant of the link $L(\beta)$. First let us remind a definition of the nested Hilbert scheme.

12.1. **Nested Hilbert scheme: definitions and notations.** Direct definition of the scheme $\mathbf{Hilb}_{1,n}$ is the moduli space of the sequences of the ideals $I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_n \subset \mathbb{C}[x,y]$ such that $\dim \mathbb{C}[x,y]/I_k = k$. Respectively we use notation $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}$ for the subscheme of $\mathbf{Hilb}_{1,n}$ such that $\sum \mathrm{supp}(I_{i+1}/I_i) = (0,0)$. Generally, we use non-bold notations to the spaces related to $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,}$. We are also interested in the "Lagrangian" subvariety $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}^L$ which consists of the sequence like above with extra condition $\mathrm{supp}(I_{k-1}/I_k)$ is a point on the line $L = \{y = 0\}$.

We can also think of a point on $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}$ as quotient sequence

$$\mathbb{C}[x,y]/I_n \to \mathbb{C}[x,y]/I_{n-1} \to \cdots \to \mathbb{C}[x,y]/I_1.$$

Let us use notation $V_k = \mathbb{C}[x,y]/I_k$. If we choose a basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ of V_n such that $\langle v_1, \dots, v_k\rangle = V_k$ then the operators of multiplication by x and y become upper triangular in this basis. Let us denote these operators by X, Y. Let us also notice that the fact that V_k are obtained as a quotient of $\mathbb{C}[x,y]$ implies that there is a special vector in $u \in V_n$ which is the image of $1 \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$. Thus on the level of points we obtained identification of $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}$ with the quotient of the variety

$$\mathcal{CV}^{st}_{\mathfrak{b}\times\mathfrak{b}} = \{(X,Y) \in \mathfrak{b} | [X,Y] = 0 \text{ and exists } u \text{ such that } \mathbb{C}[X,Y]u = V\}$$

by the group B. Respectively, to obtain a model for $\mathrm{Hilb}^L_{1,n}$ one need to impose the condition $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$

The tricky part of the previous description is the fact that we take quotient by the group B which is not a reductive group. Thus to reveal the scheme theoretic structure of the quotient $\mathcal{CV}^{st}_{\mathfrak{b}\times\mathfrak{b}}/B$ one could consider slightly different model for $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}$ which we describe below. Let us define $\tilde{C} \subset \mathrm{Fl} \times \mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathfrak{gl}_n$ which consists of the triples $(V_\bullet, X, Y)$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$XV_i \subset V_i, \quad YV_i \subset V_i, \quad [X,Y] = 0.$$

There is a natural action of $GL(n)$ on $\tilde{C}$ and analogously to the argument in [29] one can show that the semi-stable locus $\tilde{C}^{ss}$ of the action is exactly the locus where there is a vector u such that $\mathbb{C}[X,Y]u = V_n$. Thus on the set theoretic level we established the isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}^{st}_{\mathfrak{b}\times\mathfrak{b}}/B$ and $\tilde{C}^{ss}/GL_n$. Lastly, let us notice that the quotient $\tilde{C}^{ss}/GL_n$ has a natural scheme structure due to GIT theory.

12.2. **Braid complex.** Now let us recall our construction of the braid group action and relate the construction of the braid group action to the knot invariants. Recall that in the previous sections we discussed various properties of the particular matrix factorization $\mathcal{C}^i_\epsilon \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{G\times B^2}(\mathfrak{g} \times (G \times \mathfrak{n})^2, W)$,:

$$W(X, g_1, Y_1, g_2, Y_2) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_1}(X)Y_1 - \mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_2}(X)Y_2).$$

Suppose we are given a braid $\beta = \sigma^{\epsilon_1}_{i_1} \dots \sigma^{\epsilon_\ell}_{i_\ell}$, where $\epsilon_j = \pm 1$. Then we construct the following two-periodic complex of coherent sheaves on $\mathscr{X}_\ell := \mathfrak{g} \times (G \times \mathfrak{n})^N$:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\sigma^{\epsilon_1}_{i_1},\dots,\sigma^{\epsilon_\ell}_{i_\ell}} := \pi^*_{12}(\mathcal{C}^{(i_1)}_{\epsilon_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \pi^*_{n1}(\mathcal{C}^{(i_\ell)}_{\epsilon_\ell}) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^\ell \times G}(\mathscr{X}_\ell, \pi^*_{1,n}(W)),$$

where $\pi_{i,i+1} : \mathscr{X}_\ell \to \mathscr{X}_2$ is the natural projection.

Let us define the ideal $\mathcal{I}^{crit}_\ell \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_\ell]$ be generated by the partial derivatives of the functions $W_{i,i+1} := \pi^*_{i,i+1}(W)$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Elements of the ideal $\mathcal{I}^{crit}$ provide a morphisms of the complex C_β that are homotopic to 0. Thus we the ring $R_\ell = \mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_\ell]/\mathcal{I}^{crit}$ acts on the homotopy class $[C_\beta]$ of the complex C_β. In the next few paragraphs we explain how one defines a quotient $[C_\beta]/B^\ell$ and the total homology of the corresponding quotient complex would provide us with the contact knot invariant.

12.3. **Geometry of the critical locus.** Before we describe the last step of our construction of the knot invariant let us dicuss the structure of the scheme $\mathscr{X}_\ell^{crit} := \mathrm{Spec}(R_\ell)$. First, we describe a particular set of generators of the ideal $\mathcal{I}^{crit}$ let us notice that we can rewrite the intermediate potential $W_{i,i+1}$ as follows

$$W_{i,i+1} = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_i}^{-1}(X)Y_i - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i+1}}^{-1}(X)Y_{i+1}) \tag{12.42}$$

As we explain in the section 5 the potential $W_{i,i+1}$ could be rewritten as follows:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{X}_{i,+}\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i,i+1}}(Y_{i+1})) - \mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{X}_{i,--}(Y_i - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i,i+1}}(Y_{i+1})_{++})),$$

here and everywhere below the notations are consistent with the notations of section 5, that is M_+ (M_-) is the upper-triangular (lower-triangular) part of a matrix M and M_{++} (M_{--}) is the strictly upper-triangular (lower-triangular) part of M and

$$g_{i,i+1} = g_i^{-1}g_{i+1}, \quad \tilde{X}_i = \mathrm{Ad}_{g_i}^{-1}(X).$$

Taking partial derivatives of the last function with respect to the coordinates Y_{i+1}, $\tilde{X}_{i,+}$, g, $Y_i - \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i,i+1}}(Y_{i+1})_{++}, \tilde{X}_{i,--}$ we obtain equations:

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i,i+1}}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_i)_{--} = 0, \tag{12.43}$$

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i,i+1}}(Y_{i+1})_- = 0, \tag{12.44}$$

$$[\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i,i+1}}(Y_{i+1}), \tilde{X}_{i,+}] = 0, \tag{12.45}$$

$$\tilde{X}_{i,--} = 0, \tag{12.46}$$

$$Y_i = \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i,i+1}}(Y_{i+1})_{++}. \tag{12.47}$$

Let us notice that the last equations the first and the second lines actually imply:

$$[Y_i, \tilde{X}_{i,+}] = 0, \tag{12.48}$$

since $[\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i,i+1}}(Y_{i+1}), \tilde{X}_{i,+}] = [\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i,i+1}}(Y_{i+1})_{++}, \tilde{X}_{i,+}] + [\mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i,i+1}}(Y_{i+1})_-, \tilde{X}_{i,+}]$.

On the other hand if we compute the partial derivatives by X of the LHS of (12.42) we get

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{g_i}(Y_i) = \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i+1}}(Y_{i+1}) \tag{12.49}$$

Finally let us notice that the (12.43) and (12.48) imply that $[Y_{i+1}, \tilde{X}_{i+1}] = 0$ and hence

$$[\mathrm{Ad}_{g_i}Y_i, X] = 0. \tag{12.50}$$

Let us notice that because of the previous observation, the last equations are equivalent for different values of i.

To summarize our findings let us point out that we discovered that the $G\times B^\ell$ equivariant scheme $\mathscr{X}_\ell^{crit}$ comes equipped with the following maps:

$$pr_i : \mathscr{X}_\ell^{crit} \to \mathcal{CV}_{\mathfrak{b}\times\mathfrak{n}}, \quad pr_i(g_\bullet, X, Y_\bullet) = (Y_i, \tilde{X}_{i,+}),$$

this map is B-equivariant;

$$pr : \mathscr{X}_\ell^{crit} \to \mathcal{CV}_{\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}}, \quad pr(g_\bullet, X, Y_\bullet) = (\mathrm{Ad}_{g_i}(Y_i), X),$$

this map is G-equivariant. The varieties $\mathcal{CV}_B$ and $\mathcal{CV}_G$ are the commuting sub varieties of $\mathfrak{n}\times\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}$ respectvely.

Proposition 12.1. *The critical locus $\mathscr{X}_\ell^{crit}$ consists of elements $(X, g_1, Y_1, \dots, g_\ell, Y_\ell)$ satisfying*

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{g_i}(Y_i) = \mathrm{Ad}_{g_{i+1}}(Y_{i+1})$$
$$\mathrm{Ad}_{g_i}^{-1}(X)_{--} = 0$$
$$[X, \mathrm{Ad}_{g_1}(Y_1)] = 0.$$

12.4. **Stability conditions on critical locus.** The locally closed locus $\mathscr{X}_{\ell,st}^{crit}$ defined by the condition that there is vector $u \in V$ such that

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ad}_{g_i}(Y_i), X]u = \mathbb{C}^n. \tag{12.51}$$

From above discussion we see that the image $\pi_i(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,st}^{crit})$ is inside $\mathcal{CV}_B^{st}$ because $u_i = g_i^{-1}(u)$ is cyclic

$$\mathbb{C}[Y_i, \tilde{X}_{i,+}]u_i = \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We denote intersection of $\mathscr{X}_\ell^{crit}/U^\ell$ with the stable locus by $\mathscr{X}_{\ell,st}^{crit}/U^\ell$. Let us denote j_{st} the inclusion of the stable locus.

Let us introduce slightly bigger space $\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fr}^{crit}$ that is a subvariety of $\mathscr{X}_\ell^{crit}\times V$ consisting of elements satisfying conditions (12.51). The space $\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fr}^{crit}$ has a natural action of the group $\hat{G}\times B^\ell$, $\hat{G} := G\times\mathbb{C}^*$, where $\mathbb{C}^*$-factor acts on the space V by rescaling. As we see from the previous discussion the quotient by this group have the following interpretation.

There is the universal ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ over $\mathrm{Hilb}_n\times\mathbb{C}^2$ and we denote by $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}$ the rank n vector bundle on Hilb_n defined as push forward $pr_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2}/\mathcal{I})$. Let us introduce an analog of the Steinberg variety in our setting, $\mathrm{St}_\ell \subset \mathrm{Hilb}_n\times\mathrm{Fl}(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I})^\ell$

$$\mathrm{St}_\ell := \{(I, V_1^\bullet, \dots, V_\ell^\bullet) | V_i^j \subset \mathbb{C}[x,y]/I, y(V_i^k) \subset V_i^{k-1}, x(V_i^k) \subset V_i^k\}$$

Proposition 12.2. *We have*

$$\hat{G}\backslash \mathscr{X}^{crit}_{\ell,fr}/B^{\ell} = \mathrm{St}_{\ell}$$

12.5. **Stability conditions for the matrix factorizations.** We denote by $V^0 \subset V = \mathbb{C}^n$ the subset of vectors with a non-zero last coordinate. Let us define $\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fr}$ as the subvariety of $\mathscr{X}_{\ell} \times V$ consisting of collections $(X, g_1, Y_1, , \ldots, g_{\ell}, Y_{\ell}, u)$ such that

$$\mathbb{C}\langle \mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_i}(X), Y_i\rangle g_i^{-1}(u) = V, \quad g^{-1}(u) \in V^0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, \ell - 1,$$

The map that forgets framing $\mathrm{fgt} : \mathscr{X}_{\ell,fr} \to \mathscr{X}_{\ell}$ is dominant over the stable locus $\mathscr{X}_{\ell,st}$. There a unique $G \times B^{\ell}$-equivariant structure on $\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fr,i}$ that makes map fgt $G \times B^{\ell}$-equivariant.

The framed space comes equipped with the natural convolution algebra structure:

$$\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{G} := \pi_{13*}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\pi_{12}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi_{23}^*(\mathcal{G}))^{T^{(2)}}),$$

where $\pi_{ij} : \mathscr{X}_{3,fr} \to \mathscr{X}_{2,fr}$ are the natural projection maps There is a natural pull back map $\mathrm{fgt} : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_2, W) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_{2,fr}, W)$ and the key to what follows in the next sections is the Lemma below:

Lemma 12.3. *The pull-back map* fgt^* *is the homomorpism of the convolution algebras.*

Proof. Let us denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the matrix factorization

$$\pi_{12}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi_{23}^*(\mathcal{G}) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_{3,fr}, \pi_{13}^*(W)).$$

Then what we need to show is that for any Zarisky open set $U \subset \mathscr{X}_{2,fr}$ the matrix factorizations of $\mathbb{C}[U]$-modules $\mathrm{fgt}^*(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\mathcal{C})^{T^{(2)}})$ and $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\mathrm{fgt}^*(\mathcal{C}))^{T^{(2)}})$ are homotopic.

It is more convenient to restate the above homotopic relation in a slightly more geometric terms. Let us denote by $\mathscr{X}_{3,fr,1}$ the subvariety of $\mathscr{X}_3 \times V$ that is defined by the stability condition:

$$\mathbb{C}\langle \mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{g_1}(X), Y_1\rangle g_1^{-1}(u) = V, \quad g_1^{-1}(u) \in V^0$$

Both spaces $\mathscr{X}_{3,fr,1}$ and $\mathscr{X}_{3,fr}$ project onto $\mathscr{X}_{2,fr}$ and to distinguish these projections we denote the projection from the first space by π_{13} and from the second by

π_{13}^{fr}. Thus the statement of the lemma is equivalent to the homotopy equivalence of the $\mathbb{C}[U]$ matrix factorizations

$$\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\mathcal{C}|_{\pi_{13}^{-1}(U)})^{T^{(2)}} \sim \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^{(2)}}(\mathcal{C}|_{\pi_{13}^{fr,-1}(U)})^{T^{(2)}}. \tag{12.52}$$

From the previous discussion of the equations for the support of $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\dots,\sigma_{i_l}^{\epsilon_l}}$ we see that

$$\mathscr{X}_3^{crit} \times V \cap \mathscr{X}_{3,fr,1} = \mathscr{X}_3^{crit} \times V \cap \mathscr{X}_{3,fr}.$$

Finally since $Z = \mathscr{X}_{3,fr,1} \setminus \mathscr{X}_{3,fr}$ is the closed subvariety the equation (12.52) follows from the general lemma that follows the proof: we use $X = \mathscr{X}_{3,fr,1}$ and $Z' = \mathscr{X}_3^{crit} \times V$ in the lemma. □

Lemma 12.4. *Suppose X is a quasi-affine variety and $\mathcal{F} = (M, D) \in \mathrm{MF}(X, W)$, $W \in \mathbb{C}[X]$. Let us assume that the elements of the ideal $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ act by zero homotopies on $\mathcal{F}$ and $Z' \subset X$ is the zero locus of I. Let $Z \subset X$ be a subvariety defined by $J = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ such that $Z \cap Z' = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is homotopic to $\mathcal{F}|_{X\setminus Z}$ as matrix factorization over $\mathbb{C}[X]$.*

Proof. Let us the convention X_f for the open Zarisky subset of X which is a complement of the zero locus of f. By the conditions of the lemma the open sets X_{f_i} and X_{g_i} form a Cech cover of X and we denote by the $\check{C}_{f,g}(\mathcal{F})$ the Cech resolution of $\mathcal{F}$. The terms of the complex $\check{C}_{f,g}(\mathcal{F})$ are the matrix factorizations $\mathcal{F}|_{X_{S,T}}$ where $S \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $T \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $X_{S,T}$ is the complement to the zero locus of $\prod_{s\in S} f_s \prod_{t\in T} g_t$.

By the conditions of the lemma the matrix factorization $\mathcal{F}|_{X_{S,T}}$ is contractible if $S \neq \emptyset$. Thus after performing all the contractions we left with $\check{C}_g(\mathcal{F})$ which is exactly $\mathcal{F}|_{X\setminus Z}$ and the lemma follows. □

12.6. **Taking the B^ℓ quotient.** Let us define the closure map: $\mathrm{cl} : \mathscr{X}_{\ell-1} \to \mathscr{X}_\ell$ by

$$\mathrm{cl}(X, g_1, Y_1, \dots, g_{\ell-1}, Y_{\ell-1}) := (X, g_1, Y_1, \dots, g_{\ell-1}, Y_{\ell-1}, g_1, Y_1).$$

This map is $G \times B^{\ell-1}$-equivariant with $B^{\ell-1}$-action on $\mathscr{X}_{\ell-1}$ induced by the group homomorphism $\mathrm{id}_{2,\dots,\ell-1} \times \Delta_{1,\ell}$.

We use the same notation for the maps of the framed and stable spaces. Let us notice that the preimage of the map cl applied to $\mathscr{X}_{\ell+1,fr}$ is the cyclicly framed space $\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}$ that consists of points $(X, g_1, Y_1, \dots, g_\ell, Y_\ell, v)$ satisfying :

$$\mathbb{C}\langle \mathrm{Ad}_{g_i}^{-1}(X), Y_i\rangle g^{-1}(u) = V, \quad g^{-1}(u) \in V^0, i = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

The intersection with the zero-locus of $\mathcal{I}_\ell^{crit}$ we denote by $\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}^{crit}$.

Respectively, we have the pull back map:

$$\mathrm{cl}^* : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^{\ell-1}}(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fr}, W) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^{\ell-1}}(\mathscr{X}_{\ell-1,fc}, 0),$$

and let us denote by $C_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,\sigma_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell}}$ the pull-back $\mathrm{cl}^*(\mathcal{C}_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,\sigma_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell}})$. We use the same nation for $\mathrm{cl}^*(\mathcal{C}_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,\sigma_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell}}) \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^{\ell-1}}(\mathscr{X}_{\ell-1}, 0)$, usually it is clear from the context which category of matrix factorizations we work with.

Let us denote by $\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{sc,crit}}_{B^\ell}(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}, 0) \subset \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^\ell}(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}, 0)$, $\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{sc,crit}}_{B^\ell}(\mathscr{X}_\ell, 0) \subset \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^\ell}(\mathscr{X}_\ell, 0)$ the subcategory consisting of matrix factorizations with the property that the ideal $\mathcal{I}_\ell^{crit}$ acts by zero homotopy. The element $C_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,\sigma_{\ell+1}^{\epsilon_{\ell+1}}}$ is an element of the subcategory $\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{sc,crit}}_{B^\ell}(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}, 0)$.

Given an element $C \in \mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{sc,crit}}_{B^\ell}(\mathscr{X}_\ell, 0)$ the homology

$$\mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^\ell}(C)) := \mathrm{H}^{even}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^\ell}(C)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{odd}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^\ell}(C))$$

is a module over the ring of $\mathfrak{n}^\ell$ invariants $R_\ell^{\mathfrak{n}^\ell}$ where $R_\ell := \mathbb{C}[\mathscr{X}_\ell^{crit}]$. Let us fix a notation for the affine scheme $\mathscr{X}_\ell^{crit}/U^\ell := \mathrm{Spec}(R_\ell^{\mathfrak{n}^\ell})$. We use notation $\mathcal{H}_U(\mathscr{X}_\ell, C)$ for $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^\ell}(C))$ considered as $R_\ell^{\mathfrak{n}^\ell}$-module.

There is an natural map $\mathrm{fgt} : \mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}^{crit} \to \mathscr{X}_\ell^{crit}$ and by applying the respective pull-back map we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_U(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}, C) := \mathrm{fgt}^*(\mathcal{H}_U(\mathscr{X}_\ell, C)) \in \mathrm{MF}_{T^\ell}(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}/U^\ell, 0).$$

The complex of sheaves $\mathcal{H}_U(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}, C)$ is $T^\ell \times \hat{G}$-equivariant complex of sheaves on $\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fr}^{crit}/U^\ell$ and we define $\mathcal{H}_B(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}, C)$ as a complex of sheaves of T^ℓ-invariant sections of $\mathcal{H}_U(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}, C)$. Thus $\mathcal{H}_B(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}, C)$ is a complex of quasi-coherent sheaves on the quotient $\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}/B^\ell$ which has a geometric description as scheme of B^ℓ-orbits of points $(X, Y, V_1^\bullet, \ldots, V_\ell^\bullet, v) \in \mathfrak{g}^2 \times \mathrm{Fl}^\ell \times V$ satisfying equations:

$$XV_i^k \subset V_i^k, YV_i^k \subset V_i^{k-1}, [X, Y] = 0, \mathbb{C}[X, Y]v = V.$$

The complex of sheaves $\mathcal{H}_B(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}, C)$ is $\hat{G}$-equivariant hence the complex sheaves $\mathcal{H}_B(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}, C)^{\hat{G}}$ of $\hat{G}$-invariant sections of $\mathcal{H}_B(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}, C)$ is a complex of quasi coherent sheaves on St_ℓ by proposition 12.2

12.7. **Conjugacy class invariant.** In section 12.2 we constructed for every word $\beta = \sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}$ of length ℓ of generators of the braid group $\mathfrak{Br}_n$ a complexes $C_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,\sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^\ell \times G}(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}, 0)$. Since $C_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,\sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}} \in \mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{sc},\mathrm{crit}}_{B^\ell \times G}(\mathscr{X}_{\ell,fc}, 0)$ we can apply the above constructions to obtain sheaf on Hilb_n

$$\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,\sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}} := pr_*(\mathcal{H}_B(C_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,\sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}}))^{\hat{G}}.$$

Theorem 12.5. *The sheaf $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,\sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}}$ depends only on conjugacy class of $\beta = \sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}$:*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,1,\ldots,\sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}} = \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,\sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}} \tag{12.53}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,\sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}} = \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_{i_2}^{\epsilon_2},\ldots,\sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell},\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}} \tag{12.54}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_k,\sigma_k^{-1},\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}\ldots} = \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_k,\sigma_{k+1},\sigma_k,\ldots} = \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_{k+1},\sigma_k,\sigma_{k+1},\ldots} \tag{12.55}$$

Proof. The conjugacy invariance (12.54) follows immediately from the construction. To prove the rest of the theorem we introduce slightly more general definition:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_m} := pr_*(\mathcal{H}_B(\mathscr{X}_{m,fc}, \mathrm{fgt}^*(\mathrm{cl}^*(\pi_{12}^*(\mathcal{C}_{\beta_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_{m,m+1}^*(\mathcal{C}_{\beta_m})))))^{\hat{G}}$$

Now let us observe that $pr = pr \circ \hat{\pi}_2$ where $\hat{\pi}_2 : \mathscr{X}_{m,fc} \to \mathscr{X}_{m-1,fc}$ is the projection along the second factor. Hence we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\ldots,\beta_m} = \mathcal{S}_{\beta_1\cdot\beta_2,\ldots,\beta_m}$$

It implies the desired equations.

□

For an element $\beta \in \mathfrak{Br}_n$ we denote by $[\beta]$ its conjugacy class. Thus for any element of the braid group $\beta \in \mathfrak{Br}_n$ we have a quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{S}_{[\beta]}$ on Hilb_n.

It is not obvious to us how one could attach sheaf on the flag Hilbert scheme to a conjugacy class in the braid group. Naively one could hope that the push-forwards $pr_{i*}(\mathcal{H}_B^*(\mathscr{X}_{2,fc}, C_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,\sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}}))$ for some i is a such sheaf but it is unclear why it would satisfy the properties from the theorem. However, as we will see later, the push-forwards on the flag Hilbert schemes are useful for understanding Markov moves, since there are natural maps between the flag Hilbert schemes of different ranks. It is also clear from the argument of the previous theorem that for any $\beta = \sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}$ there is a well-defined complex:

$$\mathcal{S}_\beta := pr_{1,*}(\mathcal{H}_B^*(\mathscr{X}_{2,fc}, C_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1},\ldots,\sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}})) \in D^{per}_{T_{sc}}(\mathrm{Hilb}^L_{1,n}).$$

13. Link invariant

The nested Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}$ is a very singular scheme and it has very complicated geometry, the main source of complexity is the commutativity relation between the matrices. On the other hands, we can define the free Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}$ by omitting commutativity condition, this turn out to be relatively simple variety which is an iterated fibration of projective spaces (see proposition 13.1). In this section we explain a construction for $\mathbb{S}_\beta \in D^{per}_{T_{sc}}(\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n})$ for $\beta \in \mathfrak{Br}_n$ with the property that the total cohomology of $\mathbb{S}_\beta$ is an isotopy invariant of the closure $L(\beta)$ (see theorem 13.3).

13.1. **Geometry of** $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}$**.** Let us define by the following open subset of $\mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{n} \times V$:

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{free}_{1,n} := \{(X, Y, v) | \mathbb{C}\langle X, Y\rangle v = V\}.$$

There is an action of $B \times \mathbb{C}^*$ on $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{free}_{1,n}$ and we use notation $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}$ for the quotient.

Define a map $p : \mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n} \to \mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n-1}$ as the map induced by the projection map on the vector space of upper-triangular matrices: the map forgets the first row of the matrix. Let us also introduce the map $\chi : \mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n} \to \mathfrak{h}_n = \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, $(X, Y, v) = (x_{11}, \ldots, x_{nn})$. Given $z \in \mathfrak{h}_n$ we denote by $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}(z)$ the preimage $\chi^{-1}(z)$. By restricting the map p to the space of diagonal matrices we get the map $p : \mathfrak{h}_n \to \mathfrak{h}_{n-1}$.

Proposition 13.1. *The fibers of the map* $p : \mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}(z) \to \mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n-1}(p(z))$ *are projective spaces* $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Proof. Below we construct an affine cover of the space $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}(z)$, these are the affine charts for the space $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}(z)$. The charts a labeled by the following combinatorial data: $(\vec{S}_1, \vec{S}_2)$ where $\vec{S}_1 = (S^1_1, \ldots, S^n_1)$, $\vec{S}_2 = (S^1_2, \ldots, S^n_2)$ where S^j_i are the subsets of integers with the following properties:

$$S^i_1, S^i_2 \subset \{1, \ldots, min(i, n-1)\}, \quad S^j_i \subset S^{j+1}_i, \quad |S^i_1| + |S^i_2| = i.$$

For a given $(\vec{S}_1, \vec{S}_2)$ we define the affine subspace $\mathbb{A}_{\vec{S}_1, \vec{S}_2}$ inside $\mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{n} \times V$ by the following equations:

$$x_{n-i,n+1-k} = 0 \text{ if } k \in S^i_1, \quad y_{n-i,n+1-k} = 0, \text{ if } k \in S^i_2, \tag{13.56}$$

$$x_{n-i,n+1-k} = 1 \text{ if } k \in S^{i+1}_1 \setminus S^i_1 \quad y_{n-i,n+1-k} = 1 \text{ if } k \in S^{i+1}_2 \setminus S^i_2, \tag{13.57}$$

where $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and

$$v_i = \delta_{in}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{13.58}$$

Below we use induction by n to show that

(1) The isotropy group of an element of $\mathbb{A}_{\vec{S}_1,\vec{S}_2}$ inside B is trivial
(2) $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{free}_{1,n}(z) = \cup_{(\vec{S}_1,\vec{S}_2)} B \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\vec{S}_1,\vec{S}_2}$.

Indeed, the seed of induction is clear. Let us assume that statement true for $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n-1}(p(z))$. Hence a B-orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{1,n}(z)$ intersect the variety $p^{-1}(a)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{A}_{\vec{S}_1,\vec{S}_2}$ for some $\vec{S}_1, \vec{S}_2$. By the induction, the subgroup of B preserving the intersection $\mathcal{O} \cap p^{-1}(a)$ has Lie group spanned by the matrix units E_{1i}, $i = 2, \dots, n$ and $(n-1)E_{11} - \sum_{i=2}^n E_{ii}$.

Let us pick an element $u \in \mathcal{O} \cap p^{-1}(a)$. We can use group generated by the $e^{tE_{1i}}$, $i = 2, \dots, n$ to translate an element u to an element $w = (X, Y, v)$ that satisfies equation (13.56) and (13.58). The element w is unique up to the action of the group $\mathbb{C}^*$ generated by $exp(((n-1)E_{11} - \sum_{i=2}^n E_{ii})t)$. Finally, since $w = (X, Y, v) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{free}_{1,n}(z)$ we have $\mathbb{C}\langle X, Y\rangle v = V$ and hence either $(x_{1,n+1-k})_{k \notin S_1^n}$ or $(y_{1,n+1-k})_{k \notin S_2^n}$ is non zero. If the first vector is non-zero, we set $S_1^{n+1} \setminus S_1^n$ to the index of the non-zero entry. The case $(y_{1,n+1-k})_{k \notin S_2^n} \neq 0$ is analogous. As a last step we use the group $exp(((n-1)E_{11} - \sum_{i=2}^n E_{ii})t)$ to scale this non-zero entry to 1 and thus obtain a unique element of the orbit $\mathcal{O}$ that satisfies equations (13.56,13.57,13.58). □

Let us denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_n$ the vector bundle over $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{free}_{1,n}$ with the fiber over the point (X, g, Y, v) equal to the quotient $V/\langle v\rangle$. This vector bundle descends to the vector bundle $\mathcal{B}_n$ on the quotient $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}$.

Let us denote by $\chi_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbb{C}^*)$, $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{B}$ such that $\chi_i(\exp(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i E_{ii})) = \exp(t_i)$ and we use the same notation for the induced characters of $\mathbf{B}$ and for the restriction to the subgroup B. The trivial line bundle on $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{free}_{1,n}$ twisted by the character χ_i descends to line bundle on $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}$ which we denote by $\mathcal{O}_i(-1)$. The line bundle $\mathcal{O}_n(-1)$ admits more geometric description

$$\mathcal{O}_n(-1) = \mathcal{B}_n / p^*(\mathcal{B}_{n-1}),$$

which we use in the proof of the following

Proposition 13.2. *Let p be the map $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}(z) \to \mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n-1}(p(z))$ then we have*

(1) $p_*(\wedge^k\mathcal{B}_n) = \wedge^k\mathcal{B}_{n-1}$.
(2) $p_*(\mathcal{O}_n(m)\otimes\wedge^k\mathcal{B}_n) = 0$ *if* $m \in [-1, -n+2]$.
(3) $p_*(\mathcal{O}_n(-n+1)\otimes\wedge^k\mathcal{B}_n) = \wedge^{k-1}\mathcal{B}_{n-1}[n]$

Proof. Let $U \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n-1}$ is an open subset then we can apply the global section functor $\Gamma(p^{-1}(U), -)$ to the short exact sequence of sheaves on :

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_n(m)\otimes\wedge^k p^*(\mathcal{B}_{n-1}) \to \mathcal{O}_n(m)\otimes\wedge^k\mathcal{B}_n \\ \to \mathcal{O}_n(m)\otimes\mathcal{B}_n/p^*(\mathcal{B}_{n-1})\otimes\wedge^{k-1}(p^*(\mathcal{B}_{n-1})) \to 0.$$

The fibers of the map p are projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^n$ and the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_n(-1)$ restricted to a fiber is the standard tautological bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)$. Since $\mathcal{B}_n/p^*(\mathcal{B}_{n-1}) = \mathcal{O}_n(-1)$ then by the previous observation and well known formulas for the homology of $\mathcal{O}(k)$ on the projective space combined with the short exact sequence from above imply

$$\mathrm{H}^i(p^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_n(m)\otimes\wedge^k\mathcal{B}_n) = 0, \quad i \neq 0, n$$

$$\mathrm{H}^0(p^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_n(m)\otimes\wedge^k\mathcal{B}_n) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{H}^0(U, \wedge^k\mathcal{B}_{n-1}), \text{ if } m = 0 \\ 0, \text{ if } m < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathrm{H}^n(p^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_n(m)\otimes\wedge^k\mathcal{B}_n) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{H}^0(U, \wedge^{k-1}\mathcal{B}_{n-1}), \text{ if } m = -n \\ 0, \text{ if } m > -n. \end{cases}$$

Hence the statement of the proposition follows. □

13.2. **Braid complex on** $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}$**.** In this subsection we explain how one could construct for every $\beta \in \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{r}_n$ a periodic complex $\mathbb{S}_\beta \in D^{per}_{T_{sc}}(\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n})$ such that the hypercohomology of the complex is an isotopy invariant of the link $L(\beta)$. First let us explain a construction of the sheaf.

First let us introduce the analogs of the previous constructions for the Knörrer reduced spaces. We define $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_{2,fr}$ to be a subvariety of $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2 \times V^0$ consisting of (X, g, Y, u) such that

$$\mathbb{C}\langle X, \mathrm{Ad}_g^{-1}(Y)\rangle u = V$$

The space $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_{2,fr}$ is an open subvariety of $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_{2,fr} \times V$ and we can restrict the Knörrer $\Phi_n \times \mathrm{id}_V$ on this open set to obtain:

$$\Phi_n : \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_{2,fr}, \overline{W}) \to \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\mathscr{X}_{2,fr}, W).$$

There is forgetful map $\mathrm{fgt} : \overline{\mathscr{X}}_{2,fr} \to \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2$ and the argument almost identical to the proof of Lemma 12.3 implies that fgt^* is the homomorphism of the convolution algebras and

$$\Phi_n \circ \mathrm{fgt}^* = \mathrm{fgt}^* \circ \Phi_n. \tag{13.59}$$

It is natural to define $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_1 := \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{n}$ and respectively we have the analog of the closure map $j_e : \overline{\mathscr{X}}_1 \to \overline{\mathscr{X}}_2$ by $j_e(X, Y) = (X, e, Y)$. Similarly, we define $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_{1,fc} \subset \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{n} \times V$ to be $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{1,n}^{free}$ and we have a natural map $j_e : \overline{\mathscr{X}}_{1,fc} \to \mathscr{X}_{2,fr}$.

For any $\beta \in \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{r}_n$ we define the element of $D^{per}_{T_{sc}}(\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n})$:

$$\mathbb{S}_\beta := j_e^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta).$$

For a element $\mathbb{S} \in D^{per}_{T_{sc}}(\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n})$ we denote by $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{S})$ the doubly graded space of the hypercohomology of the complex and let us introduce:

$$\mathbb{H}^k(\beta) := \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{S}_\beta \otimes \wedge^k \mathcal{B})$$

The main result of the paper is the following

Theorem 13.3. *The doubly graded space*

$$\mathcal{H}^k(\beta) := \mathbb{H}^{(k+\mathrm{wr}(\beta)-n-1)/2}(\beta)$$

is the isotopy invariant of $L(\beta)$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{H}^*(\mathbb{S}_\beta \otimes \wedge^m \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{H}^{odd}(\mathbb{S}_\beta \otimes \wedge^k \mathcal{B}) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{even}(\mathbb{S}_\beta \otimes \wedge^k \mathcal{B})$ the sheaf on $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}$ that is the sheaf of homology of the complex $\mathbb{S}_\beta$. Since the matrix factorization $\mathbb{S}'_\beta$ is supported on the critical locus of $\overline{W}$, the sheaf $\mathcal{H}^*(\mathbb{S}_\beta)$ is supported on subvariety $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}$. Moreover, the following statement implies the theorem 1.3 from the introduction.

Corollary 13.4. *There is a spectral sequence with* E_2 *term equal*

$$(\mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{Hilb}^L_{1,n}, \mathcal{H}^*(\mathbb{S}_\beta \otimes \wedge^k \mathcal{B})), d)$$

$$d : \mathrm{H}^k(\mathrm{Hilb}^L_{1,n}, \mathcal{H}^{odd/even}(\mathbb{S}_\beta \otimes \wedge^k \mathcal{B})) \to \mathrm{H}^{k-1}(\mathrm{Hilb}^L_{1,n}, \mathcal{H}^{even/odd}(\mathbb{S}_\beta \otimes \wedge^k \mathcal{B})),$$

that converges to $\mathbb{H}^k(\beta)$.

13.3. **Proof of theorem 13.3.** By the construction the $\mathbb{H}^k(\beta)$ does not depends on the presentation of β as a product of the elementary braids. Let us explain why $\mathbb{H}^k(\beta)$ actually only depends only conjugacy class of β. First let us notice that because of the equality (13.59) we have a construction for $\mathbb{H}^k(\beta)$ as hypercohomology of the complex on $\mathscr{X}_{1,fc}$:

$$\mathbb{H}^k(\beta) = \mathbb{H}(\Lambda^k\mathcal{B}\otimes\mathcal{C}_\beta),$$

here $\mathcal{B}$ is the vector bundle over $\mathscr{X}_{1,fc}$ with fiber over (X,g,Y,v) equal $V/g^{-1}(v)$.

Thus given a presentation $\beta = \sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}\cdot\dots\cdot\sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}$ we have

$$\mathbb{H}^k(\beta) = \mathbb{H}(\Lambda^k\pi_1^*(\mathcal{B})\otimes \mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}^\ell}(\pi_{12}^*(\mathcal{C}_{\sigma_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}})\otimes\dots\pi_{\ell,1}^*(\mathcal{C}_{\sigma_{i_\ell}^{\epsilon_\ell}})).$$

where $\pi_{ij}:\mathscr{X}_{\ell_{fc}}\to\mathscr{X}_{2,fr}$ are the natural projections. The LHS of the last formula clearly only depends on the cyclic order of the elements $\sigma_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k}$, hence we showed that $\mathbb{H}^k(\beta)$ only depends on the conjugacy class of β.

To complete our proof we need to show that

$$\mathbb{H}^k(\beta\cdot\sigma_1) = \mathbb{H}^k(\beta'),\quad \mathbb{H}^k(\beta\cdot\sigma_1^{-1}) = \mathbb{H}^{k-1}(\beta') \tag{13.60}$$

for $\beta'\in\mathfrak{Br}_{n-1}$ and $\beta = 1\boxtimes\beta'\in\mathfrak{Br}_n$ is the inclusion of β' in the braid with n strings in a such way it tangles only the last $n-1$ strings.

Next we can use proposition 8.3 to conclude:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{H}^k(\beta\cdot\sigma_1^{\pm1}) &= \mathbb{H}(\mathrm{fgt}^*(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathrm{cl}^*(\bar\pi_{13*}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_n}(\bar\pi_{12}\otimes_{B_n}\bar\pi_{23})^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta\boxtimes\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\sigma_1^{\pm1}}))^{T_n}))^{T_n}\otimes\Lambda^k\mathcal{B})\\ &= \mathbb{H}(\mathrm{fgt}^*(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathrm{cl}^*(\bar\pi_{13*}(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2}(\bar\pi_{12}\otimes_{B_2}\bar\pi_{23})^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta\boxtimes\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\sigma_1^{\pm1}}))^{T_2}))^{T_n}\otimes\Lambda^k\mathcal{B})\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that

$$\mathbb{H}^k(\beta\cdot\sigma_{n-1}^{\pm1}) = \mathbb{H}(\mathrm{fgt}^*(\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}\oplus\mathfrak{n}_2}(j_e^*(\bar\pi_{12}\otimes_{B_2}\bar\pi_{23})^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta\boxtimes\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\sigma_1^{\pm1}}))^{T_n\times T_2}\otimes\wedge^k\mathcal{B}_n),$$

where $j_e:\mathfrak{b}\times G_2\times\mathfrak{n}\to\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_n,G_{n,n-1})$ is the embedding that identifies $\mathfrak{b}\times G_2\times\mathfrak{n}$ with the subvariety of $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_3(G_n,G_{n,n-1})$ defined by the equation $j_e(X,g,Y) = (X,g^{-1},g,Y)$.

Let us simplify the complex $(j_e^*(\bar\pi_{12}\otimes_{B_2}\bar\pi_{23})^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta\boxtimes\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\sigma_1^{\pm1}})\in\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B_n\times B_2}(\mathfrak{b}\times G_2\times\mathfrak{n},0)$. Since $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta = \overline{\mathrm{ind}}_{n-1}(1\otimes\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\beta'})\in\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2,\overline{W})$ it has the following description

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta = (M\otimes\Lambda^*V, D+d^++d^-,\partial_l,\partial_r)\in\mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B^2}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2,\overline{W}),$$

where $V=\mathbb{C}^{n-1} = \langle\theta_2,\dots,\theta_n\rangle$; $(M,D)\in\mathrm{Mod}_{per}(\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2)$ such that $D^2=\overline{W}$ modulo ideal $I_{n-1} = (g_{12},\dots,g_{1n})\subset\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathscr{X}}_2]$; $d^+ = \sum_{i=2}^n g_{1i}\theta_i$ and $d^- = \sum_{\bar i} d_{\bar i}^-\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_{\bar i}}$;

∂_l, ∂_r define equivariant structure such that $(M, D, \partial_l, \partial_r)$ modulo the ideal I_{n-1} is an equivariant matrix factorization $p_1^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\beta'})$.

As we explained previously in the subsection 5.5 conjugation by $\exp(\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2})$ of the differential of $(\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_{B_2} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\sigma_{n-1}^{\pm 1}})$ results in the row transformation of of the first type. Using these transformations we can obtain a complex $\mathcal{C}'$ that is homotopic to $(\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_{B_2} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\sigma_{n-1}^{\pm 1}})$ and the only dependence of it differentials on g_{12} comes from the term $g_{12}\theta_2$ of d^+. Then we can contract $\mathcal{C}'$ along the differential $g_{12}\theta_2$ to obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$(\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_{B_2} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\sigma_{n-1}^{\pm 1}}) \sim \mathcal{C}'' \sim (\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\beta,12} \otimes \bar{\pi}_{23}^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\pm)),$$

where the last complex is the complex of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b} \times B_2 \times G_n \times \mathfrak{n}]$-modules and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\beta,12} \in \mathrm{MF}^{sc}_{B\times B_2}(\mathfrak{b} \times B_2 \times \mathfrak{n}, 0)$ is the matrix factorization defined by the data

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\beta,12} = (j_{12}^*(M) \otimes \Lambda^* V_{12}, d_{12}^+ + j_{12}^*(D + d^+ + d^-), j_{12}^*(\partial_l), j_{12}^*(\partial_r)),$$

where $j_{12} : \mathfrak{b} \times B_2 \times G_n \times \mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{b} \times G_2 \times G_n \times \mathfrak{n}$ is the embedding; $V_{12} = \langle \theta_3, \dots, \theta_n \rangle$ and $d_{12}^+ = \sum_{i=3}^n g_{1i}\theta_i$.

Next note that since $\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2}(\mathbb{C}[B_2])^{T_2} = \mathbb{C}$ there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{CE}_{\mathfrak{n}_2}(j_e^*(\bar{\pi}_{12} \otimes_{B_2} \bar{\pi}_{23})^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\beta \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\sigma_{n-1}^{\pm 1}}))^{T_2} &\sim j_{e,e}^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{12,\beta} \otimes \bar{\pi}_{23}^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\pm)) \\ &= j_{e,e}^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\beta,12}) \otimes j_{e,e}^*(\bar{\pi}_{23}^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\pm)),\end{aligned}$$

where $j_{e,e} : \mathfrak{b} \times 1 \times 1 \times \mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{n} \times B_2 \times G_n \times \mathfrak{n}$. Below we analyze two terms in the last tensor product.

Let us notice that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\pm|_{g=1} = [x_{11} - x_{22}, 0]^\pm$ where where $[x_{11} - x_{22}, 0]^+ = [x_{11} - x_{22}, 0]$ and $[x_{11} - x_{22}, 0]\langle -1\rangle$.Thus we obtain

$$j_{e,e}^*(\bar{\pi}_{23}^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_+)) = [x_{11} - x_{22}, 0]^+ := [x_{11} - x_{22}, 0],$$
$$j_{e,e}^*(\bar{\pi}_{23}^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_-)) = [x_{11} - x_{22}, 0]^- := [x_{11} - x_{22}, 0] \otimes \chi_n^{-1}.$$

Let us denote by p the natural projection map $\mathfrak{b}_n \times \mathfrak{n}_n \to \mathfrak{b}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{n}_{n-1}$ that gives the map $p : \widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{free}_{1,n} \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{free}_{1,n-1}$ when restricted to the stable locus. The key observation about the other term in the last tensor product of the matrix factorization is that it contains a sub complex $p^*(j_e^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\beta'})) = (M', D', \partial_l' + \partial_r')$, $\partial_l' + \partial_r' : M' \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}_{n-1}) \to M' \otimes \Lambda^{<\bullet}(\mathfrak{n}_{n-1})$

$$j_{e,e}^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{12,\beta}) = (M' \otimes \Lambda^\bullet V_{12}, D' + d'_-, \partial_l' + \partial_r' + \partial^f)$$

where $d'_- = j_{e,e}^*(j_{12}^*(d^-))$ and

$$\partial^f + \partial_l' + \partial_r' : M' \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}_n) \otimes \Lambda^* V_{12} \to M' \otimes \Lambda^{<\bullet}(\mathfrak{n}_n) \otimes \Lambda^* V_{12},$$

with $(M' \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}_{n-1}), \partial'_l + \partial'_r) \otimes \Lambda^* V_{12}$ being a sub complex of $(M' \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}_n) \otimes \Lambda^* V_{12}, \partial^f + \partial'_l + \partial'_r)$. Moreover ∂^f has a non-trivial degree along with respect to the exterior power $\Lambda^* V_{12}$:

$$\partial^f : M' \otimes \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{n}_n) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet V_{12} \to M' \otimes \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{n}_n) \otimes \Lambda^{<\bullet} V_{12},$$

We complete our argument with an analysis of spectral sequence for the differential $D_{tot} = (D' + \partial'_l + \partial'_r) + d_{ce} + d_c + \partial^f + d'_-$ by first computing homology with respect to d_{ce} then with respect to d_c, we give details below.

Let us summarize the previous discussion, we have shown that

$$\mathbb{H}^k(\beta \cdot \sigma_1^{\pm 1}) = \mathbb{H}(M' \otimes V_{12} \otimes [x_{11} - x_{22}, 0]^{\pm} \otimes \Lambda^k \mathcal{B}_n, D_{tot}),$$

$$D_{tot} = (D' + \partial'_l + \partial'_r) + (d_{ce} + d_c + \partial^f + d'_-).$$

In the total differential D_{tot} the term d_c is the Cech differential for some Cech cover of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{1,n}$. In particular, if we choose Cech cover of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{1,n}^{free}$ by the affine varieties $U_{\vec{S}_1,\vec{S}_2} = B \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\vec{S}_1,\vec{S}_2}$ from the proof of proposition 13.1, we can derive from fact that B-action on $U_{\vec{S}_1,\vec{S}_2}$ is free that

$$\mathrm{H}^k(\mathbb{C}[U_{\vec{S}_1,\vec{S}_2}], d_{ce}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[T \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\vec{S}_1,\vec{S}_2}], \text{ if } k = 0 \\ 0, \text{ if } k > 0. \end{cases}.$$

Thus we can conclude that $\mathbb{H}(\beta \cdot \sigma_1^{\pm 1})$ is equal to

$$\mathbb{H}(\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}^{free}, (M' \otimes V_{12} \otimes [x_{11} - x_{22}, 0]^{\pm})^B \otimes \Lambda^k \mathcal{B}_n, (D' + \partial'_l + \partial'_r) + d_c + \partial^f + d'_-).$$

where superscript B indicate the descend of the complex to the quotient $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{1,n}^{free}/B = \mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}^{free}$.

Let $\Delta_{1,2} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ be the root hyperplane for the root $\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$ and $\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}^{free}(\Delta_{1,2}) := \chi^{-1}(\Delta_{1,2})$. By contracting the differential of the complex $[x_{11} - x_{22}, 0]$ we obtain the last simplification of $\mathbb{H}^k(\beta \cdot \sigma_1^{\pm 1})$ to obtain

$$\mathbb{H}(\mathrm{Hilb}_{1,n}^{free}(\Delta_{1,2}), (M' \otimes \Lambda^* V_{12} \otimes \chi_n^{-1/2 \pm 1/2})^B \otimes \Lambda^k \mathcal{B}_n, (D' + \partial'_l + \partial'_r) + d_c + \partial^f + d'_-).$$

Let us present the torus T_n of B_n as a product $T_1 \times T_{n-1}$ where T_1 is the one-dimensional torus that preserves B_{n-1} and T_{n-1} is the torus of B_{n-1}. Hence the torus T_1 acts non-trivially on the fibers of $p : \widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{1,n}^{free} \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{1,n-1}^{free}$ and T_{n-1} fixes these fibers.

As the final step we push forward the last complex along the morphism p. For that let us observe that the elements $\theta_3, \dots, \theta_n$ have weight -1 with respect to T_1 and 0 with respect to T_{n-1} thus

$$(\Lambda^* V_{12})^B = \Lambda^* \mathcal{O}_n(-1)^{\oplus n-2}.$$

Since χ_n^{-1} descends to $\mathcal{O}_n(-1)$ we can use proposition 13.2 to conclude that for the map $p : \mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}(\Delta_{n,n-1}) \to \mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}$ we have

$$p_*(\wedge^k \mathcal{B}_n \otimes \Lambda^*(V_{12})^B) = \wedge^k \mathcal{B}_{n-1}, \quad p_*(\wedge^k \mathcal{B}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_n(-1) \otimes \Lambda^*(V_{12})^B) = \wedge^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_{n-1}[n].$$

Since $(M', D', \partial'_l + \partial'_r) = p^*(j_e(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\beta'}))$ and both ∂^f and d'_- have non-trivial degree along the exterior power $\Lambda^* V_{12}$ we can apply the projection formula to conclude

$$\mathbb{H}^k(\beta \cdot \sigma_1) = \mathbb{H}(\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n-1}, j_e^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\beta'}) \otimes \Lambda^k \mathcal{B}_{n-1}),$$
$$\mathbb{H}^k(\beta \cdot \sigma_1^{-1}) = \mathbb{H}(\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n-1}, j_e^*(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\beta'}) \otimes \Lambda^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_{n-1}[n]),$$

The last formula implies the desired equation (13.60).

14. Connections with other knot invariants

14.1. **HOMFLY-PT polynomial specialization.** The space $\mathcal{H}^k(\beta)$ is a doubly-graded with q, t gradings induced by the action of T_{sc} on $\mathrm{Hilb}^{free}_{1,n}$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}^{i,j,k}(\beta)$ the subspace of $\mathcal{H}^k(\beta)$ of degree $t^i q^j$. Respectively we introduce the super-polynomial:

$$\mathcal{P}(L(\beta)) := \sum_{i,j,k} t^i q^j a^k \mathcal{H}^{i,j,k}(\beta),$$

where $\beta \in \mathfrak{Br}_n$. In this section we explain why our super polynomial $\mathcal{P}(L)$ specializes to the HOMFLY-PT polynomial $P(L)$.

First of all let us notice that $\mathcal{P}(L(\beta))$ has a well defined specialization at $t = -1$. Indeed, only coordinates Y along $\mathfrak{n}$ have non-trivial t-weights in coordinate ring of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{free}_{1,n}$. On the other hand since Y is strictly upper triangular all these variables have non-trivial positive weights with respect to the maximal torus. The other coordinates on $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{free}_{1,n}$ have nonnegative weights with respect to the maximal torus. Thus only finitely many powers of Y_{ij} could possibly contribute to $\mathbb{H}^k(\beta)$.

Let us fix conventions about the HOMFLY-PT polynomial $P(L)$:

$$aP(L_+) - a^{-1}P(L_-) = (q - q^{-1})P(L_0), \quad P(\text{unknot}) = 1,$$

where L_+ and L_- are positive and negative crossings.

Theorem 14.1. *For any $\beta \in \mathfrak{Br}_n$ we have*

$$P(L(\beta)) = \mathcal{P}(L(\beta))|_{t=-1}$$

Proof. First, let us notice that the theorem 13.3 implies that the map $\mathrm{Tr}_n : \mathfrak{Br}_n \to \mathcal{P}(L(\beta))|_{t=-1}$ is a trace map: $\mathrm{Tr}_n(ab) = \mathrm{Tr}_n(ba)$. Also, the construction of the elements $\mathcal{C}_\pm^{(i)}$ and the description of $\mathcal{C}_+$ and $\mathcal{C}_-$ as cones in proposition 9.2 implies that Tr_n satisfies the skein relations:

$$a\,\mathrm{Tr}_n(\tau\sigma_i) - a^{-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}_n(\tau\sigma_i^{-1}) = (q - q^{-1})\,\mathrm{Tr}_n(\tau),$$

$\tau \in \mathfrak{Br}_{n-1}$. Since $L(\tau\sigma) = L(\tau\sigma^{-1})$ the inductive argument shows that the skein relations imply that $\mathrm{Tr}_n(1) = A^{n-1}$ where $A = \mathrm{Tr}_2(1)$.

Let us defined renormalized trace

$$\mathrm{tr}'_n(\beta) := a^{-\mathrm{wr}(\beta)} A^{-n+1}\,\mathrm{Tr}_n(\beta). \tag{14.61}$$

There is a natural algebra homorphism $\pi : \mathfrak{Br}_n \to H_n$ that projects the braid group onto the Hecke algebra with quadratic relations $\sigma_i - \sigma_i^{-1} = q - q^{-1}$. The skein relations imply that the map tr'_n factors through the projection π: $\mathrm{tr}'_n = \mathrm{tr}_n \circ \pi$ where $\mathrm{tr}'_n : H_n \to \mathbb{C}(a,q)$ is the trace on H_n. Since the trace Tr_n satisfies the Markov move $\mathrm{Tr}_n(\tau\sigma_{n-1}^{\pm 1}) = \mathrm{Tr}_{n-1}(\tau)$ we see that the sequence of traces tr_n satisfies the same restrictions as Ocneanu-Jones trace (see section 5 of[21]):

(1) $\mathrm{tr}_n(1) = 1$
(2) $\mathrm{tr}_n(\alpha\beta) = \mathrm{tr}(\beta\alpha)$
(3) $\mathrm{tr}_n(\tau\sigma_{n-1}) = z\mathrm{tr}_{n-1}(\tau)$, $\tau \in \mathfrak{Br}_{n-1}$

where $z = aA^{-1}$. According to the section 5 of [21] the trace on $\cup H_n$ with such properties is unique and according to the section 6 of [21] the renormalized trace Tr_n as in equation 14.61 defines the HOMFLY-PT polynomial. □

14.2. $sl(m|n)$**-homology differential.** Recall that the vector bundle $\mathcal{B}_n^\vee$ over $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{free}_{1,n}$ is a vector bundle with the fiber $V/\langle v\rangle$ over the point (X, Y, v) where $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ and v is the cyclic vector. Define a section $\varphi_{m|n}$ of $\mathcal{B}_n^\vee$ with the value $X^m Y^n v \in V/\langle v\rangle$ at the fiber at the point (X, Y, v). Contraction with this section

$$i_{\varphi_{m|n}} : \Lambda^\bullet \mathcal{B}_n \to \Lambda^{\bullet-1}\mathcal{B}_n.$$

induces the differential $d_{m|n} : \mathbb{S}_\beta \otimes \Lambda^\bullet \mathcal{B}_n \to \mathbb{S}_\beta \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet-1}\mathcal{B}_n$, which commutes with the Čech differential d_c, the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential d_{ce}, the equivariant

correction differentials $\partial_l + \partial_r$ and the matrix factorization differential D. Thus we can define following homology

$$\mathbb{H}_{m|n}(\beta) = (\oplus_{i=0}^{n} \mathbb{S}_\beta \otimes \Lambda^\bullet \mathcal{B}_n, D_{tot}^{m|n}), \quad D_{tot}^{m|n} := D + \partial_l + \partial_r + d_{ce} + d_c + d_{m|n}.$$

The homology $\mathbb{H}_{m|n}(\beta)$ is only doubly graded. In forthcoming paper we prove the following

Theorem 14.2. [30] *$\mathbb{H}_{m|n}(\beta)$ is an isotopy invariant of $L(\beta)$.*

The differential $d_{m|n}$ is very much in spirit of the conjectures in the papers [31, 13] and thus we propose the conjecture:

Conjecture 14.3. *The homology $\mathbb{H}_{m|n}$ categorifies the quantum invariant of type $sl(m|n)$.*

References

[1] Arkhipov, Sergey, Kanstrup, Tina, Braid group actions on matrix factorizations, arXiv:1510.07588.

[2] Aganagic, Mina, Shakirov, Shamil, Refined Chern-Simons theory and knot homology, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 85, (2012), 3–31.

[3] Berest,Yuri, Etingof, Pavel, Ginzburg, Victor, Finite-dimensional representations of rational Cherednik algebras, Interbational Mathematical Research Notes, 19, (2003), 1053–1088.

[4] Bar-Nathan, Dror, Khovanov's homology for tangles and cobordisms, Geom. Topol., vol. 9, (2005), 1443–1499.

[5] Berukavnikov, Roman, Riche, Simon, Affine braid group actions on derived categories of Springer resolutions, Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supèrieure. Quatrième Série, 4, (2012), vol. 45, 535–599.

[6] Cherednik, Ivan, Jones polynomials of torus knots via DAHA, International Mathematical Research Notes, 23, (2013), 5366–5425.

[7] Chriss, Neil, Ginzburg, Victor, Representation theory and complex geometry, Birkhäser, (2010), Boston.

[8] Dyckerhoff, Tobias, Compact generators in categories of matrix factorizations, Duke Math. J., 159, (2011), no.2, 223–274.

[9] Dunfield, Nathan, Gukov, Sergei, Rasmussen, Jacob, The superpolynomial for knot homologies, Experiment. Math., 15, (2006), no. 2, 129–159.

[10] Dyckerhoff, Tobias, Murfet, Daniel, Pushing forward matrix factorizations, Duke Math. J., 162, (2013), 7, 1249–1311.

[11] Eisenbud, David, Homological algebra on a complete intersection, with an application to group representations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 260, (1980), no.1, 35–64.

[12] Eisenbud, David, Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[13] Gorsky, Eugene, Gukov, Sergei, Stosic, Marko, Quadruply-graded colored homology of knot, arXiv:1304.3481.

[14] Gorsky, Eugene, Neguţ, Andrei, Refined knot invariants and Hilbert schemes, J. Math. Pures Appl., vol. 9, 104, (2015), no. 3, 403–435.

[15] Gorksy, Eugene, Neguţ, Andrei, Rasmussen, Jacob, Work in progress.

[16] Gorsky, Eugene, Oblomkov, Alexei, Rasmussen, Jacob, On stable Khovanov homology of torus knots, Journal of Experimental Mathematics, 22, (2013), vol. 3, 265–281.

[17] Gorsky, Eugene, Oblomkov, Alexei, Rasmussen, Jacob, Shende, Vivek, Torus knots and the rational DAHA, Duke Mathematical Journal, 14, (2014), vol. 163, 2709–2794.

[18] Gordon, Iain, Stafford, Tobias, Rational Cherednik algebras and Hilbert schemes. II. Representations and sheaves, Duke Math. J., (2006), 132, no.1, 73–135.

[19] Gukov, Sergei, Witten, Edward, Gauge Theory, Ramification, And The Geometric Langlands Program, Current Developments in Mathematics, (2008), 35–180.

[20] Elias, Benjamin, Hogancamp,Matt, On the computation of torus link homology, arXiv:1603.00407.

[21] Jones, Vaughan, Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials, Ann. of Math., vol. 2, 126, (1987), no. 2, 335–388.

[22] Haiman, Mark, Combinatorics, symmetric functions, and Hilbert schemes, Current developments in mathematics, (2002), 39–111.

[23] Kapustin, Anton, Rozansky, Lev, Saulina, Natalia, Three-dimensional topological field theory and symplectic algebraic geometry. I, Nuclear Physics B, no. 3, (2009), vol. 816, 295–355.

[24] Kapustin, Anton, Rozansky, Lev, Three-dimensional topological field theory and symplectic algebraic geometry II, Communications in Number Theory and Physics, no.3, (2010), vol.4, 463–549.

[25] Khovanov, Mikhail, Rozansky, Lev, Matrix factorizations and link homology, Fundamenta Mathematicae, (2008), vol. 199, 1–91.

[26] Khovanov, Mikhail, Rozansky, Lev, Matrix factorizations and link homology II, Geometry and Topology, 3, (2008), vol. 12, 1387–1425.

[27] Knörrer, Horst, Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities. I, Inventiones Mathematecae, (1987), 88, no. 1, 153–164.

[28] Neguţ, Andrei, Moduli of flags of sheaves and their K-theory, Algebr. Geom., 2, (2015), no. 1, 19–43.

[29] Nakajima, Hiraku, Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[30] Oblomkov, Alexei, Rozansky, Lev, Knot Homology and Sheaves on the Hilbert scheme of points on the plane II, Paper in preparation.

[31] Oblomkov, Alexei, Rasmussen, Jacob, Shende, Vivek, The Hilbert scheme of a plane curve singularity and the HOMFLY homology of its link, arXiv:1201.2115.

[32] Oblomkov, Alexei, Yun, Zhiwei, Geometric representations of graded and rational Cherednik algebras, arXiv:1407.5685.

[33] Riche, Simon, Geometric braid group action on derived categories of coherent sheaves (with a joint appendix with Roman Bezrukavnikov), Representation theory, (2008), vol. 12, 131–169.

[34] Webster, Benjamin, Williamson, Geordie, The geometry of Markov traces, Duke Mathematical Journal, 2, (2011), vol. 160, 401–419.

[35] Weibel, Charles, An introduction to homological algebra, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

A. Oblomkov, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts at Amherst, Lederle Graduate Research Tower, 710 N. Pleasant Street, Amherst, MA 01003 USA

E-mail address: oblomkov@math.umass.edu

L. Rozansky, Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, CB # 3250, Phillips Hall, Chapel Hill, NC 27599 USA

E-mail address: rozansky@math.unc.edu